Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation





THE PEOPLE.

BY

J. MICHELET.

TRANSLATED, WITH THE AUTHOR'S ESPECIAL APPROBATION,

BY C. COCKS, B.L.

TRANSLATOR OF

"PRIESTS, WOMEN, AND FAMILIES," "ANTONIO PEREZ AND PRILIP II.,"
ETC. ETC.

THIRD EDITION

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR

LONGMAN, BROWN, GREEN, AND LONGMANS, PATERNOSTER-ROW.

1846.

J. PETER MAYER LIBRARY

London:
Printed by A. Sporriswooden
New-Street-Square.

LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SANTA BARBARA

EXTRACT FROM A LETTER

ADDRESSED BY

M. MICHELET TO THE TRANSLATOR.

Monsieur.

Mon nouvel ouvrage "Le Peuple," est peu avancé encore. Je vous en enverrai le primier exemplaire en feuilles (en Janvier? ou Février?).

Croyez, Monsieur, à ma reconnoissance pour tout le temps que vous consacrez à mes ouvrages, et recevez mes cordiales salutations.

. . . .

J. MICHELET.

Paris, Oct. 6th, 1845,



CONTENTS.

T				1 age
INTRODUCTION — To M. EDGAR QUI		-		-
This Book is derived rather from the	Author's	Experience	than fro	m l
Books	-	-	-	- 5
Statistical Information insufficient	-	-	-	- 5
France better known than Europe,	-	-	-	- 3
and judged more severely	-	-	-	- 4
Danger of slandering one's self	1 D		1	- 5
The Life of the People has its Sacre	a Poetry,	winen we k	now now	
penetrate	-	-	-	- 5
Their Virtue of Sacrifice strong		-	-	- 6
Example taken from my own Family	7	-	-	-
PA	RT I.			
ON BONDAGE	AND HA	TRED.		
CHAI	PTER I.	-		
BONDAGE OF	THE PEAS	SANT.		
Devotion of the Peasant to the Land			_	- 17
Marriage between Man and the Soil		-	_	- 18
Acquisition of Land, before the Revo		eruntal ca	cora! Time	
and now once more	-	-	-	- 19
The Peasant has made the Land, and	lis in love	with it	_	- 21
He berrows in order to go on acquiri		-	_	- 22
He is worsted—his Irritation	-		-	- 24
The Townsman keeps away	-	_	_	- 24
The Peasant is slandered -	-	-	_	- 25
Nobility and Misery of the French I	Peasant	_	_	- 25
His Superiority -	-	-	-	- 26
Can be remain a Proprietor?	_	_	-	- 27
He envies the Workman -	-	-	-	- 28
CHAD	TER II.			
CHAP	IER II.			
BONDAGE OF THE WORKMAN	DEPENDE	NT ON MAC	HINERY.	
The Peasant migrates to Town, and	turns Wor	rkman	-	- 31
Democratical Influence of Manufacti		-	-	- 33
	. 0			

			P	age
Degradation of the Man who is dependen	t on Machines	-	-	34
Better Condition of the solitary Workman	a -	-	-	35
Almost fatal Immorality of the Machine-	Workman	44	-	36
Woman; the Child	-	-	-	37
The Child, compared with the Peasant Cl		-	-	38
Sociability and Kindness of our Workmen	n -	-	-	39
СНАРТЕІ	R III.			
BONDAGE OF THE	ARTISAN.			
The Rigour of Apprenticeship -	-	-	_	40
Uneasy Existence of the Modern Working	an -	_	_	41
Uneasy Condition of the Modern Working		_	-	42
His Household — his Wife -		_	_	43
The Mother's Ambition				44
The Son becomes an Artist: — Studious		_		44
Sufferings of the Workman who is fond of	& Literatura	••		45
The Poetry of Workmen	n interactive	-	_	45
Universal Soaring towards Light -	-	-	-	46
- Direction southing towards Light	-	•	-	40
СНАРТЕ	R TX			
BONDAGE OF THE M.	ANUFACTURER.			
Our Manufacturers are the Workmen of	1815 -	_	_	47
Their present Embarrassments -		-		48
Their Hardheartedness	_	-	_	49
Faint Aspirations of Humanity -	_	_	_	50
They do not know the Workman well	_	_	_	51
French Industry is stifled, it struggles by	v Art -	_	**	52
Trenen Industry Transmitted, it an aggress 5.	,			
CHAPTE	R V.			
NOWBACE OF MUE	mp a vivial a V			
BONDAGE OF THE	TRADESMAN.			
Tradesmen the Tyrants of Manufacturers	; -	-	-	53
Falsification	-		-	54
Destructive Competition	-		-	54
The Tradesman compared with the World	kman -	_	_	55
He is obliged to please	_			56
His Family	_		_	57
His Family often compromised -	_	_		57
This Taining often compromised				٥.
CHAPTE	R VI.			
BONDAGE OF THE				
Changeableness of his present Condition	-	-		58
Low Salaries	-	-	-	59
Is the Official corrupt			-	59

					Page
Miseries of some Functionaries	-	-	-	-	60
The extreme Misery of the Schoolma	ster			-	61
Voluntary Nullity of the Employé	-	-		-	61
Man corrupted by his Family	-	-	-	-	62
But supported by Military Honour	-	-	-	-	63
СНАРТ	TER VII.				
BONDAGE OF THE RICH MAN AN	D THE BO	CRGEOIS;	THE ANCIES	NT.	
BOCK	GEOISIE.				
77					
The new Bourgeoisie, already old, he	us not grov	vn young	by Industr	У	64
Rapid Dectine — Inertia -	•	•	-	-	65
Timidity of the Bourgeoisie Terrorism	-	-	*	-	67
Communism	-	-	-	-	67
The Isolation of the Bourgeois, and t	ilio Mon a	-	-	-	68
Isolation has produced Vacuity	_	rown men	-	-	69 69
Alliances of the Bourgeois	-	-	-	_	70
Exhaustion — The People will renew	Life and	Science	-	-	71
2. made on — The Teople will renew	Tine and	Belefice	_	_	, 1
CHAP	TER VII				
REVIEW OF THE FIRST PART-	- INTRODUC	TION TO T	UE SECOND		
The state of the s	- INTRODUC	110.1 10 1	nr. sacosa.		
How every Class loves France	-	_	-	-	72
Miseries of the Upper Classes	-	-	_	-	73
Man become very sensitive		-	-	-	74
Ruffled by Machinism -	-	-	-	-	74
Administrative and Commercial Mac	hinism	-	-	-	73
It dispenses with our having Moral l	Union	-	+	_	76
Hatred occasioned by Ignorance	-		-	-	76
Men of Instinct and Men of Reflection	on	-	-	-	77
70.4.7					
PAF	RT II.				
The Day of the later of the lat	****				
ENFRANCHISEMENT	BY LOVE.	— NATE	RE.		
CHAI	TER I.				
INSTINCT OF THE PEOPLE	, nithert	O LITTE ST	CDIED.		
The People depicted are only Except	tions	-	-	-	79
An unnatural, deprayed Class	-	-	-	-	80
That is not the People -		•	-	-	81
We must take them in the Mass: an	d profoun	ily	•	-	82

CONTENTS.

vii

CHAPTER II.

THE	INSTINCT	OF	THE	PEOPLE.	WEAKENED,	PUT	STILL	POWERFUL.

			, I	Page
Our Research is not external	-	-	_	83
We study the People in their Condition	-	-	-	84
In their Relation to other Nations -	-	-	_	85
Is ours a Poetical People?	-	-	-	86
They, however, preserve their Instinct		-	-	86
Good Sense and Sagacity of our old Peasants	-	-	-	87
Wisdom and great Experience of the Old Wo	omen of t	he People	-	88
•		•		
CYY I TOMPTON Y				

CHAPTER III.

DO THE PEOPLE GAIN MUCH IN SACRIFICING THEIR INSTINCT?

The New Bourgeois -	•	-	-	-	89
Vulgarity of the Men grown rich	-	-	~	-	90
Efforts of the English to escape from	it	-	-	-	91
Advantage of remaining one's self	•	-	-	-	91

CHAPTER IV.

THE SIMPLE - THE CHILD THE INTERPRETER OF THE PEOPLE.

-	_	-	-	92
-	~	-	-	93
-	-	-	-	93
-	-	-	-	94
_	-	-		95
-	-	-	-	95
-	-	-	-	96
	-			

CHAPTER V.

CONTINUATION -- IS THE NATURAL INSTINCT OF THE CHILD PERVERSE?

The Infant damned at its Birth		-	_	_	97
Subtle Instruction - Cruel Education	ı	-	-	-	98
Love and Humanity protest	-	-	_	-	99
Palliative of the Limbo -	-	_	-	-	99
Victory of Hamanity -	•	-	-	-]	00

CHAPTER VI.

DIGRESSION - INSTINCT OF ANIMALS - PROTESTATION IN THEIR FAVOUR.

The Animal in relation to the Child	~	-	-	101
The East acknowledge Nature as a Sister	-	-	-	102
Nature disayowed by the Greek and Roman	City	-	-	103

		lage -
Sterility — Christ has not saved the Animal -	-	104
The Devil seen in Animals	-	104
		105
		105
		106
		106
Let Man resume the Education of the Animal -	-	107
CHAPTER VII.		
THE INSTINCT OF THE SIMPLE — THE MAN OF GENIUS IS SUPERLATIVED SIMPLE, THE CHILD, AND THE PEOPLE.	ı.Y	THE
The Simple do not like Analysis	_	108
They sympathise with Life		109
		109
	-	110
Genius is the People more than the People themselves	-	111
CHAPTER VIII.		
THE BIRTH OF GENIUS, A TYPE OF THE BIRTH OF MAN.		
The Man of Genius is fruitful	-	112
In him Criticism does not destroy Inspiration	-	113
Birth of Genius, Type of the Birth of Man	-	114
The Type for every Social Work	-	115
Its Struggles and Inward Sacrifice	-	115
The Man of Genius improves by his Work He remains one of the Simple	-	116 116
The Terrains one of the ompre	-	110
CHAPTER IX.		
REVIEW OF THE SECOND PART - INTRODUCTION TO THE THIRD,		
The Instinct of the Child is not perverse	-	117
Africa will help France to comprehend herself -	-	118
We are indebted to mute Instincts for a Voice -	-	118
A Protection, an Entrance into the City of Right	-	119
PART III.		
1 1111.		
ENFRANCHISEMENT BY LOVE OUR NATIVE COUNTRY	•	
CHAPTER I.		
FRIENDSHIP,		
Great Friendship, or our Native Land	-	121
Man is born the Friend of Man	-	122
Love makes the last first	-	123

CONTENTS.

ix

CONTENTS.

				Page
Democracy, as Love and Initiation	-	-	-	123
Early Friendships	-	-	-	124
How precious between the Rich and the		-	-	125
The Rich and Poor are necessary to each	other	-	-	125
Oppositions, Jealousies		-	-	126
Magnanimity of the Generals of the Revo	olution	-	-	126
CHAPTER	11.			
LOVE AND MAR	RIAGE.			
Marriage became impossible in the Roman	n Empire		_	127
Inconvenience of marrying an inferior Wo		-	_	128
Happiness of the Poor Family	-	-	_	129
What is lost in abandoning the Poor Girl	-	-	-	129
Utility of the Mixture of Races and Cond	litions	-	-	130
CHAPTER	III.			
ASSOCIATION OF THE FISHER	MEN OF NOR	MANDY.		
Association of Cheesemongers at Jura	_	-	_	131
No more Associations in France	-	-	-	132
Agricultural Associations now dissolving	-	-	-	133
Is France less Sociable?	-	-	-	133
The Pretension to Equality has destroyed	Patronage	-	-	134
The Frenchman has much Individuality	-	-	-	135
He must have a Society of Souls -	•	-	-	136
No Society of Souls without Sacrifice	-	-	-	137
CHAPTER	IV.			
OUR NATIVE COUNTRY. ARE NATIONA	LITIES ABOU	TO DISAF	PEAR	>
Provincialities have disappeared to the Be	nefit of Nati	onality	-	138
The Soul of a People wants a Body, a Pla	ce -	-	-	140
No Nation will perish	-	-	-	1.11
What would happen if France perished	-	-	-	142
CHAPTER	V.			
FRANCE.				
Danger of Cosmopolitanism -	-	_	-	148
Danger in France imitating England	_	-	-	144
England is rich, and France is poor: why		-	-	145
Because France has had the Genius of Sac	rifice	-	-	146
CHAPTER	VI.			
FRANCE SUPERIOR AS DOGMA, AND AS LE	GEND. FRA	NCE IS A	RELIGI	on.
The Popedom of France -	-			147
t is a Living Brotherhood -	-		-	148
t may be taught as a Dogma and as a Leg	rend -		-	149

CHAPTER VII.

THE FAITH OF THE REVOLUTION—IT HAS NOT KEPT ITS FAITH TILL THE END, AND HAS NOT TRANSMITTED ITS SPIRIT BY EDUCATION.

		Page
-	-	- 150
-	-	- 151
-	-	- 152
	-	- 152
-	-	- 153
-	-	- 153
•	-	- 154
	-	

CHAPTER VIII.

OF EDUCATION WITHOUT FAITH.

Faith in our Native Country	-	-	-	- 150
How Faith may be recovered	-	-	-	- 156
Youth will restore Faith to us	-	-	-	- 15

CHAPTER IX.

GOD IN OUR NATIVE COUNTRY — THE YOUNG COUNTRY OF THE FUTURE — 'SACRIFICE — THE MOTHER REVEALS GOD.

The Father reveals the Country	-	-	-	-	158
School is an Infant Country	-	-		-	159
One First School common to al	I where t	hey would f	eel their	Country	160
The Country taught as a Dogm	na and a 1	Legend	_	-	161
It ought alone to initiate into the	he World	-	-	-	162
Politics identical with Educatio	n -	-	-	_	162
The Power of Sacrifice -	-	-	-	-	163
Sacrifice and Salvation -		-	-	-	164



M. EDGAR QUINET.

Thus book is more than a book; — it is myself. That is the reason it belongs to you.

Yes, it is myself; and, I may venture to affirm, it is you also. As you have justly remarked, our thoughts, whether communicated or not, are ever in unison. We live with the same heart. A delightful harmony! It may surprise, but is it not natural? All our various works have sprung from the same living root:—"The sentiment of France, and the idea of our country."

Accept, then, this book of "The People," because it is you, because it is myself! We represent, as much as any, perhaps — you by your military, I by my industrial, origin — the two modern conditions of the People, and their new advent.

I have made this book of myself, of my life, and of my heart. It is the fruit of my experience, rather than of my research. I have derived it from my observation, and my intercourse with friends and neighbours; I have gleaned it from the highway: fortune loves to favour him who ever follows the self-same thought. Lastly, I have found it, above all, in the reminiscences of my youth. To know the life of the people, their toils and sufferings, I had but to interrogate my memory.

For I, too, my friend, have worked with my hands. The true name of modern man, that of workman, I am entitled to, in more than one sense. Before I made books, I composed them literally; I arranged letters before I grouped ideas; and I am not ignorant of the sadness of the workshop, and the wearisomeness of long hours.

Sad times! It was the latter years of the Empire; all seemed to be lost at once to me — my family, my fortune, and my country. It is to those trials, doubtless, that I owe the best part of my nature; to them must be ascribed the little value I possess as a man and an .

historian. From these I have especially retained a profound sentiment of the people, the perfect knowledge of the treasure that is in them, the virtue of sacrifice, the tender remembrance of precious souls that I have known in the most humble conditions.

Nobody must be surprised, if, knowing as well as anybody the past condition of that people, and having shared their life myself, I feel a burning desire for truth when I am spoken to about them. When the progress of my history led me to study the questions of the day, and I cast my eyes upon the books in which they are discussed, I confess I was surprised to find them almost all in contradiction to my memory. I then shut the books, and placed myself among the people to the best of my power; the lonely writer plunged again into the crowd, listened to their noise, noted their words. They were perfectly the same people, changed only in outward appearance; my memory did not deceive me. I went about, therefore, consulting men, listening to their account of their own condition, and gathering from their lips, what is not always to be found in the most brilliant writers, the words of common sense.

This inquiry, begun at Lyons about ten years ago, I have prosecuted in other towns, studying, at the same time, with practical men of the most positive minds, the true situation of the rural districts so much neglected by our economists. The mass of new information I have thus acquired, and which is not in any book, would scarcely be credited. Next to the conversation of men of genius and profound erndition, that of the people is certainly the most instructive. If one be not able to converse with Beranger, Lamennais, or Lamartine, we must go into the fields and chat with a peasant. What is to be learned from the middle class? As to the sations, I never left them without finding my heart shrunk and chilled.

My varied studies of history had revealed to me facts of the greatest interest, unnoticed by historians:—the phases, for instance, and the vicissitudes of small properties before the Revolution.

My inquiry among living documents taught me likewise many things that are not in our statistics. I will mention one, which some will, perhaps, find trivial, but which I consider important and worthy of all attention. It is the immense increase of linen articles acquired by poor families about 1842, though wages have lowered, or, at least diminished in value, by the natural diminution in the value of money. This fact, important in itself as an advance in cleanliness, which is connected with so many other virtues, is still more so, inasmuch as it proves an increasing stability in households and families, — above all, the influence of woman, who, gaining little by her own means, can only make this outlay by appropriating part of the wages of the husband. Woman, in these households, is economy, order, and

providence. Every influence she gains, is an advancement in morality.**

This instance was not altogether useless, to show the insufficiency of the documents gathered from statistics and other works of political economy, to make us comprehend the people; they give partial, artificial results, taken at a sharp angle, and which may be wrongly interpreted.

Writers, literary artists, whose course is directly the opposite of these abstract methods, would seem likely to bring the sentiment of life to the study of the people. Some of the most eminent among them have attempted this grand subject, and talent did not fail them; their success has been immense. Europe, long little inventive, receives with avidity the produce of our literature. The English scarcely write any thing now-a-days but articles in reviews: as for German books, who reads them but the Germans?

It would be worth while to examine whether these French books, which have so much popularity, so much authority, in Europe, represent France truly,— whether they have not exhibited certain exceptional, very unfavourable, shades of character,— whether these pictures, in which people see scarcely any thing but our vices and our defects, have not done our country immense harm among foreign nations. The talent, the honesty of the authors, and the well-known liberality of their principles, lent an overwhelming weight to their words. The world has received their books as a terrible judgment of France upon herself.

France has this serious point against herself,—that she shows herself naked to the nations. All others, in a manner, remain clothed and dressed. Germany,—nay even England, with all her inquiries, all her publicity, are, in comparison, little known. They cannot see themselves, not being centralised.

That which is first remarked on a naked figure, is its defects. These strike the eye at once. What would be the result, if an obliging hand placed over these very defects a magnifying glass that would make them appear colossal, and reflect upon them such a

^{*} This prodigious acquisition of linen, which all the manufacturers can testify, implies some acquisition of other goods and household furniture. We must not be surprised if the savings banks receive less from the workman than from the man-servant. The latter buys no goods, and but little apparel; he easily finds means to get clothed by his masters. People ought not to estimate, as they do, the progress of economy by that of the savings bank, nor believe that whatever is not paid in there, is spent in the tavern. It seems that the family—I speak especially of the wife—has desired, in preference to all else, to make clean, attractive, and agreeable, the little home which supersedes the other place of resort; hence, also, the taste for flowers, which has reached classes bordering on poverty.

pitiless light that the most natural accidents of the skin should burst forth on the horror-struck eye?

That is precisely what has happened to France. Her undoubted defects, which are amply accounted for by her unbounded activity and the shock of interests and ideas, have expanded, under the hand of her powerful writers, into monstrous forms. And behold, Europe,

even now, looks upon her as a monster herself.

In the political world, nothing has better served the so-called system of cordial understanding (l'entente des honnétes gens). Every aristocracy, English, Russian, or German, needs only to point out one thing as testimony against her, — viz., the portraits she makes of herself by the hand of her great writers, most of whom are friends of the people and of advancement. "Are not the people thus described, the terror of the world? Have we armies and fortresses enough to pen them up, and watch them till a favourable opportunity occurs to crush them altogether?"

Some classic and immortal novels, revealing the domestic tragedies of the wealthy classes, have firmly established in the mind of Europe,

that domestic ties no longer exist in France.

Others, of great talent, and with the darkest and most fantastic colours, have represented the common life of our cities as nothing but a point round which felons, escaped from justice or the galleys, are congregated by the police.

A sketcher of manners, admirable for his genius for details, delights in depicting a horrible country *cabaret*, a tavern for rogues and thieves; and beneath this hideous sketch he boldly writes a word which is the name of most of the inhabitants of France.

Europe reads it eagerly; admires and recognises this or that petty detail. From some minute accident of which she feels the truth, she

easily infers the truth of the whole.

No people could stand such a test. This singular mania of slandering one's self, of exposing one's wounds, and, as it were, courting shame, would be fatal in the long run. Many, I am aware, thus denounce the present, to hasten on a better future. They exaggerate evils, to make us enjoy the sooner that felicity which their theories are preparing for us.* Take care, however,—take care. That is a danger-

^{*} Philosophers, political economists, and politicians, in these days, seem all to unite in lessening the idea of France in the mind of the people. This is most dangerous. Remember that this nation is, above all others, in the superlative sense of the term, a true society. Remove it from its social idea, and it becomes very weak. The people have been told, for fifty years, by all governments, that the France of the Revolution, which was their glory, their faith, was a disorder, an absurdity, a pure negation. The Revolution, on the other hand, which had obliterated ancient France, told the people that nothing of their former age

ous game. Europe inquires but little into all these clever tricks. If we call ourselves contemptible, she will perhaps take us at our word. Italy was still very powerful, even in the sixteenth century. The land of Michael Angelo and Christopher Columbus was not wanting in energy. But when she had proclaimed herself miserable and infamous by the voice of Machiavelli, the world took her at her word, and trod upon her.

We are not Italy, thank God; and the day the world might conspire to come and take a close view of France, would be hailed by our soldiers as the firest in their lives.

Let it suffice nations to be well assured, that this nation is by no means like its pretended portraits. It is not that our great painters have always been incorrrect; but they have generally painted exceptional details, accidents at most, in each species: — the minority: the worst side of things. Grand views appeared to them too well known, trivial, and vulgar. They wanted effect; and they have often sought it in whatever deviated from the general rule. Sprung from agitation, from commotion, so to speak, they have been gifted with passion, with a tempestuous strength, with a touch occasionally true as well as fine and strong; but, generally, they have lacked the sense of majestic harmony.

Romantic writers had fancied that art lay especially in the horrible. These thought that the most infallible effects of art were in moral ugliness.

Erratic love has seemed to them more poetical than domestic, theft than labour, the galleys than the workshop. If they had themselves descended, by their own personal sufferings, into the profound realities of present life, they would have seen that the family circle, toil, the humblest life of the people, have in themselves a sacred poetry. To feel and represent this, is not the business of the machinist; it is not

deserved to be remembered. Ancient France has disappeared from their memory, the new one has grown pale. It was no fault of politicians if the people did not become a *tabula rasa*, and forget themselves.

How can they be otherwise than weak at this moment? They know not themselves; everything is done to make them lose the sentiment of that grand unity which was their life. They take from them their soul. Their soul was the feeling of France, as the grand brotherhood of living men, as a glorious association with our Frenchmen of the olden time. The nation contains these ages, bears them, and faintly feels them moving, yet cannot recognise them. They have not been told what that mighty whisper is, which often, like the hollow sound of an organ in a cathedral, is heard within them.

Men of reflection and study, authors, writers! we have a holy sacred duty towards the people! It is to lay aside our sad paradoxes, our witticisms, which have not a little assisted politicians in concealing France from the people, in obscuring their idea of her, in making them despise their native land.

necessary to accumulate here theatrical accidents. It is only necessary that we should have eyes formed for that gentle light, eyes to look into the dark, the petty, and the lowly; and the heart too helps us to see into those corners of the hearth, those shadows of Rembrandt.

Whenever our great writers have looked there, they have been admirable. But, generally, they have turned their eyes towards the fantastic, the violent, the whimsical, the exceptional. They have not deigned to warn us that they were sketching the exception. All readers, but especially foreigners, thought they were describing the rule. They said, "The people are so."

And I, who have sprung from them, — I, who have lived, toiled, and suffered with them — who, more than any other have purchased the right to say that I know them, — I come to establish against all

mankind the personality of the people.

This personality I have not taken from the surface, in its picturesque or dramatic aspects. I have not seen it from without, but experienced it within; and, in this very experience, more than one deep quality of the people, which they possess within themselves without comprehending it, I have comprehended. Why? Because I was able to trace it to its historical origin, and see it issue from the depths of time. Whoever will confine himself to the present, the actual, will not understand them. He who is satisfied with seeing the exterior, and painting the form, will not even be able to see it. To see it accurately, and translate it faithfully, he must know what it covers: there is no painting without anatomy.

It is not in this little book that I can teach such a science. It is sufficient for me to give—suppressing every detail, methodical, learned, and initiatory—a few observations essential in the state of

our manners, - some general results.

One word only here. The chief and most prominent feature which has always struck me the most, in my long study of the people, is, that among the disorders of destitution, and the vices of misery, I have found a richness of sentiment and a goodness of heart, very rare among the wealthy classes. Every body, moreover, may have observed this. At the time of the cholera, who adopted the orphan children? The poor.

The faculty of devotedness, the power of sacrifice, is, I confess, my standard for classing mankind. He who possesses this quality in the highest degree, is the nearest to heroism. Intellectual superiority, which proceeds partly from education, can never be put in the

balance against this sovereign faculty.

To this it is generally replied: "The lower class of people have generally but little foresight; they follow an instinct of goodness, the blind impulse of a good heart, because they do not foresee all

that it may cost them." Even if this observation were just, it by no means does away with the unremitting devotedness, the indefatigable sacrifices, which one may see so often exemplified in hardworking families,—a devotedness which is not even exhausted in the immolation of one life, but which is often continued from one to another for several generations.

I have here many excellent stories which I might relate. I cannot do so; but I am strongly tempted, my dear friend, to tell you one story, viz., that of my own family. You are not yet acquainted with it; we converse more frequently about philosophical or political, than about personal matters. I yield to this temptation. I have a rare opportunity of acknowledging the persevering heroic sacrifices that my family have made for me, and of thanking my relations, lowly retired people, some of whom have hid in obscurity their superior gifts, desirous to live only in me.

The two families from which I am descended, from Picardy and from Ardennes, were originally peasants, who joined a little of mechanics to their agricultural pursuits. These families being very large (twelve children in one, nineteen in the other), many of my father's and mother's brothers and sisters would not marry, in order that they might the better contribute to the education of some of the boys, whom they sent to college. This is the first sacrifice I have to notice.

In my maternal family particularly, the sisters, all remarkable for their economy, seriousness, and austerity, made themselves the humble servants of their brothers, and, to pay their way, remained buried in the village. Several, however, though uneducated, and in that wilderness, on the border of the forests, were richly endowed with natural abilities. I have heard one of them, then in the vale of years, relate the old legends of the border as well as Walter Scott. What was common to them all was great clearness of head and soundness of judgment. There were plenty of priests among their cousins and relations, priests of various sorts, worldly and fanatical; but they had no power over them. Our sagacious and austere maidens gave them not the slightest hold. They would readily relate how one of our grand-uncles (named Michaud? or Paillart?) had formerly been burnt for having made a certain book.

My father's father, a music-master at Laon, gathered up his little savings, after the reign of Terror, and came to Paris, where my father was employed at the *Imprimerie des Assignats.** Instead of buying land, like so many others at that period, he confided what

^{*} The Printing Office for paper money during the Revolution, from 789 to 1796.

he had to my father, his eldest son, and placed his all in a printing-house, at the risk of the Revolution. A brother and a sister of my father, to facilitate the arrangement, did not marry; but my father married; he espoused one of those sober damsels of Ardennes of whom I have just spoken. I was born in 1798, in the choir of a church of nuns. then occupied by our printing-office: occupied, I say, but not profaned; for what is the Press, in modern times, but the holy ark?

This printing-office prospered at first, fed by the debates of our assemblies, the news of our armies, and the bustling activity of the period. About 1800, it was overthrown by the general suppression of the newspapers. My father was allowed only to print an ecclesiastical journal; and after the undertaking had been begun at a considerable expense, the sanction was suddenly withdrawn in favour of a priest whom Napoleon thought safe, but who soon betrayed him.

We know how that great man was punished by the priests, for having believed the consecration of Rome better than that of France. He saw clear in 1810. Upon whom did his anger fall? Upon the Press; in two years he hurled at it sixteen decrees. My father, half-ruined by him for the profit of the priests, was then entirely

so, in expiation of their fault.

One morning, we received a visit from a gentleman, more polite than the generality of the imperial agents, who informed us that his Majesty the Emperor had reduced the number of printers to sixty; the principal ones were preserved; the smaller ones suppressed, but with a good indemnity, at the rate of four sous for four francs. We were among these smaller ones. To be resigned, and to starve, was all that was now before us; but we were in delt. The Emperor gave us no reprieve against the Jews, as he had done for Alsatia. We had but one resource: it was to print for our creditors a few works belonging to my father. We had no longer any journeymen; we did the work ourselves. My father, who was occupied with his business abroad could not assist us; my mother, though sick, turned binder, cut and folded; I, a child, was the compositor; my grandfather, very old and feeble, betook himself to the hard work of the press, and printed with his trembling hands.

These books which we printed, and which sold pretty well, contrasted singularly by their triviality with those tragical years of immense destruction. They were only petty jokes, little games, amusements for evening parties, charades, and acrostics. There was nothing there to nourish the soul of the young compositor: but precisely the dryness, the emptiness of these miserable productions left me the more liberty. Never, I think, did I travel more in imagination, than whilst I was confined motionless in that cellar-

The more my personal romances glowed in my mind, the faster my hands went, the quicker the letters. From that time I have felt convinced that manual labours which require neither extreme delicacy, nor the exercise of much strength, are by no means shackles to the imagination. I have heard many distinguished women say, they could think and converse well only when at their needlework.

I was twelve years old, and knew nothing yet but four words of Latin, which I had picked up from an old bookseller, formerly the village teacher, who doted on grammar, a man of quaint manners, an ardent revolutionist, who had, nevertheless, saved, at the peril of his life, those emigrants whom he detested. At his death, he left me all he had in the world, a manuscript, a very remarkable grammar, but incomplete, having been able to devote to it only thirty or forty years.

Very lonely and very free, left entirely to myself by the excessive indulgence of my parents, I was all imagination. I had read a few books that had fallen into my hands, a Mythology, a Boilcau, and a

few pages of the Imitation.

In the excessive and incessant difficulties of my family, my mother being ill, my father so busy abroad, I had not yet received any religious education. And, behold, in those pages, I perceived suddenly, at the close of this sad world, a deliverance from death, another life and hope! Religion thus received, without human interference, was very strong within me. I felt it to be something peculiarly my own, a thing free and living, so well mixed up with my life that it found food in every thing, strengthening itself on the way with a multitude of tender and holy things in art and poetry, which people erroneously suppose to be foreign to it.

How shall I describe the dreamy mood into which I was cast by the first words of the *Imitation*? I did not read: I heard—as though that gentle and paternal voice addressed itself to me. I still see the large, cold, unfurnished room; it seemed to me truly illuminated with a mysterious light. I could not go very far into this book, not understanding Christ, but I felt God. The strongest impression of my childhood next to that, was the museum of French monuments, so unfortunately destroyed. It was there, and no where else, that I first received the vivid impressions of history. I peopled these tombs with my imagination; I felt the dead through the marble, and it was not without a feeling of awe, that I entered under the low vaults, in which slept Dagobert, Chilperie, and Frédégonda.

The scene of my labours, our workshop, was not less sombre. For some time this was a cellar, belonging to the boulevard where we were living, a ground floor to the street below. There I had for company, occasionally, my grandfather, when he came to see us, but

always, and without interruption, an industrious spider that worked by me, and, most certainly, more assiduously than I.

Among very severe privations, far heavier than what ordinary artisans have to support, I had some compensation,—the kindness of my parents, their faith in my future prospects, truly inexplicable, when we reflect how backward I was. Save the binding duties of my work, I enjoyed extreme independence, which I never abused. I was apprenticed, but without being in contact with coarse-minded people, whose brutality would, perhaps, have crushed the precious blossom of liberty within me. In the morning, before work, I went to my old grammarian, who gave me a task of five or six lines. I have retained thus much: that the quantity of work has much less to do with it than is supposed, children can imbibe but a little every day; like a vase with a narrow neck, pour little or pour much, you will never get a great deal in at a time.

In spite of my musical incapacity (the despair of my grandfather), I was very sensible of the majestic harmony of Latin; that grand Italic melody restored me like a ray of southern sunshine. I had been born like a blade of grass in the shade between two flag-stones of Paris. This warmth of another climate had such beneficial effects upon me, that, before knowing any thing of the quantity or learned rhythm of the ancient languages, I had sought and found, in my exercises, Romano-rural melodies, like the prose of the middle ages. A child, provided he be free, follows precisely the road pursued by infant nations.

Save the sufferings of poverty, which were very great for me in winter, that period, varied as it was with manual labour, Latin, and friendship, (I had for one moment a friend, and I speak of him in this book,) is very grateful to my memory. Rich in boyhood, imagination, and perhaps even love, I envied nobody any thing. It is my conviction that man would never know envy of himself—he must be taught it.

However, every thing became more cheerless. My mother became worse; France also (Moscow!—1813!). The indemnity was exhausted. In our extreme penury a friend of my father's proposed to get me into the imperial printing-office. What a temptation for my parents! Others would not have hesitated; but faith had ever been strong in our family: first, faith in my father, to whom all were sacrificed; then, faith in me; I was to repair all, save all.

If my parents, in obedience to reason, had made me an artisan, and saved themselves, should I then have been lost? No; I see among artisans men of much merit, men who, in point of intellect, are equal to men of letters, and in point of character their superiors. But, in short, what difficulties should I have encountered! What

a struggle against the absolute want of means! against the fatality of the time! My father without resources, and my mother sick, decided that I should study, happen what would.

Our situation was urgent. Knowing neither verses nor Greek, I entered upon the third form in the College of Charlemagne. My embarrassment may be conceived, having no master to assist me. My mother, so firm till then, despaired and wept. My father set

about making Latin verses—he who had never made any.

The best thing still for me in that terrible passage from solitude to the crowd, from night to day, was, without all doubt, Professor Andrieu d'Alba, a kind-hearted pious man. The worst were my school-fellows. I was among them just like an owl in broad daylight, quite frightened. They found me ridiculous, and I now think they were right. I then attributed their laughter to my dress—my poverty. I began to perceive one thing, that I was poor. I thought all rich men, all men, bad; I scarcely saw any who were not better off than myself. I pined into a misanthropic humour, rare among children. In the most deserted quarters of Paris, the Marais, I sought the most deserted streets. Yet amid this excessive antipathy against the human species, this good point remained — I had no envy.

My greatest delight, which restored my heart, was, on Sunday or Thursday, to read two or three times over a canto of Virgil or a book of Horace. Gradually I retained them; in other respects I have never been able to learn a single lesson by heart. I well remember that in the midst of that thorough misery, privations of the present, fears for the future, the public enemy being at the gates (1814!), and my own enemies daily deriding at me, one day, one Thursday morning, I sat ruminating about myself, without fire (the snow lay deep), not well knowing whether I should find bread at night, fancying it was all over with me. I had within me, but without any mixture of religious hope, a pure stoic sentiment. With my frost-bitten hand I struck my oaken table (which I have always preserved), and felt a powerfully joyous impulse of youth and future prospects. Tell me, friend, what should I fear now? I, who have suffered death so many times in myself and in my reading? And what should I desire? God has given me in History the means of participating in every thing. Life has but one hold on me, that which I felt on the 12th of February last, about thirty years after. I found myself, on a similar day, equally covered with snow, opposite the same table. One thing smote my heart: "Thou art warm-others are cold; that is not right. Oh! who will relieve me from this cruel inequality?" Then, looking at my hand, the one which, from 1814, still shows the traces of the cold, I said to myself for consolation, "If you were working with the people, you would not be working for them. Come, then, if you give its history to your country, I will pardon you for being happy."

To return. My faith was not absurd; it was founded upon will. I believed in the future, because I was making it myself. My studies ended soon and well.* I had the good fortune to escape two influences which ruined young men, - that of the majestic but sterile school of the Doctrinaires, and of the manufactory of literature (littérature industrielle), whose most miserable essays were then easily accepted by the just-reviving book-trade.

I would not live by my pen. I wanted a real occupation. I took the one my studies had prepared me for - teaching. I thought even then, with Rousseau, that literature ought to be the thing reserved, the grand luxury of life, the inward blossom of the soul. It was a great happiness for me when, in the morning, I had given my lessons, to return to my faubourg near Père-la-chaise, and there to read at my leisure all day long such poets as Homer, Sophocles, or Theocritus, and occasionally the historians. One of my old companions and dearest friends, M. Poret, was reading the same, about which we used to converse together in our long walks to the wood of Vincennes.

This life of ease lasted scarcely less than ten years, during which time I never imagined that I should ever write. I taught at once the languages, philosophy, and history. In 1821, I procured by competition the professorship in a college. In 1827, two works, which appeared at the same time, my Vico and Précis d'Histoire Moderne, gained me a professorship in the Ecole Normale.

Teaching did me good service. The fierce trial at college had altered my character - had made me reserved and close, shy and distrustful. Marrying young, and living in great retirement, I desired less and less the society of men. That which I found in my pupils at the Ecole Normale, and elsewhere, once more opened and expanded my heart. Those young people, amiable and confiding, who believed in me, reconciled me to mankind. I was touched, and often sad, to see them succeed each other so rapidly before me. Hardly had I become attached to them than they departed. They are all dispersed, and several (so young!) are dead. Few have for-

† I left it with regret in 1837, when the eclectic influence prevailed there. In 1838, the Institut and the College of France having equally elected me for

their candidate, I obtained the chair I now occupy.

^{*} I owed much to the encouragement of my illustrious professors, Messrs. Villemain and Leclerc. I shall always remember how M. Villemain, after the reading of a task that had pleased him, left his chair, and, under an impulse of charming sensibility, came and sat down upon the bench beside me.

gotten me; for my part, whether they be living or dead, I shall

never forget them.

They have done me, without knowing it, an immense service. If I had, as an historian, any special merit to sustain me on a level with my illustrious predecessors, I should owe it to teaching, which for me was friendship. Those great historians have been brilliant, judicious, and profound; as for me, I have loved more.

I have also suffered more. The trials of my boyhood are always before me; I have retained the impression of toil, of a hard labo-

rious life; I have remained one of the people.

I said, just now, I grew up like grass between two paving-stones; but this grass has retained its sap as much as that of the Alps. My very solitude in Paris, my free study and my free teaching (ever free and every where the same), have raised, without altering me. They who rise, almost always lose by it; because they become changed, they become mongrels, bastards; they lose the originality of their own class, without gaining that of another. The difficulty is not to rise, but in rising to remain one's self.

Often, in these days, the rise and progress of the people are compared to the invasion of the *Barbarians*. The expression pleases me; I accept it. *Barbarians!* Yes, that is to say, full of new, living, regenerating sap. *Barbarians*, that is, travellers marching towards the Rome of the future, going on slowly, doubtless; each generation advancing a little, halting in death; but others march forward all the same.

We other Barbarians have a natural advantage; if the upper classes have culture, we have much more vital heat. They cannot work hard, neither have they intensity, eagerness, or conscience in work. Their elegant writers, true spoiled children of the world, seem to slide upon the clouds; or, proudly eccentric, deign not to regard the earth: how should they fertilise it? That earth must imbibe the sweat of man, and be impressed with his heat and living virtue. Our Barbarians lavish all that upon her, and she loves them. On the other hand, their love is boundless and too great; devoting themselves sometimes to details, with the delightful awkwardness of Albert Durer, or the excessive polish of Jean Jacques Rousseau, who does not sufficiently conceal his art: by this minute detail they compromise the whole. We must not blame them too much; it is the excess of the will, the superabundance of love, occasionally the luxuriancy of the sap; this sap, ill-directed or perplexed, wrongs itself: it wants to give every thing at once-leaves, fruit, and flowers: it bends and twists the branches.

These defects of great workmen are often found in my books, without their good qualities. No matter! They who come thus,

with the sap of the people, do not the less bring into art a new degree of life and rejuvenescence, at the very least a grand effort. They generally fix their aim higher, farther than others, scarcely consulting their strength, but rather their heart. Let it be my part in the future to have not attained, but marked, the aim of history, to have called it by a name that nobody had given it. Thierry ealled it narration, and M. Guizot analysis, I have named it resurrection, and this name will remain.

Who would be more severe than I, if I were to criticise my own works? The public has treated me too well. Do you faney that I do not see how very imperfect this present volume is? "Why, then, do you publish it? You must have surely some very great interest at stake?" An interest? Several, as you shall see. First, I lose by it many ties of friendship. Next, I emerge from a tranquil position, entirely in unison with my tastes. I postpone my great book, the monument of my life.

"To enter public life apparently?" Never. I have estimated myself. I have neither health, nor talent for the government of

men.

"Why then do you publish it?" If you really insist on know-

ing, I will tell you.

I speak, because nobody would speak in my stead. Not that there is not a crowd of men more capable of doing so, but all are soured, all hate. As for me, I still loved. Perhaps, also, I knew better the antecedents of France; I lived in her grand eternal life, and not in her present condition. I was more alive in sympathies, more dead in interests; I came to the questions with the disinterestedness of the dead.

I was suffering, moreover, far more than any other from the deplorable divorce that some are endeavouring to produce among men, between different classes, I who combine them all within me.

The situation of France is so serious, that there was no room for hesitation. I do not exaggerate to myself the power of a book; but the question is one of duty, by no means of ability.

Well! I see France hourly declining, engulfed like an Atlantis. Whilst we were here quarrelling, this country is swallowed up.

Who does not see, that from east to west, a shadow of death is pressing upon Europe, and that every day there is less sun; that Italy has perished, that Ireland has perished, that Poland has perished, and that Germany is bent on destruction? O Germany!

If France were dying a natural death, if her hour had come, I should perhaps be resigned; and, like a passenger on board a sinking ship, cover up my head, and commend myself to God. But her

situation is nothing like that; and hence I am indignant; the idea of our ruin is absurd, ridiculous; it proceeds only from ourselves. Who has a literature? Who still sways the mind of Europe? We, weak as we are. Who has an army? We alone.

England and Russia, two feeble bloated giants, impose an illusion on Europe. Great empires, weak people! Let France be united,

for an instant; she is strong as the world.

The first thing is, that before the crisis* we should reconnoitre ourselves well; and have not, as in 1792 and in 1815, to alter our line, manœuvres, and system, in presence of the enemy.

The second is, that we should trust in France, and not at all in

Europe.

Here, every one goes to seek friends elsewhere †: — the politician hies to London, the philosopher to Berlin, the Communist says, "Our brother Chartists!" The peasant alone has preserved the tradition of salvation; to him a Prussian is still a Prussian, an Englishman an Englishman. His common sense has been right against all of you, refined gentlemen though you are! Your friend Prussia and your friend England drank the other day to France, the health of Waterloo!"

Children, children, I say unto you, — Climb up a mountain, provided it be high enough: look to the four winds, you will see nothing but enemies.

Try, then, to understand one another. That perpetual peace which some promise you whilst the arsenals are smoking! (see that black smoke over Cronstadt and Portsmouth!)—let us try to begin that peace among ourselves. Doubtless we are divided; but Europe believes us to be more divided than we are. That is what emboldens her. The harsh things we have to say, let us say them, — pour out our hearts, hide none of the evils, and seek well the remedies.

* I never saw, in any history, a thirty years' peace. The bankers, who have never foreseen any revolution (not even that of July, which many of them were preparing), reply, that there will be no stir in Europe. The first reason they give is, that peace is profitable to the world. To the world! Yes!—and but little to us. Others are running, we are walking; we shall soon be the last (i ha queue). Secondly, they say, "War can only begin with a loan; and we will not grant it." But, if it be begun with a treasure, as Russia is making one?—if the war pays the war, as in the time of Napoleon, &c. &c.?

† Take the most liberal, a German or an Englishman, at random, — speak to him of liberty; he will answer, "Liberty." And then just try to see what they understand by it. You will then perceive that this word has as many meanings as there are nations; that the German or English democrats are aristocrats at heart; that the barrier of nationalities, which you believe effaced, remains almost entire. All these people, whom you believe so near, are five hundred leagues from you.

One people! one country! one France! Let us never become two nations, I entreat you. Without unity, we perish. How is it that you do not perceive this?

Frenchmen, of every condition, every class, every party, remember well one thing! — You have on earth but one sure friend, France! Before the ever-enduring coalition of aristocracies, you will always be guilty of one crime, — to have wished, fifty years ago, to deliver the world. They have not forgiven it, nor will they ever forget it. You are always their dread. Among yourselves, you may be distinguished by different party names; but you are, as Frenchmen, condemned together. In the face of Europe, know that France will never have but one inexpiable name, which is her true, eternal designation, — The Revolution!

January 24th, 1846.

THE PEOPLE.

PART I.

ON BONDAGE AND HATRED.

CHAPTER I.

BONDAGE OF THE PEASANT.

IF we would know the inmost thought, the passion of the French peasant, it is very easy. Walk, any Sunday, into the country, and follow him. Look! there he is yonder before us! It is two o'clock; his wife is at vespers; and he is in his Sunday elothes. I warrant you he is going to see his mistress.

What mistress? His land.

I do not say he will go straight to it. No, he is free to-day; he is at liberty to go or not to go. Does he not go often enough every day in the week? Accordingly he turns aside, and goes elsewhere; his business is somewhere else, and yet he goes there.

'Tis true, he was passing very near; there was an opportunity. He now looks at it, but apparently he will not enter; what should

he do there? And yet he goes in.

At all events, it is probable he will not work; he has on his Sunday clothes, his blouse and clean shirt. But what prevents him from plucking up a weed, or throwing aside a stone. And then that old stump looks ugly; but he has not his spade; that must wait till tomorrow.

Then he folds his arms, stops, looks serious and thoughtful; he looks a long, long time, and seems to forget himself: at last, if he fancies himself overlooked, if he perceives anybody passing, he moves slowly away; after a few steps, he stops, turns round, and casts upon his land one last profound and melancholy look: but, to the keensighted, that look is full of passion, full of heart, full of devotion.

If that be not love, by what token shall we know it in this world? It is love!—do not laugh: the land will have it so, in order to produce; otherwise this poor land of France, almost vithout cattle and pasture, would yield nothing: it brings forth because it is loved.

The land of France belongs to fifteen or twenty millions of peasants, who cultivate it; the land of England belongs to an aristocracy of thirty-two thousand persons, who have it cultivated.**

The English, not being equally rooted in the soil, emigrate whereever they find profit. They say country; we, native country (patrie†). With us, man and the soil are bound together, and will not be severed; there is between them a lawful marriage for life and death; the Frenchman has espoused France.

France is a land of equity. In doubtful cases, she has generally adjudged the land to him who tilled it.: England, on the contrary, has pronounced for the lord, and driven away the peasant: she is

now cultivated only by workmen.

A grave moral difference! Whether property be large or small, it exalts the heart: many a man who would not have respected himself on his own account, does so on account of his property. This sentiment adds to the just pride with which our people are inspired by their unrivalled military tradition. Take at random, in that crowd, any petty day-labourer, who possesses the twentieth part of

* And of these thirty-two thousand, twelve thousand are mortmain corporations. If, in reply to this, it be stated, that in England near three millions of persons share the landed property, the reason of it is that this word, besides the land, designates the houses, patches of ground, yards, pleasure-grounds and gardens attached to the houses, especially in the manufacturing districts.

† Our French-Englishmen say le pays in order to avoid saying la patrie. See an animated and enlightened page of M. Génin's "Variations du Langage

Français," p. 417.

† This is one of the spiritual characters of our Revolution: man and man's work seemed to it of inestimable value, and not to be put in the scale with that of the funds: man outweighed the land. In England, the land has outweighed man. Even in districts that are in no respects feudal, but organised upon the principle of the Celtic clans, the English civilians have applied the feudal law with the greatest rigour, deciding that the lord was not only paramount, but proprietor. Thus the Duchess of Sutherland had a county of Scotland, larger than the department of the Haut-Rhin, adjudged to her, and drove out of it (from 1811 to 1820) three thousand families, who had occupied it ever since Scotland had existed. The duchess caused a trifling indemnity to be offered them, which many did not accept. Read the account of this fine operation, for which we are indebted to James Loch, the agent of the duchess: "An Account of the Bonifications made to the Domains of the Marquis of Stafford," 8vo., 1820. M. de Sismondi gives an analysis of it in his "Etudes d'Economie Politique," 183

an acre; you will not find in him the sentiments of a hireling; he is a proprietor, a soldier (he has been one, and would be one tomorrow); his father was one of the grande armée.

Small properties are nothing new in France. People have erroneously imagined that they were constituted in the last crisis, and at once; that they are accidents of the Revolution — a mistake. The Revolution found this movement far advanced, and itself sprung from it. In 1785, an excellent observer, Arthur Young, is astonished and alarmed to see the land in our country so much divided. In 1738, the Abbé de Saint-Pierre remarks, that in France "Daylabourers have almost all a garden or some patch of land or vineyard.* In 1697, Boisguillebert deplores the necessity in which small proprietors are placed, under Louis XIV., of selling a great part of the properties acquired in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries.

This grand history, so little known, presents this singular character: in the very worst periods, at times of universal poverty, when even the rich are poor and are forced to sell, then the poor man finds himself able to buy; no purchasers appearing, the peasant in rags comes forward with his piece of money, and acquires a bit of land.

Strange mystery! That man must have a hidden treasure! He has one, indeed: persevering toil, sobriety, and fasting. God seems to have given, as a patrimony to this indestructible race, the gift of working, fighting if necessary, without food, of living on hope, and a brave lightness of heart.

Those disastrous moments, when the peasant was able to buy land cheap, have always been followed by a sudden burst of prosperity, which was then unaccountable. About 1500, for instance, when France, exhausted by Louis XI., seemed to be crowning her ruin in Italy, the nobility, at their departure, are obliged to sell; the land, passing into new hands, suddenly grows flourishing again; they work and build. This bright moment (in the style of monarchial history) has been called good Louis XII.

Unfortunately, it lasts not long. Scarcely is the land restored to a good condition, when the exchequer pounces upon it; next come religious wars, which seem to rase every thing to the ground†; horrible calamities, atrocious famines, when mothers devoured their children! Who would believe that the country would emerge again after that? Well, then, scarcely is the war at an end when those ravaged fields,

^{*} Saint-Pierre, vol. x. p. 251 (Rotterdam). The authority of this author, though not weighty, is important here; because he wrote according to the information which he had demanded of several intendants.

[†] See Froumenteau, "Sécrét des Finances de France" (1581), Preuves, pp. 397, 398.

those burnt and still blackened cottages, again refund the savings of the peasant. He buys; in ten years, the aspect of France is changed: in twenty or thirty, all the estates have doubled or trebled their value. This moment, once more baptized with a royal name, is called *good Henry IV*, and *great Richelieu*.

A charming progress! what manly heart would not participate in it? Why, then, must it always stop, and so many efforts, hardly rewarded, be almost lost? The words the poor man saves, the peasant buys, those simple words so soon said: what toils, sacrifices, and mortal privations, it is well known, do they contain? Our brow perspires, when we observe, in detail, the divers accidents, the ups and downs in that obstinate struggle; when we see the invincible effort with which that miserable man has seized, let go, and again grasped the land of France: as the poor shipwrecked mariner, who touches the shore, holds on, but the wave ever drags him back into the sea; again he grasps it, maimed and bruised, but he still clings to the rock with his bleeding hands.

This movement, I am obliged to say so, declined, or stoppedabout 1650. The nobles who had sold, found means to redeem at a low rate. The very time our Italian ministers, a Mazarin, or an Emeri, were doubling the taxes, the nobles, who crowded the court, easily got off, so that the double burden fell directly upon the shoulders of the feeble and the poor, who were absolutely obliged to sell or give this scarcely acquired land, and again become hirelings, tenants, farmers, labourers. By what incredible efforts could they, during the wars and bankruptcies of the great king and the regent, keep or get back the lands, which, we have seen, were in their hands in the eighteenth century? This is what is inexplicable. I beg and beseech those who make us laws, or enforce them, to read the detail of the fatal re-action of Mazarin and Louis XIV., in those pages, full of indignation and sorrow, in which it has been embodied by a great citizen, Pesant de Boisguillebert.* May that history warn them, at a moment when divers influences are eagerly at work, to suppress the main work of France - the acquisition of land by the labourer!

^{*} A great citizen, an eloquent writer, and a practical genius, whom we must not confound with the Utopians of that period. The idea of the royal tithe has been erroneously attributed to him. What can be bolder than the commencement of his "Factum," and, at the same time, what more painful? It is the deep sigh of the agony of France. Boisguillebert published it in March, 1707, when Vauban had just been condemned, in February, for a book far less daring. Why has this heroic man not yet a statue at Rouen, that received him in triumph on his return from exile? (Lately reprinted in the "Collection des Economistes.")

Our magistrates especially have need to enlighten themselves in this matter to fortify their conscience, for knavery besieges them. Large proprietors, awakened from their natural apathy by the lawyers, have latterly rushed into a thousand unjust lawsuits. There has been created against the communes and small proprietors a species of antiquarian advocates, who are working all together in the falsification of history to deceive justice. They know that judges rarely have time to examine their lying fabrications. They know that those whom they attack have scarcely ever any regular title-deeds. The communes especially have either kept them carelessly, or have never had any. Why? Precisely because their right is often very ancient, and of a date when people trusted to tradition.

In all the districts, on the frontier especially *, the rights of the poor peasants are so much the more sacred, as nobody without them would have inhabited such dangerous ground; the land would have been deserted; there would have been neither people nor cultivation. And behold now, at a time of peace and security, you come and dispute the possession with those without whom the land did not exist! You demand their title-deeds, but they are buried; they are the bones of their ancestors who guarded your frontier, and who even

now occupy the sacred boundary.

In more than one province of France the cultivator has a right, which is certainly the first of all, that of having created the land. I speak not metaphorically. Behold those parched rocks, those arid hills in the south. I ask you where would the land be there without man? Property there is entirely in the proprietor. It lies in the ind-fatigable arm that breaks the flint-stones all day long, and mixes the dust with a little earth. It lies in the strong back of the vine-dresser, who, from the bottom of the hill, is ever banking up his field that is always wasting away. It lies in the decility, the patient ardour of the wife and child, who draw the plough with an ass. A painful sight! and Nature herself sympathises with them. The little vine takes root between the rocks: the chesnut—sober and courageous tree—flourishes without soil, by grasping the pure flint with its roots; it seems to live on air, and, like its master, to produce even fasting.† Yes, man makes the land: this may be said

^{*} Add, moreover, that in the middle ages, in the division of so many provinces, seigneuries, and fiefs, seeming to form so many states, the frontier was erecquehere. Even at a later period the English frontier was in the centre of France, in Poitou till the thirteenth century, in Limousin till the fourteenth, &c.

 $[\]dagger$ I felt all that when, in the month of May, 1844, in going from Nismes to Puy, I passed through Ardèche, that barren country where man has created every thing. Nature had made it frightful; but, thanks to him, it is now

even of the least barren countries. Let us never forget this, if we wish to know how much and how passionately he loves it. Let us reflect that for centuries generations have devoted to it the sweat of their brow, the bones of the dead, their savings, and their food. That land, where man has so long deposited the best part of man, his sap and his substance, his efforts, and his virtue:—that land he feels is human, and he loves it like a human being.

He loves it: to acquire it he consents to any thing, even to lose sight of it: he emigrates, goes abroad, if necessary, sustained by this thought and this reminiscence. Of what, think you, is that poor Savoyard errand-boy dreaming, as he sits upon the step at your door? He is musing about the little field of rye, or meagre pasturage, that he hopes to buy on his return to his native mountains. It will take ten years! No matter*; to get land in seven years the Alsacian sells his life, and goes to meet death in Africa.† To have a few feet of vineyard, the woman of Burgundy tears her breast from the mouth of her own child, puts a stranger's child in its place, and weans her own too soon. "My child!" says the father, "either you will live or die: but if you live you shall have a bit of land!"

Is it not cruel, nay, almost impious, to speak thus? Reflect well before you decide. "You shall have a bit of land," means, "You shall not be a mercenary, to be hired to-day and turned off to-morrow; you shall not be a serf for your daily bread; you shall be free!" Free! glorious word! comprising, indeed, all human dignity. There is no virtue without liberty.

Poets have often spoken of the attractions of water, those dangerous fascinations which allured the imprudent fisherman. More dan-

charming,—charming in May, and even then always rather austere, but possessing a moral charm so much the more touching. There nobody will say that the seigneur has given the land to the viluin; there was none to give. Accordingly, how my heart was wounded to see still, upon the heights, those dreadful dark towers which so long levied tribute on people so poor, so deserving, and who owe nought but to themselves. The monuments for me, those which relieved my eyes, were the humble houses of stone and fiint in the valley where the peasants dwell. Those houses have a very sombre, nay, sad aspect with their poor, little, meagre, ill-watered gardens; but the areades which support them, the large flight of steps, and the spacious landing under the areades, give them much character. It happened to be the very moment of the vintage. At that fine season they were making silk, and the poor country seemed rich; every house showed beneath its sombre areade, a maiden winding skeins, who, tapping the pedal of the winder with her foot, smiled with her pretty white teeth, and wound off her golden skein.

^{*} Léon Faucher, La Colonie des Savoyards à Paris, Revue des Deux-Mondes, Nov. 1834, iv. 343.

[†] See further, p. 28. note.

gerous still, if possible, is the attraction of land. Large or small, it has ever this strange, attracting quality, that it is always incomplete—it always wants enlarging. There is wanting but very little, only that side, or still less, that corner. There's the temptation; to enlarge, buy, borrow. "Lay by, if you can; do not borrow," says Reason. But that is too slow. Then, "Borrow!" says Passion. The proprietor, a timid man, does not like lending; though the peasant shows him a very clear and till now unencumbered land, he is afraid lest a woman, a ward (for such are our laws), should spring up, whose superior rights may outweigh the whole value of the loan. Hence he dares not lend. Who, then, will? The usurer of the place, or the lawyer who has all the peasant's documents, who knows his business better than himself, who knows, too, that he runs no risk, and who will be kind enough, out of friendship — to accommodate him? — No; but to procure him a loan at seven, eight, and ten per cent.!

Is he to accept that fatal money? His wife is seldom of that opinion. His grandfather, if he consulted him, would not recommend it. His forefathers, our old French peasants, most assuredly would not have done so. That humble patient race never calculated on aught but their own savings; a sou which they retrenched from their daily food, or the small coin they sometimes saved in returning from market, and which, the same night, went (as one still finds) to repose with its fellows at the bottom of a pot buried in the cellar.

Our peasant is no longer that man; his heart is more aspiring; he has been a soldier. The grand things he has achieved in this age have accustomed him to believe easily in impossibilities. This acquisition of land is for him a combat; he goes to it as he would to the charge, and will not retreat. It is his battle of Austerlitz; he will win it; it will be a desperate struggle, he knows, but he has seen plenty of these under his old commander.

If he fought with a good heart when there was nothing to be gained but wounds, think you he will go softly to work in this struggle for land? Watch him before daylight; you will find him at work, with all his family; and even his wife, scarcely out of her confinement, creeping along the dewy earth. At noon, when rocks split with the heat, when the planter's negro gets repose, the volunteer negro takes none. Behold his food, and compare it with the artisan's; the latter feeds better every day than the peasant on Sunday.

This heroic man thought that by the power of his will he could do any thing, even suppress time. But there is a difference between this and war; time is not to be suppressed; it weighs heavy; the

struggle lasts and is prolonged between usury, which time accumulates, and the strength of man, which it diminishes. The land brings him in two per cent., and usury demands eight; that is to say, usury is fighting against him like four men against one. Every year's interest wipes away four years' work.

Are you now surprised that this Frenchman, this merry singer of former days no longer smiles! Are you surprised, if, meeting him on that land which devours him, you find him so gloomy! In passing you salute him cordially; he will not see you, but slouches his hat. Do not ask him the way; if he answers, he may perhaps make

you turn your back on the place you are going to.

Thus the peasant becomes more and more bitter and retiring. His heart is too much oppressed to open it to any sentiment of benevolence. He hates the rich, his neighbour, and the world. Alone, in this miserable property, as in a desert island, he becomes a savage. His insociability, proceeding from the feeling of his misery, renders it irremediable; it prevents him from being on a kindly footing with those who ought to be his helpmates and natural friends*, the other peasants; he would sooner die than advance one step towards them. On the other hand, the townsman has no desire to approach that fierce-looking man; he is almost afraid of him. "The peasant is malicious, spiteful, capable of any enormity. It is not safe to be his neighbour."

Thas, the more wealthy class become more and more distant; they pass some time in the country, but do not settle there; their home is in town. They leave the field open to the village-banker and the lawyer, the secret confessor of all, who preys on all. "I will no longer have any dealings with those people," says the proprietor; "the notary shall arrange every thing; I leave it with him; he shall settle with me, and give out and divide the rent as he pleases." The notary, in many places, thus becomes the sole farmer, the only medium between the rich proprietor and the labourer. A great misfortune for the peasant. To escape from the thraldom of the proprietor, who would generally wait, and was long satisfied with promises, he has taken, for his master, the lawyer, the monied man, who knows only when a bill is due.

The proprietor's unkindly feelings seldom fail to be justified to him by the pious personages whom his wife receives. The materialism of the peasant is the usual text of their lamentations: "Impious, material age!" say they; "those people love but the land!

^{.*} I shall speak farther of association. As to the economical advantages and disadvantages of small properties, which are foreign to my subject, -- see Gasparin, Passy, Dureau De Lamalle, &c.

that is all their religion! They adore only the manure of their fields!" Miserable Pharisees! if this land were merely land, they would not purchase it at such desperate prices; it would not drag them into this madness, this illusion. You, spiritual and any thing but material men, no one would ever catch you at it; you calculate, within a franc, what each field yields in corn or wine. Yet he, the peasant, adds to it an infinite price in imagination; it is he who, in this, allows too much to the imagination, he is the poet. In this vile, obscure, and filthy land, he distinctly sees the gold of liberty gleaming. Liberty, for him who knows the forced vices of the slave, is virtue (la vertu possible). A family, who from hirelings become proprietors, respect themselves, rise in their own esteem, and change altogether; they reap from their land a harvest of virtues: the father's sobriety, the mother's economy, the son's brave toil, the daughter's chastity, — all those fruits of liberty. Are these, I ask, material possessions? Are these treasures that can be bought too dearly?*

Men of the past, who call yourselves men of faith, if you are so indeed, own that that was a faith which, in our own days, by the arms of this people, defended the liberty of the world against the world itself. Be not, I entreat you, for ever prating of chivalry. That was a chivalry, and the proudest chivalry; viz. that of our peasant soldiers. It is said the Revolution has suppressed the nobility; but it is just the reverse; it has made thirty-four millions of nobles. When an emigrant was boasting of the glory of his ancestors, a peasant, who had been successful in the field, replied, "I am an ancestor!"

This people is noble, by reason of those grand doings; Europe has remained plebeian. But we must take serious measures for defending this nobility; it is in danger. The peasant, on becoming the serf of the usurer, would not only be miserable, but would lose heart. Think you that that man, a sad, restless, trembling debtor, afraid to meet his creditor, and skulking about, preserves much courage? How would it be with a race thus brought up, in awe of the Jews, and whose emotions are those of arrest, seizure, and ejectment?

The laws must be altered; law must undergo this high moral and political necessity.

^{*} The peasant does not get off so. After the priest comes the artist, the neo-eatholic artist, to slander him: that impotent race of weeping mourners of the middle ages, who know only how to weep and copy — to weep for stones; for, as for men, let them starve, if they will. As if the merit of those stones was not to remind us of man, and bear his impress. The peasant, to such people, is nothing but a demolisher; every old wall he throws down, every stone moved by the plough, is an incomparable ruin.

If you were Germans or Italians, I should say, "Consult the civilians; you have only to observe the rules of civil equity." But you are France; you are not a nation only, but a principle, a great political principle. It must be defended at any cost. As a principle, you must live. Live for the salvation of the world!

In the second rank by industry, you are in the first in Europe by that vast and profound legion of peasant-soldier-proprietors; the strongest foundation that any nation has had since the Roman empire. It is by that that France is formidable to the world, and at the same time ready to aid it; it is this that it looks upon with fear and hope. What, in fact, is it? The army of the future on

the day the Barbarians appear.

One thing comforts our enemies, which is, that this great dumb France, which is undermost, has been for a long time swayed by a petty, noisy, meddling France. No government, since the Revolution, has taken the agricultural interest into consideration. Industry, the younger sister of Agriculture, has put the elder out of mind. The Restoration favoured landed property, but only the great properties. Even Napoleon, so dear to the peasant, whom he well understood, began by abolishing the duties which affected the capitalist and relieved the land; he effaced the mortgage laws which the Revolution had made to bring money within the reach of the labourer.

At present, the capitalist and the manufacturer govern alone. Agriculture, which goes for more than half in our income, receives only a hundred and eighth part in our expenditure! It is not much worse treated by government than by political economists, who are especially anxious about manufactories and manufacturers. Several of our economists speak of the *labourer* (travailleur) instead of the workman (ouvrier), forgetting only twenty-four millions of agricultural labourers.

And yet the peasant is not only the most numerous class of the nation, but the strongest, the most healthy, and, if we fairly weigh the physical with the moral, on the whole the best.* In the decline of the belief which formerly sustained him, left to himself, between the old faith which he no longer has, and the modern light which is not given to him, he preserves for his support the national sentiment, the grand military tradition, something of the honour of the soldier. He is self-interested, churlish in business, no doubt; who can blame him, when we know what he suffers? Such as he is, though he may occasionally be blamed, compare him,

^{*} The town population, which constitutes but one-fifth of the nation, affords two-fifths of the criminals.

I pray you, in ordinary life, with your tradespeople, who lie all day

long, and with the manufacturing vulgar.

Man of the land, and living wholly in it, he seems formed in its image. Like it, he is greedy; the land never says, "Enough!" He is as obstinate as the land is firm and constant; he is patient, like it, and not less indestructible; every thing passes away, but he remains! Do you call this having faults? Ah! if he had them not, you would long have had no France.

Would you form any idea of our peasants? Behold them, on their return from military service! You see those terrible soldiers, the first in the world, who scarcely landed from Africa, from the war of lions, set quietly to work between their mother and sister, resume the paternal life of saving and fasting, and no longer wage war but against themselves. You behold them, without either violence or repining, seeking by the most honourable means the accomplishment of the holy work which constitutes the strength of France: I mean, marriage between man and the soil.

The whole of France, if she had the true feeling of her mission, would help those who are carrying on this work. By what fatality must she to-day stop short in their hands!* If the present state of things continued, the peasant, far from acquiring, would sell, as he did in the middle of the seventeenth century, and once more become a hireling. Two hundred years lost! That would be, not the downfal of a class, but of our country.

They pay more than five hundred millions (of francs) to the state every year, and a thousand millions to the usurers! Is that all? No, the indirect charge is, perhaps, as heavy, that, viz., which industry imposes on the peasant by its custom-duties, which, keeping out foreign produce, prevent also our merchandise from being exported.

These men, so laborious, are the worst fed. They get no meat; our cattle-breeders (who are manufacturers in an abstract sense) prevent the agriculturist from eating any+, alleging the *interest of*

* She is stopping, or even receding. M. Hipp. Passy assures us (Mém. Acad. Polit. vol. ii. p. 301.) that from 1815 to 1835, the number of proprietors, compared with that of the rest of the population, has diminished $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent., or one fortieth. He goes by the census of 1815. But is that census exact? Is it more important than that of 1826, than the tables of the increase and decrease of the population during the Empire, &c.? — See Villermé, Journal des Economistes, No. 42. May, 1845.

† And who sell him at so high a price his only cow and his working oxen. The breeders say, "No agriculturists without manure, no manure without cattle." They are right, but argue against themselves. Changing nothing and improving nothing (except for productions of luxury, and the triumphs of vanity), maintaining high prices for inferior articles, they prevent all the poorer countries from buying the little cattle which suits them, and obtaining the necessary manure; man and the land, not being able to recruit their strength, languish from exhaustion.

agriculture. The lowest workman eats white bread; but he who grows the corn eats only brown. They make the wine, and the townsman drinks it. Nay more, the whole world drinks joy at the cup of France, save the French vine-dresser.*

The manufactures of our towns have recently received a considerable relief, the weight of which recoils upon the land, at a moment when the smaller industry of the country, the humble work of the

spinning-girl, is devoured by the flax machine.

The peasant, thus losing, one by one, his trades of industry, to-day flax, to-morrow silk perhaps, can with difficulty keep his land. It escapes from him, carrying along with it all the fruits of his laborious years, savings, and sacrifices. He is ejected from his very life. If any thing remain, speculators ease him of it; he listens, with the credulity of misfortune, to all the fables they promulgate. Algiers produces sugar and coffee; every man in America earns ten francs a day; one must emigrate; what does it signify? The Alsacian believes them when he is told that the ocean is scarcely broader than the Rhine.†

* One may remember the calculation of Paul Louis Courrier who found, that, in the gross, the acre of vine produced 6l, to the vine-planter, and 52l, to the treasury. This is an exaggeration. But, as a set-off, we must add that this acre is now much more in debt than in 1820. There is no occupation more laborious, however, or more worthy of its hire. Pass through Burgundy in spring, or autumn; you travel for forty leagues over a country twice a year dug, turned up, unplanted, and replanted with vine props. What labour! And in order that this produce, so dearly obtained, may be adulterated and dishonoured at Berry and Rouen, an infamous art calumniates nature and this excellent beverage; the wine is as ill-treated as the vine-grower.

† This is what an Alsacian said to a friend of mine (September, 1845). Our Alsacians who emigrate thus, sell, in departing, the little they possess; the Jew is at hand ready to buy. The Germans endrayour to carry their goods away with them; they travel in waggons, like the barbarians who emigrated into the Roman Empire. I remember once, in Suabia, on a very hot dusty day, I met one of these emigrating waggons, full of chests, furniture, and effects, heaped all together. Behind, a very small waggon, attached to the large one, conveyed an infant two years old, of a sweet expression of countenance. It thus went along, weeping, under the care of a little sister, who walked beside it, but was Some women having blamed the parents for leaving unable to pacify it. their infant behind, the father sent his wife down to fetch it. Those people seem to me to be both dejected, almost insensible, prematurely dead, through misery? -- or regret? Could they ever reach their destination? It was scarcely probable. And the infant, would its frail carriage last through that long journey? I durst not ask myself. Only one member of that family seemed to me alive and life-like, it was a boy about fourteen, who, even at that moment, was putting on the drag for going down hill. That boy with black hair, and a serious but impassioned countenance, seemed full of moral strength and ardour; at least I thought so. He already felt himself the head of a Before going to that extremity, before quitting France, every resource will be employed. The son will sell himself*, the daughter turn servant, the young child enter the nearest manufactory, and the wife place herself as wet-nurse in the citizen's house†, or take to her own home the infant of the small shop-keeper, or even of the labourer.

The artisan, if he does but gain a pretty good livelihood, is the object of the peasant's envy. He who ealls the manufacturer "master" (bourgeois), is himself a master in the estimation of the countryman. The latter sees him on Sunday walking about dressed like a gentleman. He himself attached to the land, believes that a man who earries his trade about with him, who works without caring about the seasons, frost or snow, is as free as a bird. He knows not, and will not see the slavery of the manufacturer. He judges of him by the young travelling artisan whom he meets on the roads, making his tour of France, and who, at every halt, gains enough for his lodging and his journey; and then, resuming his long walkingstick and his knapsack, marches towards another town, singing as he goes along.

family, their provider, and charged with their safety. The real mother was the sister; she performed the part of one. The little one, weeping in his cradle, had also his part, and not the least important; he was the unity of the family, the bond between the brother and sister, their common foster child; in his little osier waggon, he was transporting the domestic hearth and the father-land; there, if he lived, Suabia would still be found, even in an unborn world. Alas! how much will these children have to do and to suffer! In looking at the eldest, and his beautiful serious countenance, I blessed him from my heart, and gave him as much as was in my power.

* These substitutes are too much despised. M. Vivien who, as a Member of the Commission of the Chamber, has made an inquiry upon this subject, has done me the honour to inform me that their motives were often very laudable:

to succour their family, acquire a small property, &c.

† No painter of morals, novelist, or political economist, as far as I know, has deigned to speak to us of the wet-nurse. There is, however, there a sad story, not sufficiently known! People do not know how much these poor women are speculated upon and unfairly used, first by the conveyances which transport them (often barely out of their confinement), and afterwards by the servants' office (breau) which receives them. When taken as nurses, on the spot, they must send away their own child, who often dies in consequence. They have no binding agreement with the family that hires them, and may be sent away at the first caprice of the mother, the monthly nurse, or the doctor; if the change of air and living should dry up the source of their milk, they are discharged without any indemnity. If they remain, they contract habits of idleness, and suffer extremely when they are obliged to return to their life of poverty; several become servants, in order never to leave the town; they never rejoin their husbands again, and the family is broken up.

CHAPTER II.

BONDAGE OF THE WORKMAN DEPENDENT ON MACHINERY.

"How brilliant is the city! How sad and poor is the country!" That is what you hear the peasants say, who come to see the town on holidays. They do not know that if the country is *poor*, the eity, with all its splendour, is perhaps more *miserable*.* Few people, moreover, make this distinction.

On Sunday, observe at the city gates (barrières), those two crowds moving in opposite directions; the artizan towards the country, the peasant towards town. Between those two seemingly analogous movements, there is a great difference. The peasant's is not a simple walk; he admires every thing in the town, desires all, and will remain there if he can.

Let him consider. One seldom returns to the country after once leaving it. They who come as servants, and partake of most of their masters' pleasures, by no means eare to return to their life of abstinence. Should those who become workmen in manufactories desire to return to the country, they could not; they are speedily enervated, and incapable of enduring severe toil, or the sudden variations of heat and cold; the open air would kill them.

But if the city is so absorbing, it seems that it ought not to be too much blamed for it; to the best of its power, it keeps away the peasant by high duties and the enormous prices of provisions. Besieged by these crowds, it thus attempts to drive off her assailants. But nothing deters them; no terms are hard enough. They will come in, in whatever character they please; as servant, workman, mere machine assistant, and himself a machine. One is reminded of those ancient Italic nations, who, in their frantic desire of entering Rome, sold themselves for slaves in order, at a later period, to become freedmen and citizens.

The peasant does not allow himself to be intimidated by the repinings of the artizan, or by the terrible descriptions he receives of his situation. He does not understand, he who earns one or two franes, how, with wages at three, four, or five francs, one can be miserable. "But the fluctuations of work, and the want of work?"

^{*} A distinction very cleverly set forth in the work of the esteemed and regretted M. Buret: "De la Misère," &c. 1840. In this work he has, perhaps, adopted too readily the exaggerations of the English inquiries.

What does it signify? He used to economise out of his small earnings: how much more easily, then, out of so large a salary, will he

lay by for a rainy day!

But, apart from wages, surely life is more agreeable in town. There, people generally work under cover; that alone, the having a roof over one's head, seems a great improvement. Without speaking of the heat, the cold of our climate is a punishment for those even who seem the most accustomed to it. For my part, I have spent many winters without a fire, without being less sensible of the cold. When the frost was over, I felt a happiness to which few enjoyments are comparable. Spring was a delight. These changes of seasons, so indifferent for the rich, constitute the bases of the poor man's life—his real events.

The peasant, by living in town, is better off in regard to food; it is, if not more wholesome, at least more savoury. It is not uncommon, in the first months of his residence, to see him grow fat. To counterpoise this, his complexion changes, and not for the better. What has he lost, in his removal? something most vital and even nutritious, which alone explains how country workmen remain strong even on food but little nutritious,—this is pure, free air, refreshed without ceasing, and renewed by vegetable perfumes. That the air in town is as unwholesome as is alleged, I do not believe; but it most assuredly is so in the miserable lodgings where so many poor workmen are pent up every night between wenches and thieves.

The peasant little expected this; nor did he calculate that, in earning more money, he was losing his treasure,—his sobriety, parsimony, nay, avarice, if we must speak frankly. It is easy to be saving far from temptations to spend, when only one pleasure occurs, that of saving. But how very difficult is it,—what resolution, what self-possession does it require, to keep money close, and your pocket buttoned, when every thing entices to open it! Add, also, that the savings bank, keeping an invisible treasure, by no means imparts those emotions which the peasant feels in burying and digging up his treasure with so much pleasure, mystery, and fear: still less has it the charms of a nice piece of land, always to be seen, always to be dug, and always wanting to be enlarged.

Indeed, the workman needs a fund of virtue to be saving. If he be a simple, good-natured fellow, and easily entrapped into company, a thousand various expenses,—the cabaret, the café, and so forth,—leave him penniless. If he be serious and honest, he marries at some prosperous moment, when work is plentiful: the wife earns little at first, but nothing when she has children; the husband, well off when he was single, knows not how to meet this certain over-

whelming every-day expenditure.

Besides the town imposts (droits d'entrée), there was formerly another obstacle which barred the peasant from towns, and prevented him from turning workman: this barrier was the difficulty of entering into any trade, the length of apprenticeship, the spirit of exclusion in fraternities and corporations. Manufacturing families took few apprentices—and those chiefly their own children, whom they mutually exchanged. But now new occupations have been created, requiring scarcely any apprenticeship, and taking any man. The real workman, in these trades, is machinery: it is not necessary for man to have much strength or skill; he is there only to superintend and aid that iron workman.

This unfortunate class of population, enslaved to machinery, comprises four hundred thousand souls, or rather more.* It is about the fifteenth part of our workmen. Whoever knows no kind of work, comes and offers himself to the manufactories to serve ma-

* They who enlarge this figure, include in it workmen occupied, it is true, in manufactories which employ machinery, but not enslaved to machines. These men are, and ever will be, an exception. Is the extension of machinism (to designate this system by a word) to be feared? Ought machinery to invade every thing? Will France become, in this respect, another England? To these grave questions I answer unhesitatingly, No; we must not judge of the extension of this system by the epoch of the great European war when it was over-excited by the extravagant premiums which are not afforded by ordinary trade Eminently fitted to lower the price of objects that are to descend among all classes, it has supplied an immense want, that of the lower classes, who, at a time of rapid ascension, wanted at once to have comforts, even to make a showy appearance; but remaining satisfied with a shabby genteel, often vulgar, and, what we call, de fabrique style. Though, by an admirable effort, manufactures have risen to very fine and un-hoped-for results, these products, manufactured wholesale and by uniform means, are immediately stamped with a monotonous character. The progress of taste renders this monotony sensible, and causes it sometimes to be wearisome. Many an irregular work of the nonmechanical arts charms the eye and the mind, more than those faultless manufactured chefs-d' œuvre which, by the absence of life, remind us of the substance from which they were generated, -- steam.

Add, moreover, that every man now will no longer be of such a class, but such a man, — he wants to be himself; consequently, he must care less for the products fabricated by classes, without any individuality which speaks to his own. The world is advancing in this direction; each wishes, in better comprehending generality at the same time, to characterise his own individuality. It is very likely that, all things else remaining equal, people will prefer to the uniform fabrications of machinery the incessantly diversified products which bear the impress of human personality; which to suit man, and change, as he changes, should spring immediately from man. — Therein is the real future prospect of manufacturing France, much rather than in mechanical fabrication wherein she remains inferior. — Moreover, the two systems lend each other mutual assistance. The more cheaply first wants will be satisfied by machinery, the more will taste rise above the products of machinism, and seek the products of an entirely personal art.

chines. The greater their number, the more their wages lower, and the more miserable they become. On the other hand, merchandise thus cheaply fabricated, descends within the reach of the poor, so that the misery of the machine-workman somewhat diminishes the misery of the artisan and peasant, who are, in all probability, seventy-fold more numerous.

This is what we saw in 1842. Spinning was at its last gasp, stifled; the warehouse choke-full, and no sale. The terrified manufacturer durst neither work nor cease working, with those devouring machines; but usury does not stand still; he worked, therefore, half-days, and incumbered the incumbrance. Prices were lowering, - all in vain; they lowered till cotton had fallen to six sous. something unexpected occurred. The words six sous aroused the people. Millions of purchasers, poor people who never bought any thing, began to stir. Then we saw what an immense and powerful consumer the people is, when they interfere. The warehouses were emptied in a moment: machinery began to work again with fury, chimneys to smoke. It was a revolution in France, little noted, but great; a revolution in cleanliness; a sudden embellishment in the homes of the poor, - body linen, bed linen, table linen, and window curtains; whole classes had them who had had none since the beginning of the world.

It is sufficiently understood, without further example: machinery. which seems an entirely aristocratical power by the centralisation of capital which it supposes, is, nevertheless, by the cheapness and the vulgarisation of its products, a very powerful agent of democratical progress; it brings within the reach of the poor a world of objects of utility, of luxury even, and of art, which they could never approach. Wool, thank God, has everywhere descended among the people, and warms them, and silk is beginning to adorn them. But the great and capital revolution has been cotton prints. It has required the combined efforts of science and art to force that rebellious and ungrateful tissue, cotton, to undergo every day so many brilliant transformations; to diffuse it everywhere, when thus transformed, and put it within the reach of the poor. Every woman wore formerly a blue or black gown, which she kept ten years without washing, for fear it might tear to pieces. But now her husband, a poor workman, with the value of a day's work covers her with a garment of flowers. All that female population, who now present on our promenades an iris of a thousand colours, were, till lately, all in mourning. Of these changes, which are thought futile, the bearing is immense. They are not simple material ameliorations, but a progress of the people in their exterior and appearance, by which men judge one another among themselves; it is, so to speak, visible

equality. Thereby they rise to new ideas which otherwise they did not reach; fashion and taste are, for them, an initiation into art. Add, what is still more important, that dress imposes even on the wearer; he wants to be worthy of it, and endeavours to correspond to it by his moral behaviour.

It requires no less, indeed, than this progress of all, — the evident advantage of the masses, — to make us accept the hard condition with which we must purchase it, that of having, amid a population of men, a miserable little tribe of men-machines, living but half a life, producing wonderful things, but not reproducing themselves, who propagate only for death, and perpetuate their class only by incessantly absorbing other populations who are ingulfed there for ever.

To have, in machines, created creators, powerful workmen, who invariably pursue the work once imposed upon them, is certainly a grand temptation to pride. But, on the other hand, what humiliation to behold, in presence of machinery, man fallen so low! The head is giddy, and the heart oppressed, when, for the first time, we visit those fairy halls, where iron and copper of a dazzling polish, seem going of themselves, and to have both thought and will, whilst pale and feeble man is the humble servant of those giants of steel. "Behold," said a manufacturer to me, "that ingenious and powerful machine, which takes vile rags, and after passing them, without ever making any mistake, through the most complicated transformations, turns them into tissue as fine as the most beautiful silk of Verona!" I admired in sadness; it was impossible for me not to see at the same time those pitiable human faces, those faded young girls, those crooked or dropsical-looking children.

Many people of keen sensibility, not to be the martyrs of their compassion, silence it by saying off-hand that this population presents so sad an appearance only because it is bad, spoiled, radically corrupt. They judge of it, generally, at the moment when it is the most shocking to the sight; according to the aspect it presents on leaving the manufactory, when the bell casts them forth into the street. This exit is always noisy. The men speak very loud; you would fancy they were quarrelling: the girls scream to one another with discordant hoarse voices: the children fight and throw stones, and are violent in their behaviour. This spectacle is not pleasing to behold; the passengers turn aside; the ladies are afraid, fancy a riot is at hand, and take another street.

We must not turn aside. We must enter the manufactory whilst it is working, and then we understand how that silence, that captivity during long hours, enjoin, at their exit, noise, cries, and movement for the re-establishment of the vital equilibrium. That is especially true for the great spinning and weaving workshops,—that real hell of

ennui. Ever, ever, ever, is the unvarying word thundering in your ears from the automatic rumbling of wheels shaking the very floor. Never can one get habituated to it. At the end of twenty years, as on the first day, the ennui, the giddiness, and the nausea, are the same. Does the heart beat in that crowd? Very little; its action is as if suspended; it seems, during those long hours, as if another heart, common to all, has taken its place, — a metallic, indifferent, pitiless heart;—and that this loud rumbling noise, deafening in its regularity, is only its beating.

The solitary task of the weaver was far less painful. Why? Because he could muse. Machinery allows no reverie, no musing. Would you for a moment lessen the movement, with liberty to increase it afterwards, you could not. The indefatigable chariot, with its hundred spindles, is scarcely thrust back before it returns to you. The hand-weaver weaves fast or slow, even as he breathes; he acts as he lives; the occupation conforms to man. But there, on the contrary, man must conform to the occupation; and the being of flesh and blood, in whom life varies with the hours, must submit to the unchangeableness of this being of steel.

It happens in the manual labours subject to our impulse, that our immost thought becomes identified with the work, puts it in its proper place, and the inert instrument, to which we impart the movement, far from being an obstacle to the spiritual movement, becomes its aid and companion. The mystic weavers of the middle ages were famous under the name of Lollards, because, in fact, whilst working, they lulled, or sang in a low tone, some nursery rhyme, at least in spirit. The rhythm of the shuttle, pushed forth and pulled back at equal periods, associated itself with the rhythm of the heart; in the evening it often happened that, together with the cloth, a hymn, a lamentation, was woven to the self-same numbers.

What a change, then, for him who is forced to leave domestic work to enter the manufactory! To quit his poor home, the wormeaten furniture of the family, so many old cherished objects, is hard; but harder still is it to renounce the free possession of his soul. Those vast workshops, so white, so new, and inundated with light, pain the eye accustomed to the shade of an obscure dwelling. There, there is no obscurity into which the mind may plunge; no dark angle where the imagination may suspend its dream; no illusion possible, in such a glare of light, which is incessantly warning him cruelly of the reality. Let us not wonder if our weavers of Rouen*,

^{*} The will and testament of the Rouen weavers is the remarkable little book written by one of them: Noiret, "Mémoires d'un Ouvrier Rouennais," 1836. He declares that they no longer take any apprentices.

and our French weavers in London, have resisted this necessity, with all their courage and stoic patience, preferring to fast and die, but to die at home. We have seen them struggling for a long time with the weak arm of man, an arm emaciated by hunger, against the brilliant, pitiless fecundity of those terrible Briareuses of industry, which, day and night, urged by steam, work with a thousand arms at once; at every improvement of the machine, its unfortunate rival added to his labour and retrenched from his food. Our colony of weavers in London has thus gradually become extinct. Poor people! so honest, so resigned, and innocent in their lives, to whom indigence and hunger proved no tempters. In their miserable Spitalfields, they cultivated flowers intelligently; London took pleasure in visiting them.

I spoke just now of the Flemish weavers of the middle ages, the Lollards and Beghards, as they were then called. The Church, which often persecuted them as heretics, never approached these dreamers but with one thing, love; an exalted and refined love for the invisible lover, God: occasionally, also, vulgar love, under the forms which it assumes in the populous districts of industry; vulgar, yet mystic, teaching for doctrine a more than fraternal community which was to establish a sensual paradise here on earth.

This tendency to sensuality is the same among those of the present day, who, moreover, have not the poetic reverie to soar above it. An English puritan, who, in our time, has made a delightful picture of the happiness which the manufacturing workman enjoys, confesses that the flesh grows very warm there and rebels. That does not proceed alone from the indiscriminate meeting of the sexes, from the temperature, &c. There is a moral cause. It is precisely because the manufactory is a world of iron, wherein man feels everywhere only the hardness and the icy chill of the metal, that he approaches so much the nearer to woman in his moments of liberty. The manufactory is the kingdom of necessity, of fatality. The only living thing there is the severity of the foreman; there they often punish, but never reward. There man feels himself so little man, that as soon as ever he comes out, he must greedily seek the most intense excitement of the human faculties, that which concentrates the sentiment of boundless liberty in the short moment of a delicious dream. This excitement is intoxication, especially the intoxication of love.

Unfortunately, ennui and monotony, from which these captives feel the desire of escaping, render them, in whatever their life contains of freedom, incapable of constancy, fond of change. Love, by ever changing its object, is no longer love: it is only debauchery. The remedy is worse than the disease; enervated by the thraldom of work, they become still more so by the abuse of liberty.

Physical weakness, moral impotency! The sentiment of impotency is one of the great miseries of this condition. This man, so weak in the presence of the machine, and who follows it in all its motions, is dependent on the master of the manufactory; and, still more, on a thousand unknown causes which, one moment or other, may cause a scarcity of work and deprive him of his bread. The ancient weavers, who, however, were not like these, the serfs of machinery, humbly avowed this impotency, and taught it; it was their theology: "God can do all, man nothing." The true name of this class is the first that Italy gave them in the middle ages, Humiliati.*

Our men are not so easily resigned. Sprung from military races, they are incessantly struggling to rise again; they would like to remain men. They seek, as far as they can, a false energy in wine. Does it require much to be intoxicated? Take a view of the cabaret itself (if you can get over this disgust); you will see that a man in an ordinary state, if he drink unadulterated wine, would drink much more without any inconvenience. But for him who drinks not wine every day, who comes there enervated, fainting from the atmosphere of the workshop, who, under the name of wine, drinks only a vile alcoholic mixture, intoxication is inevitable.

Extreme physical dependency, the claims of instinctive life, which once more revert to dependency, moral impotency, and the void of the mind, these are the causes of their vices. Do not, as they do at present, seek it so much in outward causes, for instance, in the inconvenience resulting from the meeting together of a crowd in the same place, as if human nature was so bad that to become entirely corrupt, it is sufficient to meet together. Behold our philanthropists, with this fine idea, working to isolate men and wall them up, if they can; they think they can preserve or cure man only by building him sepulchres.

That crowd is not bad in itself. Its disorders spring, in a great measure, from its condition, its subjection to the mechanical order,

^{*} i have several times, both in my lectures and my books (especially in Vol. V. of the "Histoire de France"), sketched out the history of industry. In order to understand it, however, it would be necessary to go farther back, and not consider it first of all in those great and powerful corporations which sway the city itself. We must first of all take the workman, in his humble origin, despised as he was in the beginning; when the primitive inhabitant of the town, the proprietor of the suburb, or even the tradesman, who had there his hall, bell, and justice, were unanimous in despising the workman, the blue-nail, as they called him; when the burgess hardly received him, outside the town, in the shadow of the walls, between two enclosures (pfahlburg); when it was forbidden to do him justice if he could not pay taxes; when they fixed for him, in a whim-sical arbitrary manner, the price at which he might sell, at so much to the rich, so much to the poor, &c.

which, for living bodies, is itself a disorder, - a death, and which thereby provokes, in the few moments of liberty, a violent return towards life. If any thing resembles fatality, it is certainly this. How heavily, how almost invincibly, does this fatality weigh upon the child and woman! The latter, less pitied, is, perhaps, even more to be pitied. She is in double bondage: though a slave to work, she earns so little with her hands, that the wretched creature must also earn with her youth. When old, what becomes of her? Nature has laid a law upon woman, that life should be an impossibility for her, unless she lean for support on man.

During the violence of the great contest between England and France, when the English manufacturers went and told Mr. Pitt, that the high wages of the workmen incapacitated them from paving the tax, he uttered a fearful sentence, - "Take the children!" That saying weighs heavily, like a curse, upon England. Since that time, the race has dwindled; that people, formerly athletic, is enervated and enfeebled. What has become of that rosy hue and bloom which was so admirable in the English youth? Withered and wasted. They believed Mr. Pitt, and took the children.

Let us profit by this lesson. The future is at stake; the law ought here to be more provident than the father; the child ought to find, in default of his mother, a mother in his native country. She will open the school for him as an asylum, a port, a protection

against the workshop.

The mental void, as we have said, the absence of every intellectual interest, is one of the principal eauses of the debasement of the manufactory workman. His is a work which requires neither strength nor skill, and never solicits thought! Nothing, nothing, and for ever nothing! No moral force could withstand that! The school ought to give to the young mind which such an occupation will not elevate, some lofty, generous idea, that may return in those long blank days, and solace it in the wearisomeness of mortal hours.

In the present state of things, the schools, organised for enaui, do but add disgust to fatigue. The evening ones are, for the most part, a farce. Imagine these poor little children, who left home before daylight, and are now returning, tired and wet, a league or two from Mulhausen; who, lantern in hand, are slipping and stumbling at night along the muddy lanes of Déville; - call on them now to begin their studies, and go to school!

Whatever be the miseries of the peasant, there is, in comparing them with those now under consideration, a terrible difference, which influences not accidentally the individual, but profoundly, generally, the very race. It may be said in one word, - in the country the

child is happy.

Almost naked, barefoot, with a morsel of brown bread, he tends geese or a cow, lives in the air, and plays. The agricultural labours, in which they gradually employ him, do but strengthen him. The precious years during which man is forming his body and his strength for ever, are thus passed by him in much liberty, and the comforts of home. Now go, you are strong; whatever you do or suffer, you can cope with life.

At a later period the peasant will be miserable: dependent, perhaps; but he has, first of all, gained some dozen or fifteen years of liberty. That alone gives him an immense difference in the scale of happiness.

The manufactory workman carries all his life a very heavy burden,—the weight of his childhood which weakened him early, and, very often, corrupted him. He is inferior to the peasant in physical strength; inferior, also, in the regularity of his morals. But, for all that, he has something which redeems him; he is more sociable and more gentle. The most miserable among them, in their extremest necessities, have abstained from every act of violence; starving, they still waited, and were resigned.

The author of the best inquiry of the day*, a firm and cool observer, who will not be suspected of any enthusiasm, adduces, in favour of this class of men, this important testimeny:—"I have found among our workmen but one virtue that they possess in a higher degree than the more happy classes;—this is a natural disposition to aid, to succour others in every kind of necessity."

I know not whether this is the only superiority they possess, but how great is it! That they should be the least fortunate, and yet the most charitable! That they should preserve themselves from that hard-heartedness so natural to misery! That, in this outward bondage, they still preserve a heart free from hatred!— that they love more! Ah! that is a noble triumph, and one which, doubtless, places the man whom we suppose degraded, very high in the estimation of God!

^{*} Villermé, "Tableau de l'E'tat physique et moral des Ouvriers des Manufactures de Coton," &c., 1840. We have seen these workmen, in November, 1839, when work was scarce, and the manufacturer obliged to keep only the oldest hands, demand that the work and the wages should be shared, that nobody might be sent away, vol. ii. p. 71.; see also 1.89, 366, 369.; and ii. 59, 113. Many of them, who are reproached with concubinage, would marry, if they had the necessary money and papers, i. 54, and ii. 283. (Frégier, ii. 160.). To the assertion of those who pretend that manufactory workmen would gain enough if they made a proper use of their wages, let us oppose the judicious observation of M. Villermé (ii. 14.). For them to carn enough, four things, according to him, are necessary: "That they always be well; always employed; that each family have but two children at most; and la-tly, that they be free from every vice." Those are four conditions seldom found.

CHAPTER III. 1

BONDAGE OF THE ARTISAN.

THE child who leaves the manufactory and the service of machinery to be apprenticed to a master, certainly rises in the industrial scale; more is required of his hands and of his mind. His life will no Tonger be the accessory of a lifeless movement; he will act himself, and be truly a workman. A progress in intelligence - a progress in suffering! The machine was regulated, and man is not.* was impassible, without caprice, anger, or brutality. It moreover left the child free, at stated hours; at night, at least, he might re-But here, the apprentice of the small manufacturer belongs to his master day and night: his labour is limited only by the exigence of more or less pressing orders; he has the work, and, moreover, all the miseries of the servant: besides the master's caprices, all those of the family. Whatever annoys or irritates the husband or the wife, falls, very often, upon his shoulders. A bankruptcy happens, the apprentice is beaten; the master comes home drunk, the apprentice is beaten; the work is slack or pressing, he is beaten all the same.

It is the ancient law of industry, which was nothing but bondage. In the contract of apprenticeship, the master becomes a father, but only to apply the words of Solomon, "Spare not the rod." As early as the thirteenth century, we see public authority interfering to mo-

derate this paternity.

And it was not alone from the master towards the apprentice that there was rigour and violence; in trades in which the hierarchy was involved, blows fell, ever multiplying from one degree to another. Certain nomenclatures of compagnonage still testify to this rigour. The compagnon, or foreman, is the wolf; tormented by the ape, that is, the master, he hunts the fox, the aspirant, who pays it with interest to the rabbit, or poor apprentice.

To be ill-treated and beaten for ten years, the apprentice was sobliged to pay; and he paid at every step they permitted him to

^{*} M. Léon Faucher has admirably distinguished this difference in his "Mémoire sur le Travail des Enfans à Paris." (Revue des Deux-Mondes, Nov. 15, 1844). See also his "Apprentissage dans l'Industrie parcellaire," vol. ii, in his "E'fudes sur l'Angleterre: "This excellent economist, who has proved himself a very great writer, reveals to us there, beyond the hell of manufactories, another hell which was not suspected.

take in this initiation. At length, when he had worn out the rope as apprentice, the stick as valet, he underwent the sentence of a corporation, interested in not augmenting their number, and might be sent back, rejected, without appeal.

Now, the gates are open: apprenticeship is less long, if not less hard. Apprentices are received but too easily; the miserable little gain derived from them (which the master, the father, or the body of the trade profits by) is a continual temptation to make new ones,

and multiply workmen beyond what is wanted.

The artisan of former times admitted with difficulty, more rare, and thereby enjoying a sort of monopoly, suffered none of the cares of our workman. He gained much less *, but he was seldom witheut work. He was a gay active companion, who travelled much. Wherever he found work, he remained. His master most generally lodged him, and occasionally fed him with wholesome, light food; in the evening, when he had eaten his dry bread, he went up to his garret under the tiles, and slept contented.

How many changes have taken place in his condition, but for the worse! A material amelioration, but an inconstant uneasy condition, the sombre security of fate! A thousand new elements of moral

sufferings!

Let us sum up these changes in one word: He has become a man.

* We have already spoken of the wages of manufactory workmen. If we would study wages in general, we shall find that this much-debated question comes to this: Wages have risen, say some; and they are right, because they reckon from 1789, or even further back. Wages have not risen, say others; and they are also right, because they reckon from 1824. Since that period, manufactory workmen gain less, and the others have but an illusory increase; the value of money having changed, he who earns what he then did, receives in reality one-third less. He who earned, and still earns three francs, receives hardly more than the value of two francs. Add that necessities having grown more numerous, together with ideas, he suffers in not having a thousand things that were then indifferent to him. Wages are very high in France, in comparison with Switzerland and Germany; but in the former wants are much more keenly felt. The mean rate of Paris wages, stated by M. L. Faucher and L. Blane alike, at three francs fifty centimes (2s. 11d.), is sufficient for a single man, but very insufficient for the father of a family. I give here the average of wages which several authors have endeavoured to fix for France, since Louis XIV.; but I know not whether it be possible to establish an average for such diversified elements : -

 1698 (Vauban)
 . 12 sous

 1738 (Saint-Pierre)
 . 16 "

 1788 (Arthur Young)
 . 19 "

 1819 (Chaptal)
 . 25 "

 1832 (Morogne)
 . 30 "

 1840 (Villermé)
 . 40 "

This is for labour in towns. Wages have increased very little in the country.

To be a man in the true sense, is first, and especially, to have a wife. The workman, generally single in former times, is often a married man at the present day. Married or not, he generally finds, on his return, a female in his house; a home, a fire-side, a wife—Oh! life has been transformed!

A wife, a family — children presently! Expense, misery! If

It is very affecting to see all these hard-working men in the evening, striding homewards at a rapid pace. See this man, after his long day's labour, often at a league from home, after a miserable breakfast and a solitary dinner, who has been standing for fifteen hours,—see how active he is at night! He is hastening to his nest. To be a man one hour a day, in fact, is not too much.

A sacred sight! He is carrying bread home, and, when once arrived, he rests himself; he is no longer any thing, but gives himself up, like a child, to his wife. Nourished by him, she nourishes and warms him; they both serve the child, who does nothing, but is free, and is their master. That the last should be master,—such is, indeed, the city of God!

The rich man never tastes this delightful enjoyment, this supreme blessing of man, to feed his family every day with the essence of his life — his work. The poor man alone is a father; every day he

creates anew, and re-produces his family.

This grand mystery is better felt by woman than by the sages of the world. She is happy in owing every thing to man. That alone imparts a singular charm to the poor household. There, nothing is foreign or indifferent; every thing bears the stamp of a beloved hand, the seal of the heart. Man very often little knows the privations she endures in order that, on his return, he may find his dwelling modest, yet adorned. Great is the ambition of woman for the household, elothes, and linen. This last article is new; the linen closet, the pride of the country woman, was unknown to the wife of the town workman, before the revolution in industry which I have mentioned. Cleanliness, purity, modesty, those graces of woman, then enchanted the house; the bed was surrounded with curtains; the child's cradle, dazzling with whiteness, became a paradise: - the whole cut out, and sewed in a few evenings. Add, moreover, a flower at the window! What a surprise! the husband, on his return, no longer knows his own home!

This taste for flowers, which has spread (there are now several markets for them here), and this little expenditure to ernament the interior, are they not lamentable, when these people never know whether they have any work on the morrow?—Call it not expenditure, say rather economy. It is a very great one, if the innocent

attraction of the wife renders this house charming to the husband, and can keep him there. Let us ornament, I beseech you, both the house and the wife! A few ells of printed cotton make her another woman; see, she is regenerated, and become young again.

"Remain here, I entreat you." This is on Saturday evening; she casts her arms round his neck, and saves her children's bread

that he was about to squander away.*

The Sunday comes, and the wife has conquered. The husband, shaved and changed, allows her to clothe him in a good warm garment. That is soon done. But that which is a long, serious business, is the child, such as they would like to dress him out on that day. They then set out, the child walks on before, under his mother's eye; let him take especial care not to spoil her capital work.

Look well at these people, and be well assured that how high soever you go, you will never find any thing morally superior. This woman is virtue, with a particular charm of unaffected reason and address to govern strength, without being aware of it. That man is the strong, the patient, the courageous, who bears for society the heaviest load of human life. A true companion of duty (a noble title of compagnonage!) He has stood strong and firm, like a soldier at his post. The more dangerous his trade, the more sure is his morality. A celebrated architect, sprung from the people, and who knew them well, said one day to a friend of mine, "The most honest men I have known were of this class. They know, at their departure in the morning, they may possibly not return in the evening, and they are always ready to appear before God.†

Still, such a profession, however noble it may be, is not that which a mother desires for her son. Hers promises much; he will go far. The Frères \(\pm\) speak highly of him, and caress him much. His drawings, holiday compliments, and writing-specimens, already ornament the room, between Napoleon and the Sacred Heart. He will be certainly sent to the free school for drawing. The father asks why? Drawing, replies the mother, will always be serviceable to him in his business. A reply of double meaning, we must confess, under which she conceals a far greater ambition. Why should not this

^{*} Bread! and the Landlord! two ideas of the wife, which never forsake her. What skill, virtue, and strength of mind, it often requires to save up a quarter's rent! Who will ever know it?

[†] This is what M. Percier said one day to M. Belloc, the director of the free-school of Design. The intelligent artist caught up the word, and used it in one of his excellent discourses (full of new views and fruitful sketches); and M. Percier, grateful for this homage paid to his dearest opinions, bequeathed a legacy to the school, a month before his death.

[‡] Ecclesiastical teachers in the free schools of the poor. C. C.

child, so well born and gifted, be a painter or a sculptor, as well as any other? She pinches herself for a few sous for *crayons*, and that very expensive paper. Her son will presently show up, and carry off all the prizes; in her fond dreams, already thunders the grand name of Rome.

Maternal ambition thus too often succeeds in making a poor miserable artist of one who would have better gained his livelihood as a workman. The arts are scarcely productive, even in time of peace, when all the richer classes, especially the women, instead of purchasing the products of art, are artists themselves. Should a war or a revolution break out, art is absolute starvation.

Often also the aspiring young artist, starting full of ardour and inspiration, is stopped short; his father dies, and he must succour his family; so, he turns workman. A great affliction for his mother

- much lamentation, which discourages the young man.

All his life he will curse his fate; he will work here, but his soul will be elsewhere. A cruel tormenting position. And yet nothing will dissuade him. Come not near him to give him advice; you would be ill received. It is too late; and he must surmount every obstacle. You will see him for ever reading and meditating; reading during the few moments allotted to meals, and in the evening, nay, throughout the night, absorbed in a book; on Sunday, ever at home and melancholy. One can hardly imagine how great is the thirst for reading in that state of mind. During work, and that the most irreconcileable of all with study, amid the rolling and trembling of twenty machines, I have known an unfortunate spinner put a book in the corner of his loom, and read a line every time the sledge receded and allowed him a second.

How long is the day when it passes thus! How tormenting are the last hours! For him who is waiting for the bell and cursing its slowness, the odious workshop, at the close of day, seems quite fantastic; the demons of impatience are cruelly sporting in those shadows. "O liberty! O light! Will you leave me here for ever?"

I pity his family, on his return, if he has one. A man imbittered in this struggle, and wholly intent on personal progress, considers every thing else of little value. The faculty of loving diminishes in this sombre life. The family is less loved; it annoys him; he weans himself even from his native land, imputing to it the injustice of fate.

The father of the studious workman, though more clownish, heavy, and inferior in so many respects, had more than one advantage over his son. The national sentiment was more powerful within him; he thought less about mankind, and more about France. The great French family and his own dear little family constituted his world, and he set his heart upon it. But, alas! what has be-

come of that charming home and the delightful household that we used to admire?

Science in itself does not harden and wither the heart. If it has this effect in the present case, it is because it is cruelly cramped when it reaches the mind. It does not show itself in its natural hues, in its true and perfect light, but obliquely, partially, like those narrow and false rays gleaming in a cellar. It does not make one malevolent and envious by what it imparts, but by what it withholds. He, for instance, who knows not the complicated means by which wealth is created, will naturally believe it is not created; that it does not augment in this world, but is only displaced; that one acquires only by stripping another: every acquisition will seem to him a theft, and he will hate every possessor. Hate? Why? For the possessions of this world? Why, the world itself would be worthless, were it not for love.

Whatever be the inevitable errors of an imperfect study, we must respect that moment. What is more touching, more serious, than to see the man who till now learned at hazard, wanting to study—pursuing science, with an impassioned will, through so many obstacles?

It is roluntary culture which places the workman, at the moment under consideration, not only above the peasant, but above the classes that are thought superior, who, in fact, have books, leisure, everything — whom science courts, but who, when once rid of the education imposed upon them, abandon study, and no longer care about truth. I see many a man who, after passing honourably through our higher schools, still young in years, but already old in heart, forgets the science he cultivated, without even having the impetuosity of the passions for an excuse, but feels weary, sleeps, smokes, and dreams.

Obstacles, I know, are great incentives. The workman loves books because he has but few; sometimes he has but one, but if it be good, he will learn so much the better. A single book read through and through, ruminated on and pondered over, is often more fruitful than a vast mass of indigested reading. I lived for whole years on a Virgil, and found myself well off. An odd volume of Racine, purchase by chance at a stall on the quay, created the poet of Toulon.

They who are inwardly rich have always sufficient resources. They extend what they have, fertilise it by thought, and transport it to infinity. Instead of envying this world of clay, they make one for themselves, all of gold and light. They say to this world, "Keep thy poverty that thou callest riches, I am rich within myself."

The greater part of the poetry written by workmen in later times is stamped with a peculiar character of meekness and melancholy, which often reminds me of their predecessors, the workmen of the middle ages. If some of them are bitter and violent, they are the minority. This lofty inspiration would have transported these true poets still higher, if, in regard to form, they had not followed the aristocratic models with too much deference.

They are scarcely beginning. Why are you in a hurry to say they will never reach the highest ranks? You start from the false notion that time and culture do every thing; you reckon as nothing the inward development which the soul acquires by its own strength, even amid manual labour — a spontaneous vegetation which thrives by obstacles. Book-men! know that this man, without books, and of little culture, has, in compensation, a substitute for them, — he is master of sorrows.

Whether he succeed or not, I see no remedy. He will pursue his road, the path of meditation and suffering. "He sought the light (says my Virgil), caught a glimpse of it, and groaned!" And, ever groaning for it, he will seek it for ever. Who that has once

had a glimpse of it, could ever renounce it?

"Light! more light!" Such were the last words of Goethe. This prayer of expiring genius is the general cry of nature, and it resounds from world to world. What that mighty man, one of the eldest born of God, then said, his most humble children, the least advanced in animal life, the Mollusca, say also in the depths of the sea; they will not live in any place where light does not reach them. The flower wants light, turns towards it, and decays without it. The companions of our toil, even animals, rejoice like ourselves, or grieve, according as it comes or goes. My grandson, two months old, weeps when day declines.

This summer, whilst walking in my garden, I heard a bird on a branch, singing to the setting sun; he was perched facing the light, and was visibly delighted. And so was I to see him; our sad domestic birds had never given me the idea of this intelligent, powerful creature, so small, yet so impassioned. I thrilled with joy at his song. He cast back his head and his dilated breast; and never was a singer, never was a poet in such natural ecstasy. Yet it was not love (the season had passed); it was evidently the charm of day, the loveliness of sunset, that filled him with joy.

Barbarous the science and cruel the pride that so degrades animated nature, and separates man so widely from his inferior brethren!

I said to him through my tears, "Poor child of light, who reflect it in your song, you do well indeed to sing it! Night, full of ambush and perils for you, is near akin to death. Who knows whether you will ever see day again?" Then, passing mentally from his destiny, to that of all the beings which, from the depths of creation, are so slowly ascending towards day, I said with Goethe and the little bird, "Light! O Lord! more light!"

CHAPTER IV.

BONDAGE OF THE MANUFACTURER.

I READ in the little book of the Rouen weaver, already quoted, "Our manufacturers are all workmen by origin;" and again: "Our manufacturers of the day (1836) are the laborious and economical working men of the early times of the Restoration." This, I believe, is pretty general, and not peculiar to the manufactories of Rouen.

Several building contractors have told me that they had all been

workmen, and had come to Paris as masons, carpenters, &e.

If working men have been able to rise to the very vast and complicated business of great manufactories, it will easily be believed that, with greater reason, they have become masters in those branches of industry which require much less capital, such as petty manufactures, trades, and retail businesses. Licensed tradesmen, who had searcely increased during the Empire, have multiplied two-fold during the thirty years that have passed since 1815. About six hundred thousand men have become manufacturers or tradesmen. Now, as in our country, whoever can just manage to live, keeps to his business, and does not embark in speculation, we may say with confidence, that half a million of working men have become masters, and obtained what they believed to be independence.

This progress was very rapid in the first ten years, from 1815 to 1825. Those brave men, who, returning from war, wheeled suddenly to the right about towards industry, charged, as for an onset, and, without difficulty, carried every position. So great was their confidence, that they imparted some of it even to the capitalists. Men of such spirit would carry with them even the most lukewarm; people believed, without any difficulty, that they were about to recommence in industry the whole series of our victorics, and give us,

in this field, satisfaction for our late reverses.

It is beyond a doubt that these parrenu workmen, who founded our manufactures, had excellent qualities: spirit, boldness, intrepidity in speculation, and often a sure eye for business. Many of them have made their fortunes: may their sons not ruin themselves!

With such qualities, our manufacturers of 1815 felt but too well the demoralisation of that sad period. Political death is not far from moral death; and so they could see then. They preserved,

generally, not the sentiment of honour, but the violence of military life; cared neither for men, things, nor the future, and treated unmercifully two classes of individuals, — the workman and the consumer.

Workmen, however, being still scarce at that period, even in machinery manufactories, which require so little apprenticeship, they were obliged to give high wages. They thus pressed men in town and country, placed these conscripts of labour at the pace of the machine, and required that they should be, like it, indefatigable. They seemed to apply to industry the great imperial principle: sacrifice men to abridge warfare. Our national impatience, which often renders us barbarous towards animals, acquired the force of law against men of military traditions; work was to go on at a quick march, at a gallop: so much the worse for those who perished!

As to commerce, the manufacturers of that time traded as if they were in a hostile country; they treated the purchaser just as the female shopkeepers ransomed the Cossaks in 1815. They sold at false weight, false die, and false measure; they thus played their cards very quickly, and retired; having shut France out from her best markets, compromised for a lengthened period her commercial reputation, and, what is more serious, done the English the essential service, not to mention other points, to estrange from us a whole world, Spanish America, the imitator of our Revolution.

Their successors, who are either their sons or their principal workmen, now find it a difficult matter to get on, with this reputation in every market. They are astonished and tormented to find their profits so much reduced. Most of them would be heartily glad to retire, if they could; but they are engaged, they must go on — march! march!

Elsewhere industry is based upon large capitals, upon a mass of customs, traditions, and sure relations; it has a vast regular trade for its foundation. But here (in France), to say the truth, it is but a fight. A persevering workman, who inspires confidence, becomes a sleeping partner; or else a young man is willing to hazard his father's earnings; he starts with a small capital, a dowry, or a loan. God grant that he may escape between two critical periods; for we have a crisis every six years (1818, 1825, 1830, 1836). It is always the same story; a year or two after the crisis come a few orders, oblivion, and hope; the manufacturer thinks he is launched; he urges, presses, and strains men and things, workmen, and machines; the commercial Bonaparte of 1820 reappears for a moment; then, encumbered and glutted, they are obliged to sell their goods at a loss: add, that these expensive machines are, about every five years, past service, or outdoue by some new invention; if

any profit remain, it goes to alter the machinery.

The capitalist, warned by so many lessons, now believes that France is rather an industrious than a commercial nation, more fitted to manufacture than to sell. He lends to the new manufacturer, like a man departing on a dangerous voyage. What security has he? The most splendid manufactories are sold only at a great loss; these brilliant buildings are, in a few years, worth only the iron and copper. It is not upon the factory that they lend, but upon the man; the manufacturer has the sad advantage of being able to be imprisoned, and that gives a value to his signature. He knows right well he has embarked his person, occasionally much more than his person, the lives of his wife and children, the property of his fathering-law, or that of some too credulous friend, perhaps even a sacred deposit, in the frenzy of this terrible existence. So, there is no mincing the matter, he must conquer or die; make a fortune, or jump into the river.

A man in this state of mind is not very tender hearted. It would be a miracle if he were gentle and kind to his people, his workmen. See him as he strides along his vast workshops, with a sullen unfeeling air. When he is at one end, the workmen, at the other, say in a whisper, "How furious he is to-day! how he has treated the foreman!" He treats them as he has just been treated himself. He has just returned from the money-market—say, from Base to Mulhausen, or from Rouen to Deville. He bawls, and they are astonished; they little know that the Jew has just taken from his body a pound of flesh!

From whom will he try to get it back? From the consumer? The latter is on his guard. The manufacturer falls back upon the workman. Wherever there is no apprenticeship, wherever apprentices are imprudently multiplied, they present themselves in erowds, and offer themselves at a low price, and the manufacturer profits by the fall of wages.* Then the glut in the market obliging him to sell even at a loss — the lowness of wages, which is death for the workman, is no longer profitable to the manufacturer; and the consumer alone gains by it.

The most hard-hearted manufacturer, however, was born man;

^{*} I was unwilling to believe what I was told of the infamous frau's practised by certain manufacturers, upon the consumer as to quality, and upon the workman as to quantity of work. I have been obliged to yield. The same things have been authenticated by friends of the manufacturers who have spoken of them to me with grief and humiliation, and by persons of note, both merchants and bankers. The prudhommes have no authority to repress these crimes; the sufferer moreover dares not complain. Such an inquiry concerns the attorney-general.

originally he felt something like interest for the crowd.* Gradually the pre-occupation of business, the uncertainty of his position, his risks, and mental sufferings, have made him very indifferent to the material sufferings of the workmen. He does not know them so well as his father did, who had been a workman himself.† Being changed incessantly, they seem to him ciphers, or machines, only less docile and less regular, which the progress of industry will allow him to dispense with; they are the flaw of the system in this iron world; where movements are so precise, the only thing faulty is man.

It is curious to observe, that the only persons (few indeed in number) who show any regard for the workman's lot, are occasionally the very small manufacturers, who live with him on a patriarchal footing; or, on the contrary, the very large and powerful establishments, which, founded upon solid fortunes, are sheltered from the ordinary disquietudes of trade. All the intermediate space is a pitiless battle-field.

We know that our manufacturers at Mulhausen have demanded, in opposition to their own interest, a law to regulate the labour of children. In 1836, when an experiment was made by one of them

^{*} This gradual hardening of the heart, the gradual cunning efforts to stifle the voice of humanity within them, is very acutely analysed by M. Emmery, in his pamphlet on the Amelioration du Sort des Ourriers dans les Travaux Publics (1837). He treats especially of the workmen injured in the dangerous tasks which the contractors undertake for Government. "A contractor, whose heart is in the right place, may once, or perhaps several times at first, relieve his unfortunate workmen when injured; but when this happens often, when the demands for relief are multiplied, they become too burdensome; the contractor then makes a bargain with himself, wards off his first impulse of generosity, insensibly reduces the circle of applicants, and diminishes, in a more marked way, the amount of every charitable donation. He finds out that in his most dangerous workshops, he, the contractor, receives no over-price on this account; on the contrary, that he is obliged to pay his workmen higher wages. Now, these higher wages soon seem to him the price of the accidents to be feared. Additional charity appears to him above his means. The injured workman, moreover, has not been long enough in his employment; the sufferer is not one of the most skilful, or most useful, &c.; that is to say, that the heart so hardens by habit, and often by necessity, that all charity is soon extinct: that the little relief granted is no longer shared among all according to strict justice; and that the only result of all the generous emotions which ought to be occasioned by such distressing sights, is reduced to a few donations, granted at pleasure, and calculated, not according to the real wants of starving families, but to the future interest of the work-yard (chantier), or of the contractor's undertakings.

[†] The difference between the father and the son is, that the latter, never having been a workman, knowing less about manufactures, and less acquainted with the limits of possibility and impossibility, is sometimes a harder task-master through ignorance.

to give the workmen salubrious lodgings with little gardens, these same manufacturers of Alsatia were touched with this happy idea, and under that generous impulse, subscribed two millions. What became of that subscription? I have not been able to discover.

The manufacturers would, most assuredly, be more humane, if their family, often very charitable, were less strangers to the manufactory.* They generally live apart, and see the workmen only from afar. They willingly exaggerate their vices, judging of them almost always from the moment of which I have already spoken, when liberty, long restrained, at length escapes with noise and disorder, — I mean the moment of leaving work. It often happens, too, that the manufacturer and his family hate the workman, because they think they are hated by him; and I will say, contrary to common opinion, that in this they are frequently mistaken. In the great manufactories, the workman hates the foreman, feeling as he does his immediate tyranny; but that of the master, being more remote, is less odious to him; if he has not been taught to hate it, he looks upon it as a fatality, and is not irritated against it.

The problem of industry becomes very complicated for France by her external situation. Blockaded, in a manner, by the unanimous ill-will of Europe, she has lost, with her old alliances, every hope of opening new outlets in the east or west. Industrialism, which founded the present system on the strange supposition that the English, our rivals, would be our friends, finds itself, with this friendship, blockaded and immured as in a tomb.

Assuredly our great, agricultural, and warlike France, with her twenty-five millions of men — who has been good enough to believe the manufacturers — who, upon their word, has kept motionless — who, out of kindness for them, did not retake the Rhine — has a right

^{*} I shall never forget a little touching, graceful, charming scene which I once witnessed. The master of a factory having had the kindness to conduct me himself over his workshops, his young wife insisted on being of the party. I was surprised, at first, to see her, in her white dress, attempt this journey through mud and dirt (every thing is not fine, nor clean, in the manufacture of the most brilliant objects), but I understood better afterwards why she encountered this purgatory. Where her husband showed me things, she saw men, souls, often sorely wounded. Without her explaining any thing, I comprehended how, gliding through that throng, she had a delicate, penetrating, sentiment of all the not hateful, but anxious, and perhaps envious thoughts that were fermenting among them. On her way she let fall words, both just and refined, sometimes almost tender; to a young suffering maiden, for instance, the young lady, herself a sufferer, did this with a good grace. Several were affected by it; an old workman, who thought she was fatigued, offered her a chair with charming eagerness. The young ones were more moody; she, who saw every thing, with a few words dispelled their sorrow.

now to deplore their credulity. More shrewd than they, she ever believed that the English would remain English.

Let us, however, make a distinction between the manufacturers. There are some who, instead of falling asleep behind the triple line of custom-houses, have nobly prosecuted the war against England. We thank them for their heroic efforts to raise the stone under which she expected to crush us. Their industry struggling against her, under every disadvantage (often at one-third more of expense!), has nevertheless defeated her on several points, even those which required the most brilliant faculties, the most exhaustless richness of invention. She has conquered by art.

It would require a separate treatise to make known the gigantic efforts of Alsatia, which, void of mercantile genius, and without higgling about the expense, has applied every means, invoked every science, and determined to attain the beautiful, cost what it would. Lyons has solved the problem of a continual metamorphosis, more and more ingenious and brilliant. What shall we say of that Parisian fairy, that responds every moment to the most unexpected sugges-

tions of fancy?

Unexpected, surprising result! France sells! France, that exeluded, condemned, and excommunicated. They come in spite of

themselves, and in spite of themselves are obliged to buy.

They buy — patterns, which they go and copy, ill or well, at home. Many an Englishman has declared, in an inquiry, that he has a house in Paris to have patterns. A few pieces purchased at Paris, Lyons, or in Alsatia, and afterwards copied abroad, are sufficient for the English or German counterfeiter to inundate the world. It is like the book trade; France writes and Belgium sells. These products in which we excel are unfortunately those which change the most, and are always requiring new preparations. Though it be the province of art to add infinitely to the value of the raw material, so expensive an art as this scarcely allows any benefit. England, on the contrary, possessing markets among the inferior nations of the five divisions of the world, manufactures on a grand scale, in a uniform way, long pursued without any new preparation or alteration: and such products, whether common or not, are always lucrative.

Work, then, O France, to remain poor: work and suffer, without ever tiring. The motto of the grand manufactures which constitute thy glory, which impose thy taste, thy scientific mind, upon the world, is this: Iuvent, or perish.

CHAPTER V.

BONDAGE OF THE TRADESMAN.*

THE man of work, whether artisan or manufacturer, generally looks upon the tradesman as a man of leisure. Sitting in his shop, what has he to do in the morning but to read the newspaper, then chat all day, and lock up his till in the evening? The artisan flatters himself that if he can save a trifle he will turn tradesman.

The tradesman is the tyrant of the manufacturer. He pays him back all the annoyance and vexations of the purchaser. Now, the purchaser, in the present state of society, is a man who wants to buy for nothing; a poor man, who would ape the rich, or a man whose wealth is of vesterday, and who is very loth to take out of his pocket the cash that has been just put in. + He requires two things. - a showy article, and the lowest price; the quality of the material is of secondary consideration. Who will give the value of a good watch? Nobody. Even the rich want nothing but a good watch cheap.

The tradesman must either deceive those people or perish. his life is composed of two warfares: one of cheating and cunning against this unreasonable purchaser, and the other of vexations and unreasonableness against the manufacturer. Fickle, uneasy, and finical, he pays him back, day by day, the most absurd caprices of his master, the public; drags him right and left, changes his tack every moment, prevents him from following up any idea, and renders

great invention, in several branches, almost impossible.

The chief point for the tradesman is, that the manufacturer should aid him in deceiving the purchaser, enter into his petty frauds, and not flinch before great ones. I have heard manufacturers bewail over what was required of them against their honour; they were obliged either to lose their trade or become accomplices in the most flagrant impositions. It is no longer enough to adulterate qualities, they must sometimes become forgers, and assume the marks of manufactures in vogue.

The repugnance for industry exhibited by the noble republics of antiquity, and the haughty barons in the middle ages, is doubtless

* We speak here of individual trading, as is generally the ease in France_ not of partnership concerns, which exist, as yet, only in a few large towns.

[†] New classes of men now springing up, as M. Leclaire very well explains (Peinture en bâtiment). They know nothing of the real value of articles. They want what is showy - washy! No matter.

unreasonable, if by industry we understand those complicated fabrics which require science and art, or a grand wholesale trade, which requires such a variety of knowledge, information, and combination. But this repugnance is truly reasonable when it relates to the ordinary usages of commerce, the miserable necessity in which the tradesman finds himself of lying, cheating, and adulterating.

I do not hesitate to affirm that for a man of honour the position of the most dependent working man is free in comparison with this. A serf in body, he is free in soul. To enslave his soul, on the contrary, and his tongue, to be obliged from morning to night to disguise his thoughts, this is the lowest state of slavery. Picture to yourself this man who has been a soldier, who has preserved in every thing else the sentiment of honour, and who is resigned to that. He must suffer much.

It is singular that it is precisely for honour that he lies every day, viz. to honour his affairs. Dishenour for him is not falsehood, but bankruptcy. Rather than fail, commercial honour will urge him on to the point at which fraud is equivalent to robbery, adulteration to poisoning;—a gentle poisoning, I know, with small doses, which kill only in the long run. Even though they pretend that they mix only innocuous and inert materials with their commodities*, the working man who thinks he shall derive from them the restoration of his strength, but finds nothing at all, can no lenger repair his substance; he declines, is exhausted, and lives (so to speak) upon the principal, the funds of his life, which will dwindle away by degrees.

What I find culpable in this adulterator, this vendor of intoxication, is not only his poisoning the people, but his debasing them. Man, fatigued with work, enters that shop in all confidence; he loves it as his house of liberty. Well, what does he find there? Shame! The spirituous mixture sold to him under the name of wine, has, as soon as drunk, an effect that a double or triple quantity of wine would not produce; it masters the brain, troubles the mind, the tongue, and the motions of the body. Drunk and penniless, he is cast by the tradesman into the street. Who is not pierced to the heart in seeing, sometimes in winter, a poor old woman, who has partaken of this poison to warm herself, thrust out in this state, to be a butt for the barbarity of children? The rich man passes by, and says,—"Behold the people!"

^{*} It has been *legally* ascertained that many of these substances were any thing but innocuous. See the "Journal de Chimie Médicale," the "Annales d'Hygiene, and Messrs Garnier and Harel on "Falsifications des Substances Alimentaires," 1844.

Every man who may have or can borrow 1000 francs (40l.), boldly begins trade. From artisan he turns tradesman, that is to say, a man of leisure. He used to live in the cabaret, or drinkingshop; so he opens a cabaret. He sets up not far from the oldestablished shops; on the contrary, as near as possible, to filch their custom from them; he comforts himself with the pleasant idea that he will swamp his neighbour. In fact, he gets customers immediately,—all those who owe the other, and will not pay. At the end of a few months, this new shop becomes old; for others have set up around it. He declines and perishes; he has lost money, but more still, what was worth more, his working habits. A joyful day that for the survivors, who gradually, however, end in the same way. Others come, but he never appears. Sad and miserable trade, void of industry, and every other idea but that of preying one upon an-

Scarcely does the custom improve, when tradesmen increase, multiply visibly, together with opposition, envy, and hatred. They do nothing, but stand at their doors, with their arms folded, eyeing one another askance, to see if the faithless customer will not enter another shop by mistake. Those of Paris, eighty thousand in number, had last year forty-six thousand trials before the Tribunal of Commerce alone, without speaking of the other tribunals. An awful number! How many quarrels and enmittee does it imply?

The especial object of this hatred, he whom the licensed trader pursues, and gets arrested when he can, is the poor devil who rolls his shop along, and stops for a moment; it is the unfortunate woman who carries hers in a basket! Alas, and often a child also!*

Let her not think of sitting down, let her be always moving on — otherwise she is seized!

I really do not know whether that wretched shopman, who has had her arrested, is more happy for being seated; never stirring, ever waiting, and able to foresee nothing. The tradesman scarcely ever knows whence his profit will arise. Receiving his goods at a second or third hand, he has no idea of the state of his own trade in Europe, and cannot guess whether next year he will make a fortune or become a bankrupt.

The manufacturer, even the artisan, have two things, which, in spite of work, render their lot better than that of the tradesman: —

First.— The tradesman does not create: he has not the important happiness—worthy of a man—to produce something—to see his work growing under his hand, assuming a form, becoming harmonious, responding to its framer by its progress, and thus consoling his emuni and his trouble.

^{*} See the touching piece of Savinien Lapointe.

Secondly.—Another awful disadvantage, in my opinion, is—the tradesman is obliged to please. The workman gives his time, the manufacturer his merchandise, for so much money; that is a simple contract, which is not humiliating. Neither has occasion to flatter. They are not obliged, often with a lacerated heart and tearful eyes, to be amiable and gay on a sudden, like the lady behind the counter. The tradesman, though uneasy and tormented to death about a bill that falls due to-morrow, must smile and give himself up, by a cruel effort, to the prating of some young fashionable lady, who makes him unfold a hundred pieces, chats for two hours, and after all departs without a purchase. He must please, and so must his wife. He has staked in trade, not only his wealth, his person, and his life, but often his family.*

The man the least susceptible on his own account, will suffer, every hour, in seeing his wife or daughter at the counter. Even a stranger, an indifferent spectator, does not see, without pain, the domestic concerns of a respectable family, beginning trade, violently disturbed, their fire-side turned into the street, their holy of holies displayed in the shop-window! The young lady listens, with downcast eyes, to the impertinent language of some indelicate customer. We return a few months after, and find her bold.

The wife, moreover, contributes much more than the daughter to the success of a house of business. She talks gracefully, charmingly. Where is the impropriety, in such a public life, before the eyes of the crowd? She chats, but she listens — and to everybody rather than her husband. That husband of hers has a moedy mind, is any thing but amusing, full of doubts and trifles, wavering in politics, in every thing discontented with the government, and discontented even

with the discontented.

That woman perceives more and more plainly that she has there a tiresome task; twelve hours a day on the same spot, exposed behind a shop windew among the goods. She will not remain for ever so motionless,—that statue may become animated.

There is the beginning of the husband's keen sufferings. The most cruel place in the world for a jealous man is a shop. Every-body comes there, and everybody flatters the lady. The wretched man even does not always know on whom to lay the fault. Sometimes he

^{*} People have spoken of the silk workwoman, and the elerk who made her pay him for conniving at the theft. People have also spoken of the cetton workwoman, in my opinion, erroneously: the manufacturer associates very little with his male and female workpeople. Lastly, they have said that the country usurer often offers terms at an immoral price. Why have they not spoken of the female shopkecper, so exposed, so obliged to please the purchaser, to talk long with him, and who is generally so disgusted?

goes mad, -kills himself or her: some take to their bed and die. More wretched still is he who is resigned.

There was a man who died thus a slow death, -not from jealousy, but grief and humiliation, being every day insulted and outraged in the person of his wife: I mean the unfortunate Louvet. After escaping the dangers of the Reign of Terror, and returning to the Convention, without the means of living, he set his wife up as a bookseller in the Palais-Royal, the book trade being, at this period, the only flourishing one. Unfortunately, this ardent Girondin, as opposed to the Royalists as to the Mountain, had a thousand enemies. A party, called jeunesse dorée, - they who ran away so famously on the 13 Vendémiaire, - went to parade bravely before Louvet's shop, entered, sneered, and revenged themselves on a woman, answering the furious husband's provocations only by shouts of laughter. had furnished them with matter himself, by printing, in the account of his flight and misfortunes, a thousand impassioned details, doubtless indiscreet and imprudent, about his dear Lodoïska. One thing ought to have protected her, and made her sacred for men of feeling, -her courage and devotedness; she had saved her husband. gallant gentlemen did not feel that; they coolly carried on their cruel jests, and Louvet died in consequence. His wife wanted to die, but her children, who were brought to her, condemned her to live.

CHAPTER VI.

BONDAGE OF THE OFFICIAL.

WHEN children grow up, and the family circle begin to inquire "What is to be done with them?" the most lively and least governable seldom fails to say, "I will be independent." He will commence business, and find therein the independence we have just spoken of. The other brother, the quiet, gentle boy, will be a government servant; at all events, there will be an attempt to make him one. To accomplish this, the family will make enormous sacrifices, often beyond their fortune. Great efforts, - and what result? After several years of schooling and ten years of college life, he will be made an extra clerk, and at length regularly appointed with a triffing salary. His brother, the tradesman, who during that time has had far different adventures, is heartily jealous of him, and loses few opportunities of making allusion to the unproductive classes, "who fall asleep comfortably at their desk." In the eyes of the man of industry, there is no producer but himself:—the judge, the soldier, the professor, the official, are "unproductive consumers." * The parents knew well that a career in a public office was not lucrative; but they wanted for their gentle, quiet child, a sure, fixed, and regular livelihood. Such is the ideal of families, after so many revolutions; such, in their opinion, is the lot of the official; all the rest comes and goes, varies, and changes; the official alone escapes from the vicissitudes of this mortal life, and is, as it were, in a better world.

I know not whether the man in office ever had this paradise on earth, this life of immobility and sleep; but in these days I see no man more on the wing. Without speaking of dismissals which are sometimes inflicted, and are ever to be feared, his life is but a series of changes, journeys, sudden transportations (for some electoral mystery or other) from one end of France to the other, inexplicable disgraces, so-called promotions, which, for two hundred francs more, send thim from Perpignan to Lille. All the roads are filled with officials, travelling with their furniture; many have discontinued having any. Quartered in an inn, with their trunks all packed,

^{*} As if justice and civil order, the defence of the country, and instruction, were not also productions, and the first of all!

they live there a sad solitary life, for a year, or less, in an unknown town: at length, when they are just beginning to make acquaintances,

they are started off to the opposite pole.

Above all, let them not marry; their position would be still worse. Independently of this roving life, their low salaries are not fit for a household. Those who are obliged to make their position respected, — such as the priest, the judge, the officer, the professor, — will pass their lives, if they have no fortune, in a continual struggle, in miserable efforts to hide their wretchedness, and envelope it in some imaginary dignity.

Have you not met (not once but often), in a diligence, a respectable, serious, or rather sad-looking lady, in humble and somewhat worn apparel, with a child or two, and an abundance of luggage and boxes, — a household on the top of the coach? On alighting, you see her met by her husband, a brave, worthy officer, no longer young. She follows him thus, with every species of annoyance and ennui, from one garrison to another, is confined on the road, nurses at an inn, and then sets out again. Nothing more sad than to see these poor women thus mixed up by affection and duty with the slavery of a military life.

The salaries of functionaries, whether military or civil, have changed very little since the empire.* The fixed salary, which people consider as their supreme good fortune, is, in this respect, enjoyed by almost all of them. But as money has fallen, the same figure is ever lessening in real value, and ever representing less: we have remarked this in speaking of commercial wages. France can boast of one thing, — which is, that, with the exception of a few high posts too well remunerated, her public functionaries serve the State almost for nothing. Yet for all that, I affirm that in this country, of which so much injurious has been said, there are few,

very few, functionaries accessible to a bribe.

I hear an objection: many are corrupted by the hope of promotion, by intrigue, and sinister influence; I know it — granted. And yet I will, nevertheless, maintain, that, among these very ill-remunerated people, you will not find any who accept money, like those in Russia, Italy, and so many other countries.

Let us consider the highest order. The judge who decides the fate, the fortune of men, who has every day affairs worth several

^{*} They have improved in almost all the other States of Europe. Here (in France) they have been augmented for a very small number of places, and lowered for others: for instance, for the clerks in préfictures and sous-préfectures. For the general character and the divisions of this large army of functionaries read M. Vivien's important work, "Etudes Administratives, 1845."

millions in his hands, and who for such lofty, assiduous, fatiguing functions, earns less than many a workman — the judge receives no bribe.

Now take the lowest, in a class where temptations are great, take the custom-house officer: there are some, perhaps, who will accept a trifling fee (pour boire) on some insignificant occasion, but never for any thing the least suspected of fraud. Do you wish to know, now, how much he gets for this ungrateful service? Six hundred francs: rather more than thirty sous (1s. 3d.) a day; add now the nights unpaid for; he passes every other night upon the frontier, or the coast, with no other shelter but his cleak, exposed to the attack of the smuggler, and the tempestuous gale, which sometimes hurls him from the cliff into the sea. There it is, upon that strand, that his wife brings him his scanty meal; for he is married, has children; and, to feed four or five persons, has about thirty sous! A baker's boy, at Paris*, earns more than two custom-house officers, more than a lieutenant of infantry, more than many a magistrate, more than the majority of professors; he earns as much as six (parish) schoolmasters!

Shame! infamy! the nation that pays the least to those that instruct the people (let us blush to confess it) is France. I speak of the France of these days. On the contrary, the true France, that of the Revolution, declared that teaching was a holy office, that the schoolmaster was equal to the priest. It laid down as a principle that the first expense of the State was instruction. The Convention, in its terrible penury, wished to give fifty-four millions (of francs) to primary instruction†, and would certainly have done so, had it lasted longer. A singular age, when men called themselves materialists, but which was, in reality, the apotheosis of the mind, the reign of the spirit.

I do not conceal it; of all the miseries of the present day, there is not one that grieves me more. The most deserving, the most miserable, the most neglected man in France is the (parish) schoolmaster. The State, which does not even know what are its true

^{*} I mean in general, the workman at an average salary, without winter chômage, or slack time. See a former note, p. 32.

[†] Three months after the 9th Thermidor (27th Brumaire, in the year III.), upon Lakanal's report. See the "Exposé sommaire des Travaux de Lakanal," p. 135.

[†] M. Lorain, in his "Tableau de l'Instruction Primaire," an official work of the highest importance, in which he gives a summary of the Reports of 490 Inspectors who visited all the Schools in 1833, cannot find expressions strong enough to describe the state of misery and abjectness in which he found our teachers. He declares (p. 60.) that some get altogether but one hundred france, some sixty, others fifty (21.) a year! Moreover, they have to wait a long time for payment, which often is not forthcoming! They are not paid in money;

instruments and its strength, that does not suspect that its most powerful moral lever is this class of men,—the State, I say, abandons him to the enemies of the State.

You say that the frères (ecclesiastical teachers) teach better; I deny it. But even if it were true, what does it signify? The schoolmaster is France; the frère is Rome, the stranger, the enemy: read rather their books; note their habits and relations; flatterers of the university:—they are all Jesuits at heart.

I have spoken elsewhere of the bondage of the priest *; it is hard and pitiable: the slave of Rome, the slave of his bishop, moreover, almost always in a position that gives to the well-informed superior a mortgage over him. Well, then, this priest, this serf, is the tyrant of the schoolmaster. The latter is not legally his subordinate, but he is his valet. His wife, a mother of a family, courts madame, the housekeeper, the influential favourite of monsieur, the curate. This woman, who has a family, and finds it so difficult to live, feels persuaded that a schoolmaster, on bad terms with his curé, is a lost man! They do not go about by two roads to overwhelm him; they do not stand trifling, saying he is an ignorant fellow; no, he is immoral, a drunkard, a ———. His children, multiplied, alas! year after year, in vain bear witness by their good conduct. The brethren alone are moral; they have certainly a few little lawsuits; but so soon hushed up.

Bondage! heavy bondage! I find it among the high and the low in every degree, crushing the most worthy, the most humble, the most deserving!

I do not speak, mark me, of hierarchical and legitimate dependency, of obedience to the natural superior. I speak of another kind, of an oblique, indirect dependency, which, beginning high, descends low, weighs heavily, penetrates, enters into details, inquiries, and wants to tyrannise even over the very soul.

A vast difference between the tradesman and the official! The former, as we have said, is condenned to lie about paltry objects of outward interest; but in what concerns his soul, he often preserves his independence. It is precisely on that side they attack the official; he is disquieted in the affairs of the soul, occasionally obliged to lie in what concerns his religious and his political creed.

every family sets apart the worst of the crop for the schoolmaster, who goes on Sunday to beg at every door with a sack on his back; he is not welcome when he claims his small lot of potatoes, they find he is robbing the pigs! &c. Since these official reports, new schools have been erected; but the fate of the old masters has not improved. Let us hope that the Chamber of Deputies will grant this year the increase of a hundred francs, that was demanded in vain last year.

See my work
 Priests, Women, and Families." Longman and Co., 1845.

The wisest strive to be forgotten; they avoid living and thinking, pretend to be nobody, and play this game so well, that they end by needing no simulation; they become, in reality, what they wished to appear. Our officials, who are however the eyes and limbs of France, try to see no longer, nor to stir; a body with such members must be very ill indeed.

For thus annihilating himself, does the unhappy man get off so? Not always. The more he yields, the more he recedes, — the more they require. They go so far as to ask him for what they call pledges of devotion, positive services. He might be promoted if he made himself useful, if he informed about such and such persons, — "such a one, for instance, your colleague, is he a safe man?"

There is a man tormented, sick. He goes home care-worn and dejected. Pressed tenderly, he confesses what is the matter. Where, think you, in this serious trial, does he find support? In his family?

Rarely.

It is a sad, a cruel thing to say, but it must be said: man in these days is not corrupted by the world, he knows it too well; nor by his friends. Who has friends? — No, what corrupts him most frequently is his own family. An excellent woman, uneasy about her children, is capable of any thing, even of urging her husband to baseness, to get him promoted. A devoted mother finds it very natural that he should make his fortune by devotion; the end sanctifies every thing: how can one sin in serving a holy cause? What will man do, when he finds temptation in his very family, that ought to keep him from it; when vice comes to him under the form of virtue, filial obedience, and the respect of paternal authority?

This side of our morals is serious; I know none more gloomy.

But that baseness, even with these appliances, that servility and Jesuitism should ever triumph in France, is what I will never believe. A repugnance for whatever is false and base is invincible in this noble country. The mass is good; do not judge of it by the floating scum. That mass, though wavering, has yet within it an assuring power: the sentiment of military honour ever renewed by our heroic traditions. Many a one, at the moment of fainting, stops short, without knowing why, because he feels upon his face the invisible spirit of the heroes of our wars, the breath of the old flag!

Ah! my hope is in the flag! that it may save France, the France of the army! May our glorious army, upon which the eyes of the world are fixed, maintain itself pure!* May it be a sword against

^{*} If atrocious actions have been committed, they were commanded. May they recoil upon those who gave such orders! — Let us remark, by the way, that, from party interest, our newspapers too often welcome the calumnious inventions of the English.

the enemy, a buckler against corruption! may a spirit of police never enter there! and may it ever have a horror for traitors, vil-

lanous proposals, and backstairs promotion!

What a deposit in the hands of those young soldiers! what a responsibility for the future! On the day of the last grand battle between civilisation and barbarism (who knows but it may be tomorrow?), the judge must find them irreproachable, their swords pure, and their bayonets gleaming without spot! Every time I see them pass, my heart bounds within me: "Here, and here only, strength and mind, valour and right, those two blessings, separated throughout the earth, go hand in hand. If the world is saved by war, you will save it. Holy bayonets of France! watch that nothing may darken that glory, impenetrable to every eye, now hovering above you.

CHAPTER VII.

BONDAGE OF THE RICH MAN AND THE BOURGEOIS; THE ANCIENT BOURGEOISIE.

The only nation that has an important army is that which is of no account in Europe. This phenomenon is not sufficiently accounted for by the weakness of a ministry or a government; it proceeds, unfortunately, from a more general cause — the decline of the governing class, so very new, yet so soon worn out — I mean the bourgeoisie.

I shall go back to an early date, in order the better to make my-self understood.

The glorious bourgeoisie that shattered the middle ages, and brought about our first French Revolution, in the fourteenth century, had this peculiar character—of being a rapid transition of the people to the nobility.* It was far less a class than a steppingstone, a passage. Then, having finished its work in a new nobility and a new royalty, it lost its changing character, was stereotyped, and remained a class, too often ridiculous. The citizen (bourgeois) of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries is a spurious being, whom nature seems to have arrested in its imperfect development, a mongrel being, graceless to behold, neither from above nor from below, that can neither walk nor fly, that is satisfied with himself, and struts with much pretension.

Our present bourgeoisie, produced in such quick time from our Revolution, did not, in rising, find nobles above them. They wanted so much the more to become a class all at once. They fixed themselves at their birth, and so firmly, that they fancied, rather sillily, they could engender an aristocracy; as much as to say they could extemporise an antiquity. This production has been found, as might be foreseen, not antique, but old and worn out.†

Though the *bourgeoisie* wish for nothing better than to be a separate class, it is not easy to specify the limits of this class, where it begins and where it ends. It does not comprehend exclusively

† Ancient France has three classes. New France has but two, the people and the bourgeoisie,

^{*} This transition was effected, as is well known, by the nobility of the robe. But, what is not known, is the facility with which this nobility became military in the fourteenth and fifteen centuries.

people well off, for there are many poor bourgeois.* In our country places, the same man, a day-labourer here, is a bourgeois there, because there he has property. Hence, thank God, the bourgeoisie cannot, strictly speaking, be opposed to the people, as is done by some folks, which would be no less than creating two nations. Our small rural proprietors, whether they be called bourgeois or not, are the

people, and the heart of the people.

Whether this denomination be extended or limited, what it be hoves us to observe is this, that the bourgeoisie, which, for the last fifty years, has taken upon itself to act almost alone, seems now paralysed and incapable of action. A very recent class seems as though destined to renew it; I speak of the commercial class, created in 1815, increased in the struggles of the Restoration, and which more than any other caused the Revolution of July. Perhaps more French than the bourgeoisie properly so called, it is bourgeoisie by interest; it dare not stir. The bourgeoisie will not, cannot; it has lost all motion. Half a century has then sufficed to see it spring from the people, rise by its activity and energy, then suddenly, amid its triumph, sink down upon itself. There is no example of so rapid a decline.

It is not we who say so, but itself. The most melancholy confessions escape it about its own rapid decline and that of France,

whom it drags down with it.

A minister said, ten years ago, in the presence of several persons, "France will be the first of the second-rate powers." That saying, then so humble, is, at the point to which things have since come, almost ambitious. So rapid is the decline!

As rapid within as without. The progress of the evil shows itself even in the discouragement of the very persons who profit by it. They can hardly be interested in a game in which nobody any longer expects to deceive anybody else. The actors are almost as much tired out as the spectators; they yawn with the public, worn out with their own efforts, and with the feeling of their decline.

^{*} If you observe attentively how the people employ this word, you will find that, among them, it signifies not so much riches as a certain standard of independence and leisure, the absence of care for their daily bread. Many an artisan, who earns five francs a day, says without hesitation, Mon bourgeois, to the famishing rentier, who enjoys an income of three hundred francs (121.) a year, and walks about in a black coat in the depth of January. If security be the essential distinction of the bourgeois, must we include those who never know whether they are rich or poor, — the commercial class; or those again who seem more firmly established, but who, by purchasing employments or otherwise, are the serfs of the capitalist? If they are not true bourgeois, they nevertheless adhere to the same class, by interest, fear, and the fixed idea of "peace at any price."

One of them, a man of sense, wrote a few years ago, that great men were no longer necessary, that henceforth people would be able to do without them. 'The work told. Only, if he print it again, he must extend it, and prove this time that men of mediocrity, secondrate talents, are not indispensable, and may be done without also.

The press, ten years ago, pretended to some influence. It has laid aside its pretension. It has felt convinced, to speak only of literature, that the *bourgeoisie*, who alone read (the people scarcely read at all), had no need of art. It has consequently been able, without anybody complaining of it, to reform two expensive articles — art and criticism; it has applied to hack writers, to a firm of novel writers; then, retaining only their names, to workmen of a third-rate character.

The general decline is less felt, because it is shared by all; all descending together, the relative level is the same.

Who would say, from the little noise stirring, that we have been so noisy a nation? The ear becomes gradually accustomed to it, and so does the voice. The diapason is changed. Many a one thinks he is shouting, whilst he is only squeaking. The only noise of any compass, is that of the Exchange. He who hears it near, and sees that agitation, will too easily imagine that that torrent profoundly troubles the great stagnant marsh of the bourgeoisie. A mistake. It is doing the mass of bourgeois at once too great an injury and an honour, to suppose they have so much activity for material interests.* They are egotists, it is true, but given to routine. inert. Except a few short feverish moments, they generally hold to their first acquisitions, which they fear to compromise. It is incredible how very easily this class, especially in the provinces, resigns itself to mediocrity in everything. They have but little, and that little only since yesterday; but provided they keep it, they settle so as to live without acting or thinking.†

* France has not the shopkeeper spirit, except in its English moments (like that of Law, and the present one), which are exceptional fevers. This is especially seen in the facility with which the men who at first seem the most eager, generally halt early on the road to fortune. The Frenchman who has cained in trade, or otherwise, an income of a few thousand fanes, fancies himself rich, and does nothing more. The Englishman, on the contrary, sees, in the wealth he has acquired, the means of becoming more rich; he perseveres in his work till death. He remains rivetted to his chain, wholly wrapped up in his business; only he pursues it on a larger scale. He does not feel the want of the leisure, which would allow him to spend his life freely.

Accordingly, there are very few rich men in France, if you except our foreign capitalists. These few rich people would be almost all poor in England. From our rich men you must deduct a number of people who make a good figure, but whose fortune is either at stake, or still uncertain, or mortgaged.

† I know, near Paris, a pretty considerable town, which counts some hundreds of proprietors or rentiers, of from four thousand to six thousand francs

What characterised the ancient bourgeoisie, what is wanting in the

new one, is, especially, security.

The bourgeoisie of the two last centuries, firmly established on the foundation of fortunes already old, on financial and long robe dignities, which were reckoned as properties, on the monopoly of commercial corporations, &c., believed itself quite as secure in France as the monarchy. Pride, the awkward imitation of the great, was its ridicule. This aspiring to rise higher than they could, has left its stamp in the emphasis, the bombast which characterises most of the monuments of the seventeenth century.

The ridiculous feature of the new bourgeoisie is the contrast between its military antecedents and its present timidity, which it newise conceals, but expresses on every occasion with singular simplicity. Should three men be in the street, talking together about wages, or should they ask the contractor for an augmentation of one sous the bourgeoise is frightened, cries out, and calls fer the police.

The ancient bourgeois was, at least, more consistent. He ad mired himself in his privileges, wanted to extend them, and looked upwards. Our man looks downwards, he sees the crowd ascending behind him, even as he ascended; he does not like it to mount, he retreats, and holds fast to the side of power. Does he avow to himself his retrograde tendency? Seldom; for his past is averse to it; he remains almost always in this contradictory position, a liberal in principle, an egotist in practice, wanting, yet not willing. If there remain anything French within him, he quiets it by the reading of some innocently growling, or pacifically warlike newspaper.

Most governments, we must say, have speculated upon this sad progress of fear, which is, in the end, nothing but moral death. They have thought that the dead were to be had at a cheaper price than the living. To inspire a fear of the people, they have constantly shown those terrified persons two heads of Medusa, which

have at length petrified them - terror and communism.

History has not yet closely examined that unique phenomenon of terror, which no man, and assuredly no party, could restore. All that I can say here is, that, behind this popular phantasmagoria, the directors, our grand terrorists, were, by no means, men of the

a year, or rather more; who never think of going beyond that figure, who do nothing, read nothing, neither books nor (scarcely) newspapers, are interested about nothing, never visit one another, never unite, and hardly know one another. The absorbing interest of the Bourse is never felt there, but, unfortunately, it is felt among the lower class, for instance, among the saving poor in towns, and even in the country, where the peasant has not even a newspaper to enlighten him upon the snare.

people, but bourgeois, nobles, with cultivated, subtle, whimsical minds, sophists, and scholastics.

As to communism, to which I shall hereafter revert, one word will here suffice. The last country in which property will be abolished, will be precisely France. If, as some one of that school said, "Property is nothing but theft," we have here twenty-five millions of thieves, who will not refund in a day.

They are, nevertheless, excellent political machines to frighten those who possess, make them act contrary to their principles, or deprive them of every principle. See what a fine handle the Jesuits and their friends have made of communism, especially in Switzerland. Whenever the liberal party is gaining ground, they discover, at a given moment, and proclaim with loud clamour, some new calumny, some atrocious plot, which horrifies the good proprietors, both Protestants and Catholics, Berne as much as Fribourg.

No passion is permanent; fear less than any other. We must submit to its progress. Now, fear does this: it continues ever increasing its object, and weakening the sickly imagination. Every day, some new distrust: such an idea seems dangerous to-day; to-morrow such a man, such a class; they then shut themselves up closer and closer, they barricade, and strongly block up at once their doors and their minds; no more daylight—not the slightest crevice to admit a ray of light.

No more contact with the people. The bourgeois no longer knows them but by the "Gazette des Tribunaux." He sees them in his servant who robs him and laughs at him. He sees them, through his window, in the drunken man passing yonder, shouting, tumbling, and rolling in the mud. He knows not that the poor fellow is, after all, more honest than the wholesale and retail

poisoners who have brought him to that sad condition.

Hard work makes hardy men and blunt language. The voice of the man of the people is rough; he has been a soldier, and always affects a military energy. The bourgeois concludes that his manners are violent, and very often he is mistaken. The march of time is perceptible in nothing more than in this. Lately, when an armed force rushed rudely into the house of the nother of the carpenters, when their money-box was broken open, their papers seized, together with their little savings, have we not seen those courageous men keep within the bounds of moderation, and refer the matter to the law?

The rich man, generally, is a man who has grown rich—the poor man of yesterday. Yesterday he was himself the artisan, the soldier, the peasant, whom he avoids to-day. I can better understand that the grandson, who was born rich, can forget that; but

that within a man's life, in thirty or forty years, one should disown himself, is inexplicable. And you, man of our warlike times, who have a hundred times faced the enemy, do not, I beseech you, fear to look your poor countrymen in the face, at whom you have been so frightened. What are they doing? Why, beginning to-day as you began. That man yonder is yourself, only younger. That young recruit, who goes away singing the Marseillaise,—is he not yourself, who left, when a boy, in '92? Does not the officer of Africa, full of ambition and warlike aspirations, remind you of 1804, and the camp at Boulogne? The tradesman, the artisan, the inferior manufacturer, strongly resemble those who, like you, followed fortune in 1820.

These men are like you; if they can, they will rise, and very probably by better means, being born in better times. They will gain, but you will lose nothing. Lay aside the false notion that people gain only by taking from others. Every ficod of rising people brings with it a flood of new wealth.

Do you know the danger of remaining so isolated, so closely pent up? It is to imprison nothing but vacuity. By excluding men and ideas, you yourself dwindle away and become poor. You shut yourselves up in your class, your little circle of habits, where the mind and personal activity are no longer necessary. The door is well closed; but there is nobody within. Poor rich man! if you are no longer any thing, what is it you want to guard so closely?

Let us open that soul, and see whether it has any remembrance of what was, of what remains. Is there any of the young enthusiasm of the Revolution? Alas! who would find the least trace of it? The warlike strength of the empire, and the liberal aspiration of the Restoration, are no more to be seen.

We have seen this man of to-day decrease at every step that seemed to exalt him. When a peasant, he had anstere morals, sobriety, and economy; when a workman, he was a good companion and a great help to his family; when a manufacturer, he was active, energetic, and had his manufacturing patriotism, which struggled against foreign industry. He has left all that on the road, and nothing has taken its place; his house is filled, his coffer is full, his soul is—empty.

Life glows and clings to life; it becomes extinct by isolation. The more it mingles with lives different from itself, the more it becomes amalgamated with other existences, and the more strongly, happily, and fruitfully does it exist. Descend in the scale of creation to the poor beings that make us doubt whether they be plants or animals, and you enter a solitude; these miserable creatures have scarcely any connexion with others. Stupid egotism! on what

side does the timid class of rich men and bourgeois turn their eyes? With whom will it associate? where find alliances? Precisely with what is most fluctuating, the political powers that come and go in this country, the capitalists who, on the day of revolutions, will take their ledgers and cross the channel. Proprietors, do you know who it is that will not move any more than the land itself? It is the people. Trust to them.

Rich men! the safety of France and of yourselves consists in your not being afraid of the people, in your going to them, in your knowing them, in your laying aside the fables imposed upon you, and which bear no relation to reality. You must understand one another, open your hearts, no longer gnash your teeth, and speak to

one another like men.

You will go on descending, dwindling, ever declining, if you do not summon around you and adopt all that is strong and able. The question is not to have capacities, in the common meaning of the word. It is of no consequence if an assembly that contains a hundred and fifty advocates has three hundred. The men educated in our modern scholastics will not regenerate the world. No; it is the men of instinct, inspiration, either uncultivated or of different cultures (strangers to our proceedings, and which we do not appreciate) — these are the men whose alliance will bring life to the man of study, and practical sense to the man of business, which certainly he has latterly been in want of; this appears but too plain from the state of France.

What I ought to hope from the rich and the bourgeois, towards a broad, frank, generous association, I know not. They are very ill; people so far gone are not easily cured. But, I confess. I have still some hope in their sons. Those young men, such as I behold them in our schools, before my chair, have a better tendency. They have ever welcomed with a generous heart every sentence in favour of the people. Let them do more; let them give them their hands, and form early with them the alliance of common regeneration. Let not our rich youths forget that they bear a heavy load, the life of their fathers, who, in so short a time, have risen, enjoyed, and fallen; they are exhausted from their birth, and, young as they are, they have much need to grow young by imbibing the popular spirit. Their strength lies in their being still very near the people, their root, whence they have but just sprung. Well, then! Let them return to them with sympathy and heart, and get back from them a little of the vigour which, since '89, has constituted the genius. riches, and strength of France.

We are fatigued, both young and old. Why not own it, at the end of this hard day's work, which has lasted half a century? Even

they who have traversed, like me, different classes, and who, through all sorts of trials, have preserved the fruitful instinct of the people, have no less lost by the way, in inward struggles, a great part of their strength. It is late—I feel it; the evening is coming on. "Already longer shadows fall from the tops of the mountains."

Come on, then, you, the young, the strong! Come, you workmen. We will open our arms to you. Bring back to us a new

warmth; let the world, let life, let science begin again.

For my part, I fondly hope that my science, my dear study, history, will go on reviving in that popular life, and become by means of these new comers the grand and salutary thing that I had dreamed of. The historian of the people will spring from the people.

That man, doubtless, will not love them more than I. All my past life, my true country, my home, and my heart, are among them. But many things have prevented me from taking the most fertile element. The entirely abstract education that is given us hardened me for a long time. It took many long years to efface the sophist that had been created within me. I came to myself only by shaking off that foreign accessory; I have learned to know myself only by negative means. That is the reason why, sincere, passionate after truth, as I have ever been, I have not attained the ideal of sublime simplicity which I had before my mind. On you, then, young man, devolve the gifts which have been wanting in me.* You, son of the people, being less removed from them, will come at once upon the field of their history, with their colossal strength and inexhaustible vigour; my streams will, of their own accord, come and be mingled with your torrents.

I give you all that I have done. You will give me oblivion. May my imperfect history be swallowed up in a more worthy monument, where science and inspiration better harmonise; where, among vast and searching inquiries, we perceive everywhere the vital breath of

immense crowds, and the fruitful soul of the people.

But I ought to help beforehand, and prepare the young man. That is my object in continuing my history. A book is the means of making a better book.

CHAPTER VIII.

REVIEW OF THE FIRST PART - INTRODUCTION TO THE SECOND.

In glancing over this long social scale, traced in a very few pages, a multitude of ideas, of painful sentiments, a world of melancholy rushes upon me. So many physical pains! But how many more moral sufferings! Few are unknown to me; I know, I feel, I have had quite my share. I must, however, banish my own sentiments and my own memory, and follow my glimmering light through this obscure mist.

My light especially, one that will not deceive me, is France. The French sentiment, the devotedness of the citizen to his native country, is my standard for estimating these men and these classes; a moral, but also a natural standard; in every living thing, every part is especially valuable by its relation to the whole.

In nationality, as in geology, heat is below. Descend, and you

will find it increases; in the inferior layers it is burning hot.

The poor love France, as being under an obligation towards her, and having duties towards her. The rich love her as belonging to them, and being under obligations to them. The patriotism of the former is the sentiment of duty; that of the others is a demand, the pretension to a right.

The peasant, as we have said, has espoused France in legitimate marriage; she is his wife for ever; he is one with her. For the artisan, she is a handsome mistress; he has nothing, but he has France, her noble past existence, her glory. Free from local ideas, he adores grand unity. He must be very miserable, enslaved by hunger or by toil, when this sentiment fades within him—it is never extinct.

The unhappy bondage of interest still augments if we ascend to the manufacturers and tradespeople. They feel themselves always in danger, and walk as if upon a tight rope. Bankruptcy! to avoid a partial bankruptcy, they would rather risk making a general one. They have made and unmade July.

And yet, can we say, that in that great class of several millions of souls, the sacred fire is extinct, absolutely, and beyond all remedy? No, I would rather believe that the flame is within them in a latent state. Foreign competition, the Englishman, will prevent them from losing the spark.

What chilliness, if I ascend higher! It is like the cold among the Alps. I reach the snowy region. Moral vegetation gradually disappears, the national flower grows pale. It is like a world seized in one night by a sudden chill of egotism and fear. Should I ascend one step higher, even fear has ceased; it is the pure egotism of the calculator who had no country; no more men, only ciphers. An actual glacier abandoned by nature.* Allow me to descend, the cold is here too powerful for me, I cannot breathe. 'If, as I believe, love is life itself, there is very little life up there. It seems that, viewing it from the point of national sentiment, which makes a man extend his life throughout the whole life of France, the more we ascend towards the upper classes, the less living we become.

But, as a set-off, are they less sensible to sufferings, more free, more happy? I doubt it. I see, for instance, that the great manufacturer, so very superior to the miserable small rural proprietor, is, like him, and oftener than he, the slave of the banker. I see that the small tradesman, who has risked his savings in trade, who compromises his family (as I have explained), who is panting with uneasy expectation, envy, and rivalry, is not much happier than the workman. The latter, if he be a single man, if he can spare thirty sous for slack periods, out of his four francs of daily wages, is incomparably more merry than the shopkeeper, and more independent.

The rich man, it will be said, suffers only from his vices. And that is much; but we must also add his ennui, and moral decline, the sentiment of a man who was once better, and who preserves life enough to be sensible of its decline, to see in lucid moments that he is sinking into the miseries and ridicule of a petty spirit. What can be more melancholy than to fall ever lower, and never to be able to effect any act of the will that might restore you? From being a Frenchman to dwindle to a cosmopolite, to any kind of man, and from man to the Mollusca!

What have I intended to say in all this? That the pauper is

^{*} These glaciers are not impartially indifferent like those of the Alps, which accumulate fruitful streams only to pour them out indiscriminately among the nations. The Jews, whatever be said of them, have a country, - the exchange of London: they operate everywhere, but they are rooted in the country of gold. Now that an armed peace, that motionless war, that devours Europe, has put into their hands the funds of every state, what can they love? - The country of statu quo, England. What can they hate? - the country of progress, France. They thought lately to deaden her by buying up a score of men which France refuses. Another mistake: from vanity, from an exaggerated sentiment of security, they have enlisted kings in their band, mingled with the aristocracy, and, by so doing, have become associated in political hazards. That is what their forefathers, the Jews ct the middle ages, would never have done. What a decline in Jewish wisdom!

happy? that every destiny is equal? "that there is a compensation?" God forbid I should maintain so false a proposition, so well fitted to annihilate the heart, and administer consolation to egotism! Do I not see, do I not know by experience, that physical suffering, far from excluding moral suffering, is for the most part united to it; fatal sisters who agree together to crush the poor! See, for instance, the destiny of woman in our poorer quarters; she scarcely ever brings forth but for death, and finds in material wants an infinite cause of moral suffering.

In a moral and physical aspect, this society has, beyond all others, an affliction peculiar to itself; it is become infinitely sensitive. That the ordinary ills of humanity have decreased, is my own opinion, and history sufficiently proves it. But they have diminished in a finite, while sensibility has increased in an infinite, ratio. Whilst the expanded mind opened a new sphere to grief, the heart gave, by love and family ties, a new advantage to fortune. Dear opportunities of suffering, which no one assuredly would sacrifice. But how much more uneasy have they rendered life! People no longer suffer from the present only, but from the future, from what may be. The soul, all-aching in anticipation, has the sentiment and presentiment of future ill, occasionally of ills that will never happen.

To crown all, this age of extreme individual sensibility is precisely that which, doing everything by collective means, is the least inclined to spare the individual. Action, in every variety, is centralised in some grand power; and, whether he will or not, man is drawn into this whirlwind. How little his weight is there, and what becomes of his dearest thoughts, his poignant griefs, in these vast general systems, alas! who can tell? The machine rolls on, immense, majestic, and indifferent, without even knowing that its

, petty wheels, so cruelly ruffled, are living men.

But surely those animated wheels, which act under one and the same impulse, know each other? Surely their necessary co-operative relation must produce a moral relation? By no means. This is the strange mystery of this age; the period at which we act the most together is, perhaps, that in which hearts are the least united. The collective means which places thought in common, circulates and diffuses it, has never been greater; yet never was isolation more profound.

The mystery remains inexplicable to all who do not observe, historically, the progress of the system from which it proceeds. This system, to eall it by one word, is *Machinism*; let me be permitted to state its origin.

The middle ages laid down a formula of love, and it led only to hatred. It consecrated inequality and injustice, which made love an

75

impossibility. The violent reaction of love and nature, called the Renaissance, did not found a new order, and seemed a disorder. The world, to which order was a necessary want, then said, "Well, let us not love; an experiment of a thousand years is sufficient. Let us seek order and strength in the union of powers; we shall find machines which will keep them united together without love, which will frame, and hold men so fast, nailed, rivetted, and screwed together, that, though detesting one another, they will act together." And then they reconstructed administrative machines, analogous to those of the old Roman empire, a bureaucracy à la Colbert, armies à la Louvois. These machines had the advantage of employing man as a regular power, — life, without its caprices and inequalities.

However, these are still men; they retain something human. The wonder of Machinism would be to do without men. Let us seek powers which, once set in motion by us, may act without us,

like clockwork.

Moved by us? Here we still have man; that is a defect. Let Nature furnish not only the elements of the machine, but the moving power. Then it was that they created those iron workmen, which, with a hundred thousand arms, a hundred thousand teeth, comb, spin, weave, and do all manner of work; the power, — they derive it, like Antæus, from the bosom of their mother, Nature, — from the elements, from water that falls, or which, held captive and expanded in steam, animates and upheaves them with its powerful breath.

Political machines, to make our social acts uniformly those of an automaton, to relieve us from patriotism; commercial machines, which, once created, multiply monotonous products ad infinitum, and which, by the art of one day, dispense with our being artists every day. That is so far well; man no longer appears much. Machinism, nevertheless, wants more; man is not yet mechanized profoundly enough.

He preserves his solitary reflection, his philosophical meditation, the pure thought of truth. There they cannot reach him, unless a borrowed scholastic divinity drag him from himself to involve him in its formulas. When once he has set his foot in this wheel, which turns in vacuity, the thinking machine, indented in the political machine, will roll on triumphantly, and will be termed *Political*

Philosophy.

But fancy still remains free, — that vain poetry which loves and creates according to its caprice. Useless movement! Sad waste of powers! Are, then, those objects, which fancy goes pursuing at random, so numerous, that we cannot, by well classing them, stamp for each class a mould, into which we shall only have to pour

according to the wants of the day, such a novel or such a drama,—any work that may be ordered? This attained, no more men engaged in literary labour, no more passion, no more fancy. In England's eyes, the *beau ideal* of manufacturing perfection is a single machine with a single individual to set it going. How much finer is the triumph of mechanism, to have *mechanized* the fairy world of fancy!

Let us sum up this history:

The State, without the country; industry and literature, without art; philosophy, without research; humanity, without man.

How can we be surprised if the world suffer, and no longer breathe under this pneumatic machine; it has found means to do without what is its soul, its life; I mean love.

Deceived by the middle ages, which promised union and did not keep their word, it has renounced it, and sought, in its discouragement, arts for not loving.

Machinery (not even excepting the finest commercial or administrative machines) has given to man, among so many advantages*, an unfortunate faculty, that of uniting powers, without the necessity of a union of hearts; of co-operating without loving; of acting and living together, without knowing one another. The moral power of association has lost all that mechanical concentration had gained.

Wild isolation, even in co-operation itself, ungrateful contact, without either will or heat, which is felt only by the roughness of the friction. The result is not indifference, as one might suppose, but antipathy and hatred, not the mere negation of society, but the reverse; society actively endeavouring to become unsociable.

I have, before my eyes and in my heart, the grand review of our miseries which has been made in my own ease. Well! I would affirm on oath, that among all these very real miseries, which I do not extenuate, the worst still is the wretchedness of the mind. I mean, by that, the incredible ignorance in which we live relatively to each other, practical as well as speculative men. And the principal cause of this ignorance is, that we do not think it necessary that we should know one another. The thousand mechanical means of acting without the soul, exempts us from knowing what man is, from viewing him otherwise than as a power, a cipher. Ciphers ourselves, and abstract things, disengaged from vital action by the aid of machinism, we feel ourselves every day declining and sinking to zero.

^{*} I do not by any means intend to dispute these advantages (see page 21.). Who would go back to those powerless ages when man had no machines?

I have observed, a hundred times, the perfect ignorance in which every class lives relatively to the others, not seeing, and not wanting to see.

We, for instance, with cultivated minds, what trouble have we in acknowledging whatever good qualities may be in the people! We impute to them a thousand things which depend, almost necessarily, on their situation, an old or dirty coat, an excess after long abstinence, a rude word, rough hands, what else? But what would become of us if they were less rough? We stop to consider outward things, trifles of form, and we do not see the good and great heart which is often within.

They, on the other hand, do not suspect that an energetic soul may exist in a feeble body. They laugh at the learned man for leading a cripple's life. In their opinion he is a sluggard. They have no idea of the powers of reflection, meditation, and the force of calculation made tenfold by patience. Every superiority that is not gained in war, seems to them ill-earned. How often have I perceived with a smile, that the Cross of the Legion of Honour seemed to them ill-placed upon an insignificant-looking man, with a pale, sad face.

Yes, there is a misunderstanding among us. They hold cheap the powers of study and persevering reflection which create inventions; and we ill appreciate the instinct, inspiration, and energy

which beget heroes.

This is, rest assured, the greatest evil in the world. We hate and despise one another, that is, we are unknown to one another.

The partial remedies which might be applied, are, doubtless, good; but the essential remedy is a general one. We must cure the soul.

The poor suppose, that if the rich were bound down by such and such laws, all would be right—that the world would go on well. The rich think, that in restoring the poor to such and such religious forms, extinct for two centuries, they are strengthening society. Fine topics! They imagine, apparently, these political or religious formulas to have a cabalistic power to charm the world; as if their power was not in the harmony which they find or do not find in the heart!

The evil is in the heart. Let the remedy be also in the heart! Lay aside your old receipts. The heart must open, and so must our arms. Why! they are your brothers after all: had you forgotten that?

I do not say that this or that form of association may not be excellent; but the question at first is far less about the form than the foundation. The most ingenious forms will not be of much service to you if you are unsociable.

Between men of study and reflection, and men of instinct, who is to make the first advances? We, the men of study. The obstacle (whether repugnance, idleness, or indifference) is frivolous on our side. On theirs the obstacle is truly serious; it is the fatality of ignorance — it is suffering, which withers and hardens the heart.

The people reflect, doubtless, and often more than we; nevertheless, what characterises them is their instinctive powers, which belong equally to the mind and to the body. The man of the people

is especially a man of instinct and action.

The disunion of the world is principally the absurd opposition which has been formed in our days, in this age of machinery, between instinct and reflection; it is the contempt which the latter entertains for instinctive faculties, which it believes it can do without.

Hence I must explain what instinct and inspiration are, and lay down their law. Follow me, I beseech you, in this inquiry: it is the condition of my subject. The political city will then only be acquainted with itself, its evils and remedies, when it shall have seen itself reflected in the mirror of the moral city.

PART II.

ENFRANCHISEMENT BY LOVE. - NATURE.

CHAPTER I.

INSTINCT OF THE PEOPLE, HITHERTO LITTLE STUDIED.

About to enter on this vast and difficult inquiry, it is not very encouraging to reflect that I am alone on this road; I meet nobody from whom I can derive assistance. I am alone; but I will never-

theless march forward, full of courage and hope.

Noble writers, of an aristocratical genius, who had always sketched the manners of the upper classes, have bethought themselves of the people; they have undertaken, with a benevolent intention, to bring the people into fashion. They have gone down from their saloons into the street, and inquired of the passengers where the people lived. They were directed to the galleys, the prisons, and the low neighbourhoods.

The result of this misunderstanding is very sad; for they have produced an effect contrary to that which they intended. In order to interest us in the people, they have chosen, depicted, and related things which would naturally disgust and frighten us. "What! are the people so constituted?" cried, with one voice, the timid race of citizens. "Haste! let us increase our police, arm ourselves, shut our doors, and bolt them!" It is found, however, on well considering things, that these artists, famous dramatists, before every thing else, have depicted, under the name of the people, a very limited class, whose life, full of incidents, violence, and felony, offered them an easy, picturesque effect, and success, by means of terror.

All, whether writers of criminal law, economists, or sketchers of manners, have, almost exclusively, studied an exceptional people, that unclassed class, which frightens us every year with the progress of crime and the number of old offenders. It is a well-known people, who, thanks to the publicity of our tribunals, and the conscientious slowness of our law courts, occupies a place in public

attention, such as it obtains in no other country in Europe.

The secret law proceedings of Germany, and the rapid administration of justice in England, give to their imprisoned or transported criminals no kind of *éclat*. England, twice or thrice richer than France in this respect, does not thus display her wounds. Here, on the contrary, there is no class that obtains the honours of a more complete publicity. A strange society living at the expense of the other, yet followed by it with interest: they have their newspapers to register their gestures, arrange their words, and give them cleverness. They have their heroes and illustrious men, whom all the world knows by name, and who come periodically to the assizes to relate their campaigns.

This chosen tribe that is almost solely privileged to sit before these painters of the people, is principally recruited from the populace of large towns; and no class contributes more to it than the

working class.

Here, again, our *criminalists* have held sway over opinion; it is under their auspices that our economists have studied what they call the people. In their opinion the people is especially the workman, and most especially the workman in manufactories. This mode of speech, which would not be out of place in England, where the working population form two thirds of the whole, is singularly so in France — a great agricultural nation, where the working classes do not constitute a sixth part of the population.* It is, no doubt, a numerous class, but, after all, a small minority. They who go to find their models there, have no right to inscribe beneath, "This is a portrait of the people."

Examine well those witty but corrupt crowds of our cities, which so much strike the observer: listen to their language, note their flashes of wit, often happy, and you will discover something that nobody has yet spoken of,—viz. that these people, who sometimes know not how to read, have, nevertheless, in their own way, highly

cultivated minds.

Men who live together, ever in contact with one another, necessarily develope themselves by the mere fact thereof, as by the effect of natural warmth. They give each other an education, — a bad

one, if you please, but still an education.

The simple sight of a great city, where, without intending to learn any thing, we are instructed every instant, and where, in order to be acquainted with a thousand new things, it is sufficient to go into the street, and walk with our eyes open, — this sight, this city, be assured, is a school. They who live there do not live an in-

^{*} And of this sixth, the manufactory-workman forms a very trifling portion.

stinctive, natural life; they are men of cultivated minds, who observe more or less, and reflect well or ill. I find them often very subtle, viciously cunning. The effects of a refined culture are there but too plainly visible. If you would find something in the world contrary to nature, directly opposed to all the instincts of childhood, look at that artificial creature denominated the gamin de Paris.* Still more artificial is the youngest imp of Satan, the horrible boy-man of London, who, at twelve years of age, trades, robs, drinks gin, and goes with the girls. Artists, such are your models! The fantastical, the exceptional, the monstrous, that is what you seek. Are you moralists, or are you caricaturists? What difference is there now? One day there came a man to the famous Themistocles, and proposed to him an art of memory. He answered bitterly, "Give me, rather, the art of forgetfulness."

May God give me this art, to forget from this moment all your monsters, your fantastic creations, those shocking exceptions, with which you perplex my subject! You go about, spy-glass in hand; you hunt in the gutters, and find there some dirty, filthy object, and bring it to us, exclaiming, "Triumph! we have found the people!"

To interest us in them, they show them to us forcing doors and picking locks. To these picturesque descriptions they add those profound theories, by which the people, if we listen to them, justify themselves in their own eyes for this warfare against property. Truly, it is a frightful misery, in addition to so many others, for them to have these imprudent friends. These acts and these theories are not at all of the people. The mass is doubtless neither pure nor irreproachable; but still, if you want to characterise it by the idea which prevails in the immense majority, you will find it occupied, quite on the contrary, in founding, by toil, economy, and the most respectable means, the immense work which constitutes the strength of this country, the participation of all classes in property.

I said, I feel I am alone, and I should be sad, indeed, if I had not with me my faith and hope. I see myself weak, both by nature and my previous works, in presence of this mighty subject, as at the foot of a gigantic monument, that I must move all alone. Alas! how disfigured it is to-day! how loaded with foreign accumulations, moss, and mouldiness, spoilt by the rain and mud, and by the injuries it has received from passengers! The painter, the man of art for art, comes and looks at it; what pleases him is precisely that moss. But I would pluck it off. Painter, now passing by! this is not a plaything of art, mind you, — this is our altar!

^{*} It is a marvel in the national character, that this abandoned child, incited to evil, and over-excited in every manner, retains some good qualities, wit and courage.

I must dig away the earth, and discover the deep foundation of this monument; the inscription I see, is now quite buried, hidden very far under ground: to dig there I have neither pickaxe, spade, nor mattock: my nails shall suffice.

I shall, perhaps, be as fortunate as I was ten years ago, when I discovered two curious monuments at Holyrood. I was in the famous chapel, which, having been long unroofed, is exposed to rain and fogs, which have clothed all its tombs with thick green moss. The remembrance of the old alliance, so unfortunately lost, made me regret that I was not able to read anything on those tombs of the old friends of France. Mechanically I scraped away the moss from one of these stones, and read the inscription of a Frenchman, who had been the first paver of Edinburgh. My curiosity being excited, led me to another stone with a death's head sculptured upon it. This tomb, quite sunken, was itself buried and shrouded in mouldiness. I scratched away with my nails, having no other instrument, and I began to read something of a Latin inscription, four words almost effaced, which I at length deciphered - words of a very serious import, well fitted to cause reflection, and raise the suspicion of a tragical end - "Legibus fidus, non regibus:" Faithful to laws, not to kings.*

And now I dig again; I would get to the bottom of this earth. But this time it is not a monument of hatred and civil war that I would disinter. What I want is, on the contrary, to find, in descending below this sterile, cold ground, those depths where social heat begins, where the treasures of universal life are kept, and where the dried up fountains of love would again gush out for all the world.

^{*} Here is the whole inscription as I read, or fancied I read it, for it was almost effaced beneath the moss of three centuries: — W. Harter. Legibus fidus, non regibus. Januar. 1588.

CHAPTER II.

THE INSTINCT OF THE PEOPLE WEAKENED, BUT STILL POWERFUL.

Criticism waits for my first word, and imposes silence on me: "You have made, in a hundred and odd pages, a long balance of social miseries, of the bondage attached to every condition. We have been patient, in the hope that, after the evils, we should at length learn the remedies. To evils so real, so positive, and so specified, we expect that you will offer something better than vague words, a hackneyed sentimentality, moral and metaphysical remedies. Propose special reforms; draw up, for every abuse. a neat formula of what must be changed; address it to the Chambers. Or, if you confine yourself to lamentations and reveries, you would do better to return to your middle ages, which you ought not to have quitted."

Special remedies have not been wanting; I fancy we have some fifty thousand in the Bulletin des lois; we add more to them every day, but I do not see that we improve. Our legislative physicians treat every symptom which appears in this or that place as a distinct and particular case, and expect to cure it by some local application. They little know the profound bond of union of all the parts of the social body, and that of all the questions which relate to it.* Herodotus tells us that the Egyptians, in the infancy of science, had different physicians for every part of the body; one attended to the nose, another to the ear, a third to the belly, &c. They cared little whether their remedies harmonised; each of them worked apart, without disturbing the others; if, each separate member being cured, the man still died, that was his own affair.

I have, I confess, formed a different standard of medicine. It seemed to me, that before every external and local remedy, it would

For instance, they would not see that the penitentiary question was a corollary of that of public instruction. Whether the question be to form or reform man, to raise or relieve him, it is not the mason, but the teacher, that the State should invoke—the religious, moral, and national instructor, who will speak in the name of God and in the name of France. I have seen many a miserable creature, supposed to be desperately deprayed, and upon whom the sentiment of morality and religion would have had no effect, still preserve that of his native country.

not be amiss to inquire into the inward evil that produces all these symptoms. This evil is, in my opinion, the chill, the paralysis of the heart, which creates insociability; and the latter is especially connected with the false notion that we may isolate ourselves with impunity, that we have no need of others. The wealthy and educated classes imagine especially that they have nothing to do with the instinct of the people, that their book knowledge is sufficient for every thing, and that men of action would teach them nothing. To enlighten them, I have been obliged to explore what is fruitful in the instinctive and active faculties. This road was long, but legitimate, which no other was.

I bring to this inquiry three qualities. When I said just now I was alone, I was wrong. First, I bring with me the observation of the present, which is so much more important that, in my case, it is not only outward, but inward also. A son of the people, I have lived with them, I know them, they are myself. How could I, being thus in the heart of things, go astray, like others, take the exception for the rule, monstrosities for nature? Secondly, my next advantage is, that thinking less about this or that novelty in manners, this or that special class of yesterday, but keeping myself in the legitimate universality of the mass, I easily connect it again with its past. Changes in inferior classes are much slower than in the upper. I do not find this class produced on a sudden, at random, like an ephemeral monster, bursting from the earth; but I see it descending by a legitimate descent from the bosom of history. Life is less mysterious when we know the birth, forefathers, and antecedents; when we have seen how the living being existed, so to speak, long before he was born. Thirdly, taking this people thus in their present and in their past, I see their necessary relations re-established with other nations, whatever be the degree of civilisation or barbarism they have attained. They all expound and comment upon one another. To any question that you put to one, the other replies. Many a particular, for instance, in the habits of our mountaineers of the Pyrenees, or of Auvergne, you may consider clownish. I consider it barbarous; as such, I comprehend it, class it, and know its proper place and value in the general existence. How many things, half effaced in the manners of our people, seem inexplicable, devoid of reason and sense, but which, re-appearing to me in their harmony, with primitive inspiration, are found to be nothing else but the wisdom of a forgotten world. Poor shapeless fragments, that I met without recognising, but which, from some feeling or other, I would not leave grovelling in the dust; I picked them up at random, and filled the folds of my mantle with them. Then, upon considering them attentively. I discovered, with religious emotion, that what I had collected was neither stone nor flint, but the bones of my fathers.*

This criticism of the present by the past, by the varied comparison of nations and different ages, I was unable to make in this little book. It has nevertheless helped me to control and clear up the results which observation, reading, and information of every kind afforded me upon our present manners.

"But," it will be said, "is not this control dangerous in itself? Is not this criticism fool-hardy? Do the people that we see possess any important relation to their original source? Common-place to such a degree, can they remind us in the slightest of those tribes, which, in their savage state, still retain a poetic flame? We do not pretend that fecundity or creative power has been ever wanting in popular masses. In the savage or barbarous state, they produce, as the national songs of all primitive nations sufficiently testify. They produce also, when transformed by culture; they approach the higher classes, and mingle with them. But a people that has neither primitive inspiration nor culture, that is, is neither civilised nor savage, but in an intermediate state, at once vulgar and rude, does not such a people remain impotent? The savages themselves, who are naturally gifted with much noble feeling and poetry, are disgusted in seeing our emigrants, the members of these brutal populations."

I do not dispute the state of depression, of physical and occasionally moral degeneration, in which the people are now found, especially the town population. The whole mass of oppressive toils, all the burden which, in ancient times, the slave bore alone, is now found parcelled out among the free men of the lower classes. All partake of the miseries, material vulgarities, and turpitude of slavery. The most fortunate races, our handsome races of the south, for instance, so lively and merry, are sadly broken down by work. The worst is, that at the present day, the soul is often as much crushed as the shoulders; misery, want, the dread of the usurer or the tax-gatherer

-what is less poetical?

The people have less poetry in themselves, and find less in the society that surrounds them. That society has seldom, if at all, the kind of peetry they can appreciate, the absorbing interest in the picturesque or pathetic. If it has a high class of poetry, it is in frequently very complicated harmonies, which an inexperienced eye cannot discern.

Man, poor and alone, surrounded by those immense objects, those

^{*} They who are acquainted with my book on the "Origines du Droit," will well understand this.

enormous collective powers, which hurl him along, without his understanding them, feels himself weak and humiliated. He has none of that pride which formerly rendered individual genius so powerful. If interpretation is withheld, he stands discouraged before that grand society which seems to him so strong, so wise, and so learned. Whatever comes from that centre of light is accepted by him, and preferred, without hesitation, to his own conceptions. In presence of this wisdom, the humble popular muse is mute, and dares not breathe. The first comer may overawe this rustic muse, silence her, or even make her sing her own songs. Even so, we have seen Beranger, in his exquisite and nobly classic mood, become the national songster, invade the whole mass of the people, drive out the old village songs, and even the ancient rhymes sung by our sailors. Our artisan-poets have lately imitated the rhythms of Lamartine, disinheriting themselves, as much as they could, and too often sacrificing whatever they might possess of popular originality.

The fault of the people, when they write, is ever to abandon their heart, which is their stronghold, to go and borrow abstractions and vague generalities from the upper classes. They have a great advantage, but do not appreciate it—that of not knowing the conventional language, of not being, as we are, besieged, pursued, by ready-made sentences, formulas, which present themselves of their own accord, when we write, and take their places upon our paper. And yet this is precisely what our studious workmen envy us, and borrow from us as far as they can. They dress, put on gloves to write, and thus lose the superiority which the people derive, when they know how

to use it, from their strong and powerful arms.

What does it matter? Why ask men of action what are their writings? The true products of popular genius are not books, but courageous deeds, witty sayings, glowing and inspired language, such as I note every day in the street, proceeding from a vulgar mouth, apparently the most unlikely to be made for inspiration. Moreover, take from that man, now so repulsive by his vulgarity, his old clothes, put him in uniform, with a sabre, a gun, a drum, and a flag before him. He is no longer to be recognised; he is another man. Where is the former?—Impossible to find him.

This depression, this degeneration, is only superficial. The groundwork remains. This race has always wine in its blood; even in those who seem the most extinct, you will find a spark. Ever a military energy; ever a brave carelessness; ever a grand exhibition of an independent spirit. This independence, which they know not where to place (shackled, as they are, on every side), they too often throw into vicious courses, and boast of being worse than they are.

Exactly the reverse of the English.

Shackles without, and a strong life broaching its claims within,—this contrast produces many false movements, a discordance in word and deed, which shocks at first sight. It is the cause, also, why aristocratic Europe delights to confound the people of France with the imaginative and gesticulating nations, such as the Italians, the Irish, the Welsh, &c.

What distinguishes our people from them, in a very decided and distinct manner, is, that in their greatest transports, in their sallies of imagination, in what people are pleased to call their fits of Don Quixotism, they still preserve common sense. In their most violent paroxysms, a firm, serious language shows that the man has not lost his balance, that he is not the dupe of his own excitement.

This relates to the French character in general. To revert to the people in particular, let us remark, that the instinct which predominates in them gives them an immense advantage for action. The reflecting mind reaches action only after passing through the process of deliberation and discussion; it has to traverse so many things that it frequently never arrives. On the contrary, the instinctive thoughtouches the act, is almost the act itself; it is almost at the same moment thought and deed. The classes that we call inferior, and which follow instinct more closely, are, for that very reason, eminently capable of action, ever ready to act. But we, cultivated minds, chat, dispute, and spend all our energy in words. We become enervated by mental dissipation, by the vain amusement of running from book to book, or of opposing them one to another. We show great anger about trifles, and loudly threaten to proceed to action. But that said, we do nothing, we do not act. We pass on to other disputes.

They, on the contrary, do not speak so much, do not make themselves hoarse with shouting, like learned men and old women. But should an opportunity occur, they take advantage of it, without making a noise about it, and act with vigour. Their economy in words aids their energy in deeds. That settled, let us take, as judges between these classes, the heroic men of antiquity, or of the middle ages, and ask them, which of them constitute the aristocracy? Without the least hesitation, they will answer, "Those who act."

If we preferred placing superiority in good sense and sound judgment, I know not in what class we should find a more sensible man than the old French peasant. Without speaking of his sagacity in matters of interest, he knows mankind well, and divines that society which he has not seen. He possesses much inward reflection, and a singular foreknowledge of natural occurrences. He prognosticates of the sky, and sometimes of the land, better than an augur of antiquity.

Under the appearance of a life of pure materialism and vegetation, those people think and muse; and what is musing in the youth becomes reflection and wisdom in the old man. As for us, we have every appliance that can provoke, sustain, and fix meditation. But, on the other hand, being more wrapt up in life, pleasures, and empty conversations, we can seldom reflect, and we wish to do so even less. The man of the people, on the contrary, is often sentenced to solitude by the nature of his work. *Isolated* by the culture of the fields, and by the noisy trades which create a solitude in the very crowd, if he will not die of *ennui*, his soul must necessarily turn round and converse with herself.

The women of the people particularly, obliged more than any others to be the providence of the family, even of their husband, forced every day to use towards him a fund of address and virtuous stratagems, occasionally attain in the long run an astonishing degree of maturity. I have seen some, who, towards the decline of life having preserved their best instincts through so many rude trials, having always cultivated their minds by reflection, and, being exalted by the natural advancement of a devoted and pure life - belonged no longer at all to their own class, nor, I think, to any other, but were truly superior to all. They were endowed with extraordinary prudence and penetration, even in matters upon which you would have supposed they had no experience whatever. They saw with so keen a glance into probabilities, that people would fain have believed them gifted with a prophetic soul. Nowhere did I ever meet with such a union of two particulars, which are generally believed to be very distinct, and even opposite, -worldly wisdom and a religious spirit.

CHAPTER III.

DO THE PEOPLE GAIN MUCH IN SACRIFICING THEIR INSTINCT?

SPURIOUS CLASSES.

This peasant of whom we are speaking — this man, so circumspect, so wise, has, however, one fixed idea; it is, that his son must not be a peasant, but must rise and become a citizen. He realises his idea but too well. This son, who finishes his education, and becomes Monsieur le Curé, Monsieur l'Avocat, or Monsieur le Fubricant, you will easily recognise. Ruddy, and of a hardy race, he will fill every thing, occupy every thing, with his vulgar activity; he will be a great talker, a politician, a man of weight, of grand views, who has no longer anything in common with humble people. You will find him everywhere in the world, with a voice drowning every thing, and concealing under the finest white kid glove the coarse big hands of his father.

I express myself badly; the father had strong hands, the son has big ones. The father, doubtless, was more muscular and more shrewd. He was much nearer the aristocracy. He did not speak so much, but it was to the purpose.

Has the son risen higher in quitting his father's condition? Has there been progress from one to the other? Yes: no doubt, in regard to cultivation and knowledge; but not so in regard to originality and real distinction.

They are now all quitting their condition; they rise, or think they are rising. Five hundred thousand workmen, within thirty years, have taken out licences and become masters. The number of country day-labourers who have become proprietors is incalculable. The professions, termed liberal, have been recruited immensely from he inferior ranks; they are now choke-full.

A profound change in ideas and morality has been the consequence of all this. Man conforms his soul to his material situation. Strange! There is a poor soul, a rich soul, and a commercial soul. Man seems to be nothing but the accessory of fortune.

There has been among the different classes not a union, or an association, but a rapid and gross amalgamation. Doubtless this was necessary to neutralise the otherwise insurmountable obstacles which presented themselves before the new equality. But this change has nevertheless been the cause of stamping art, literature, and every

thing with great vulgarity. Persons well off, even the rich, supply themselves marvellously with common articles at a low rate; you will find in many a house of great style, common, ugly, and mean articles: they want art, but cheap. The thing which constitutes true nobility, the power of saerifice, is that which is wanting in the man grown rich. He is as destitute of it in art as in politics; he is unwilling to sacrifice any thing, even in his own real interest. This moral infirmity pursues him even in his enjoyments and vanities, rendering them vulgar and paltry.

Will this class of all classes, this spurious mixture which has been composed so quickly, and which is already dwindling away.

ever be productive? I doubt it. The mule is barren.

A nation which, compared to military ones (such as France, Poland, &c.), seems to me eminently bourgeois - the English may enlighten us as to the future prospects of our bourgeoisie. No other in the world had more class changes, and none has used more address to disguise as noblemen the man grown rich, - the son of the tradesman. The latter, who, in the last two centuries, have renewed all the English nobility, have paid particular attention to preserve, together with the names and arms, the venerable manors, furniture, and hereditary collections; they have gone so far as to copy in manners and characters the ancient families whose homes they occupy. With constant pride they have in their attitude, language, and every outward form, represented and acted those old barons. Well! what have they produced with all that labour, that art of preserving tradition and fabricating antiquity? They have created an important nobility, of much persevering genius, but at bottom of very few resources, and very little political invention, and by no means worthy of the great position which the British empire occupies, and is destined to occupy hereafter. Where is, 1 pray you, the England of Shakspere and Bacon? The citizens (disguised or ennobled, I care not which) have governed ever since Cromwell; power and riches have incalculably augmented; the average ratio of culture has risen; but at the same time a certain sad uniformity has established itself among the gentlemen, - a universal resemblance of men and things. You can scarcely distinguish in their elegant penmanship one letter from another, nor in their towns one house from another, nor in their people one Englishman from another.

To return: I would willingly believe that in the time to come great originality of invention will belong to men who will not be lost in that spurious mediocrity in which all native character is enervated. Strong men will be found who will not want to rise; who, being born of the people, will wish to remain of the people. To rise to a comfortable position, all well and good; but to enter the citizen

class, and change their condition and habits, will appear to them any thing but desirable; they will feel assured that they would gain little by it. Vigour, the comprehensive instinct of the masses, moral courage, — all that is better preserved in the workman when he is not crushed by work, and when his life is somewhat easy, and allows him leisure.

I have before my eyes two instances of men who, though gifted with sense, had no wish to rise. One, a workman in a manufactory, intelligent and reflecting, had always refused to be a foreman, dreading the responsibility, the reproaches, and the unpleasant contact with the manufacturer, preferring to work in silence alone with his thoughts. His admirable peace of mind, which reminded one of the mystic workmen of whom I have spoken, was lost if he had accepted this new position.

The other, the son of a shoemaker, having finished his classical education, even his study of the law, and after being admitted an advocate, resigned himself without a murmur to the necessities of his family, and resumed his father's trade, showing that a strong mind can rise or descend with equal ease. His resignation has been rewarded. This man, who did not seek for glory, receives it now in the person of his son, who, endowed with a singular gift, imbibed from the trade itself the sentiment of art, and has now become

one of the greatest painters of our age.

The continual changes of conditions, trades, and habits, prevent every kind of inward perfection; they produce those amalgamations which are at once vulgar, assuming, and barren. He who would change the proportion of strings in an instrument, and, under the pretext of improving them, would reduce them all to one common standard, would, in fact, have annulled them all, made the instrument useless, and harmony impossible.

To remain one's self is a great power, a chance of originality. If fortune change, so much the better; but let nature remain. The man of the people should look well to it before he stifles his instinct, to put himself in the train of the fine bourgeois spirits. If he remains true to his trade and change it, like Jacquart; if out of a trade he form an art, like Bernard Palissy, what greater glory would he have in this world?

CHAPTER IV.

THE SIMPLE -THE CHILD, THE INTERPRETER OF THE PEOPLE.

HE who would know the highest gifts of the instinct of the people, ought to pay little attention to the mixed, spurious, half-cultivated minds, which partake of the qualities and defects of the citizen classes. What he ought to seek and study are especially the simple.

The simple are in general those who little distract their minds; who, not being armed with the machinery of analysis and abstraction, see every thing as one, entire, concrete, as life presents it.

The simple form a great people. There are the simple by nature, the simple by culture, the poor in intellect, who will never distinguish, children who do not yet distinguish, the peasants, and the common multitude, who are not used to distinguish.

The scholastic, the critic, or the man of analysis, of nisi and distinguo, looks down from his eminence upon the simple. They have, however, the advantage, as they never divide, of seeing things in their natural state, organised, and living. Little inclined to reflection, they are often rich in instinct. Inspiration is not uncommon in these classes — it is sometimes even a kind of divination. Among them are found persons quite apart, who preserve in a dull unimaginative life what is the highest moral poetry, the simplicity of the heart. Nothing is more uncommon than the preservation of these gifts of childhood; to do so implies generally a special grace and a species of holiness.

It would be necessary to have that grace to be able only to speak of it. Science, it is true, by no means excludes simplicity; but it

does not give it. The will has little to do with it.

•The great civilian of Toulouse, at the most difficult point in his work, pauses, and beseeches his auditory to pray that he may have a special light to guide him in such subtle matter. How much more do we need it! I, and you, my friends, who read me! How great is our need to obtain, not a gift of subtility, but, on the contrary, of simplicity and a childlike heart!

Sages must no longer remain satisfied with saying, — "Let the little ones come unto me." They must go to them; they have much to learn in the midst of those children. The best thing they can do

is, to postpone their study, lock up their books, that have been of so little use to them, and go fairly among mothers and nurses to unlearn and forget.

To forget? No, but much rather to reform their wisdom, and control it by the instinct of those who are nearer to God; to rectify it, by placing it beside this small standard, and say that the science of the three worlds does not contain more than lies in that cradle.

To speak only of our present subject, nobody will dive deeply into it who has not well observed the child. The child is the interpreter of the people. What do I say? It is the people themselves, in their native truth, before they are deformed; the people without vulgarity, rudeness, or envy, inspiring neither distrust nor repugnance. Not only does it interpret them, but justifies and acquits them on many occasions; many a word that you find rude and coarse in the mouth of a rude man, you think natural (as it truly is) in the mouth of your child; you thus learn to guard against unjust prejudices. The child being, like the people, in a happy ignorance of conventional language, formulas, and ready-made sentences, which relieve us from invention, shows you, by its example, how much the people are obliged to seek its language, and to find it incessantly: both often find it with a happy energy.

It is, moreover, by the child that you may appreciate what is still young and primitive in the people, changed though they be. Your son, like the peasant of Brittany or of the Pyrenees, speaks at every instant the language of the Bible or the Iliad. The boldest criticism of Vico, Wolf, or Niebuhr, is nothing in comparison to the luminous and profound flashes which certain words of the child will suddenly open to you in the darkness of antiquity. How often, in observing the historical and narrative form that he gives to even abstract ideas, may you perceive how infant nations must have narrated their dogmas in legends, and made a history of every moral truth! It is there, O sages, that we must remain silent. Let us form a circle, and listen to this young master of by-gone ages; he has no need to analyse what he says, in order to instruct us; but he is like a living wit-

ness, - " he was there, and knows the story better."

In him, as in young nations, every thing is still concentrated, in a concrete and living state. It is sufficient to look at him, to perceive the singularly abstract state which we have attained in the present day. Many hollow abstractions cannot stand this examination. Our children of France especially, who are so lively and talkative, with a fund of very precocious good sense, are incessantly bringing us back to realities. These innocent critics never fail to become embarrassing to the philosopher. Their simple questions too often present to him the insolvable difficulty of things. They have not learned, like

us, to turn aside difficulties, to avoid certain problems, which it seems to be an agreement among philosophers never to meddle with. Their bold little logic ever goes straight forward. No pompous absurdity would have maintained itself in this world, if mankind had not silenced the objections of the child. From four to twelve years of age especially, is their reasoning period; between lactation and puberty, they seem lighter, less material, more sprightly in mind, than they afterwards are. An eminent grammarian, who would never live with any but children, told me that, at that age, he found in them a capacity for the most subtle abstractions.

They lose an infinite deal in developing so fast, in passing rapidly from the life of instinct to the life of reflection. Till then, they were living upon the large fund of instinct, they were swimming in a sea of milk. When from that obscure and fruitful sea logic begins to disentangle a few luminous threads, there is, doubtless, progress, a necessary progress, one of the conditions of life; but this progress is, nevertheless, in one sense a decline. The child then becomes

man, but he was a little god.

Early infancy and death are the moments when infinity, grace, shine forth in man, whether we take the word in the acceptation of art, or of theology. Grace, lively in the infant that plays and makes an attempt at life; grace, austere and solemn in the dying man, when life is ending; but ever grace divine. Nothing could make us better perceive the truth of the grand biblical saying, — "You are gods, you shall be as gods."

Apelles and Correggio studied incessantly these divine moments. Correggio passed whole days in seeing infants play. Apelles, says one of the ancients, delighted in painting none but the dying.

On these days of arrival, departure, and transition between two worlds, man seems to combine them altogether.* The instinctive life in which he is then plunged, is like the dawn and the twilight of the mind, doubtless more vague than thought, but how much more vast! All the intermediate work of reasoning and reflecting life is like a straight line, which starts from the misty regions of boundless space, and returns back to them. If you want to perceive it well, study closely the infant, or the dying. Place yourself at their pillow, observe, and be silent.

^{*} The horror of the fatal enigma, the seal that shuts the mouth at the moment one knows the word, all that has once been grasped in a sublime work, that I discovered in an enclosed part of Père la Chaise, in the cemetery of the Jews. It is a bust by Préault, or rather a head, held and clasped in its shroud, with its finger pressed upon its lips — a truly fearful work, whose impression the heart can scarcely withstand, and which appears to have been sculptured by the great chisel of death.

I have, unhappily, had too many opportunities of contemplating the approach of death to persons very dear to me. I remember, especially, a long winter's day that I spent between the bed of a dying woman and the reading of Isaiah. This very painful scene was that of a combat between watching and sleeping, a toilsome dream of the struggling and sinking soul. Her eyes, swimming in the void, expressed, with painful truth, uncertainty between two worlds. The mind, obscure and vast, rolled along the traversed space of life, and expanded with immense presentiments. The witness of this great struggle who participated in its ebb and flow, and all its anxieties, held fast, as in a shipwreck, to the firm belief, that a soul which, even in returning to our primitive instincts, already anticipated that of the unknown world, could not pass that way to annihilation.

Every thing implied rather that she was about to endow, with that double instinct, some young existence that would more happily resume the work of life, and impart to the dreams of that soul, to its latent ideas, and mute desires, the language that had failed them.*

One thing ever strikes us in observing children and the dying, viz. the perfect nobleness with which Nature impresses them. Man is born noble, and dies noble; it requires all the labour of life to become coarse, ignoble, — to produce the difference!

Behold that child whom his mother, kneeling, so well termed her Jesus. Society or education have very soon altered him. The infinite that was in him and deified him, is disappearing; he characterises himself and specifies himself, it is true, but he contracts. Logic and criticism hew and sculpture without mercy whatever seems an entire block within him; cruel statuaries, whose chisel cuts into that too tender substance, every stroke chipping off whole pieces! Alas! how meagre and mutilated he is already! Where is now the noble amplitude of his nature? The worst is, that under the influence of so rude an education, he will not only be weak and sterile, but will become vulgar.

[&]quot;The grandfather receives the infant, when it springs to life from its mother. — 'Lo! thou art there, O my soul, to sleep anew in a body.'" (Indian laws, quoted in my "Origines du Droit.") Without admitting the hypothesis of the transmisration of souls (still less that of the transmission of sins), one is much tempted to believe that our first instincts are the mind of our ancestors, which the young traveller brings with him as provisions for his journey. He adds much to them. If I lay aside theories, and shut up books to consider nature, I see thought spring up within us, like an obscure instinct, gleam through twilight, enlighten and develop itself by the light of reflection; then, becoming a formula, and being more and more accepted as one, pass into our habits, into the things that are fitted for us, which we no longer examine, and then, obscured again, become a portion of our instincts.

When we regret our childhood, it is not so much life, the years that were then before us, as our nobleness, that we regret. We had then, indeed, that simple dignity of the being that has not yet been bent,—equality with all; then all were young, all handsome, all free. Let us be patient, that must return: inequality is only for life; equality, liberty, nobleness, every thing returns to us at our death.

Alas! that moment returns but too soon for the greater number of children. People are willing to see, in childhood, only an apprenticeship for life, a preparation to live,—and the greater number do not live. They want them to be happy "hereafter," and to insure the happiness of those uncertain years, they overload with ennui and sorrow the brief moment which is already insured.*

No, infancy is not only an age, a stage in life, it is a people, the innocent people. That flower of the human race which, generally, is short-lived, follows Nature, to whose bosom it is soon to return. And it is precisely Nature that they want to subdue in it. Man, who, in his own case, retreats from the barbarity of the middle ages, still maintains it towards the child, ever starting from the inhuman principle, that our nature is bad, that education is not its good economy, but its reformation, that art and human wisdom ought to amend and chastise the instinct that God has implanted within us.

^{*} I do not speak of the overloading with work, nor of the innumerable and excessive punishments that we inflict upon their changeful character, ordained by Nature herself, but of the silly cruelty which causes us to plunge, rudely and ineautiously, into cold abstractions, a young being, just come from the maternal bosom, still warm, and which only wants to unfold its blossoms.

CHAPTER V.

CONTINUATION — IS THE NATURAL INSTINCT OF THE CHILD PERVERSE?*

Is the human instinct perverted beforehand? Is man wicked from his birth? Can the infant that I receive in my arms, as it springs from its mother's breast, be already one of the damned?

To this atrocious question, which pains one even to write it, the

middle ages, without any pity or hesitation, answer, Yes.

What! this creature that seems so innocent, so disarmed, to whom all nature is attached, whom the she-wolf or the lioness would come and suckle, in default of a mother,—has only the instinct of evil, the inspiration of that which ruined Adam? What! it would belong to the devil, if we did not hasten to exorcise it? Nay, afterwards, if it die in its nurse's arms, it is judged, it is in danger of damnation, it may be east to the black beasts of hell! "Deliver not to the beasts," says the church, "the souls that testify of thee?" And how should this one testify? It cannot yet understand or speak.

Whilst visiting, in the month of August, 1843, a few cemeteries in the neighbourhood of Lucerne, I found there a very simple and painful expression of religious terrors. At the foot of every tomb was (according to ancient use) a holy-water vase (bénitier), to guard the deceased night and day, and prevent the beasts of hell from coming to snatch away the body, from tormenting or worrying it, or

making a vampire of it.

As for the soul, alas! they had no means of defending it: this cruel fear was expressed in several inscriptions. I remained a long time before this one, without being able to tear myself away: "I am a child two years old; what a terrible thing is it for such an infinit to go to judgment, and appear already before the face of God." I burst into tears; I had caught a glimpse of the abyss of maternal despair!

The poorer quarters of our large towns, those vast factories of death, where women, miserably fruitful, bring forth only to weep, give us some idea, though a very imperfect one, of the perpetual

^{*} This chapter, which inattentive minds will think foreign to the subject, is its very basis. See p. 117.

mourning of the mother in the middle ages. The latter, incessantly fruitful through barbarous improvidence, produced, without intermission or truce, in tears and desolation, children doomed to death, and damned.

Horrible age! a world of cruel illusions, over which an infernal irony seems to hover! Man, the sport of his fluctuating, divine, and diabolical dream! Woman, the sport of man, ever a mother, ever in mourning! The child, who plays, alas! for a day, at the sad game of life, smiles, weeps, and disappears—unfortunate little shadows that come by millions and hundreds of millions, and last only in the memory of a mother! The despair of the latter shows itself especially in one thing: she easily abandons herself to sin and damnation; she willingly revenges herself for man's brutality; she deceives him, weeps, laughs!* She ruins herself;—what matter, provided she rejoin her child?

The child that survived was scarcely more happy; the middle ages were a terrible pedagogue for him; they proposed to him the most complicated symbol that was ever taught, the most inaccessible to the simple. This subtle lesson which the Roman empire, in its highest wisdom, had much trouble to understand, this child of the Barbarians, the son of the rustic serf, lost in the woods, must retain and comprehend. He retains, and repeats it; but as for understanding that thorny, Byzantine, and scholastic formula, that is more than the rod, blows, and cuffs will ever obtain from him.

The church, democratical by her principle of election, was eminently aristocratical by the difficulty of her instruction, and the very small number of men who could really attain it. She damned the natural instinct as perverse and spoilt beforehand, and made science metaphysics, and a most abstract formula, the condition of salvation.†

* The frailty of woman is the subject proper of the middle ages; other ages were little acquainted with it. This eternal topic of jests, these merry stories, can only afflict him who knows and understands. They prove but too plainly the prodigious ennui of that age, the vacuity of souls without any aliment appropriate to their weakness, a moral prostration, the despair of virtue, and the abandonment of one's self and one's salvation.

† If it be answered, that uncultivated minds (which, for that period, means everybody, or nearly so) were exempted from understanding, it must be confessed that so terrible an enigma imposed, upon pain of damnation, the general abandonment of the human intellect into the hands of a few learned men who thought they knew the meaning. Mark also the result. The enigmas once laid down, and once surrounded with its commentaries, no less obscure, mankind is silent, and remains gaping, mute, and unfruitful. Throughout an immense period, as long as the entire brilliant period of antiquity, from the fifth to the eleventh century, he scarcely ventures a few prayers, a few childish legends, and, moreover, that movement is arrested by the express prohibition of the Carlovingian councils.

All the mysteries of the religions of Asia, all the subtleties of the Western schools—in one word, all the difficulties that the world contains from east to west—all that, compressed and heaped together into one formula! "Well, yes," says the church, "it is the whole world in one immense cup. Drink it in the name of love!" and she brings here, in support of the doctrine, history, affecting legends; it is the honey on the edge of the vase.

"Whatever it contain, I will drink, if truly love is at the bottom:" such was the answer of the human race. That was the real difficulty, the objection; and it is love that made it, not hatred,

or human pride, as they are ever repeating.

The middle ages had promised love, and had not given it. They had said, "Love! love!" but they had consecrated a hateful civil order, inequality in the law, in the state, and in the family. Their too subtle instruction, accessible to so few, had brought a new kind of inequality into the world. They had put salvation at a price rarely attained, at the price of an abstruse science, and they had thus overborne, with all the metaphysical science in the world, the simple and the child. The latter, who had been so happy in antiquity, received its hell in the middle ages.

It required ages for reason to struggle into light, for the child to re-appear, as he is, an *innocent*. One grieved to believe that man was an hereditarily perverse being.† It became difficult to maintain

^{*} Not only had they said so, but sincerely wished it. This touching aspiring towards love is what constitutes the genius of the middle ages, and insures them our eternal sympathy. I do not efface one word of what I have said of them in the second volume of my "History of France." Only, there I gave their transport, their ideal; to-day, in a book of practical interest, I can only give the real, the results. I have expressed (at the end of the same volume, printed in 1833) the impotency of this system, and the hope that it may escape its ruin, and succeed in transforming itself. How very far it is already from us, we saw on the 11th of May, 1844, when a magistrate in the Chambre, a sincere and courageous orthodox Christian, deduced a penal theory from the Original Sin and the Fall: even the Catholics recoiled from it.

[†] The embarras-ment of theology proceeded especially from the progress of jurisprudence. As long as jurisprudence maintained, in all their severity, the laws of lèse-Majesté, which, by confiscation, &c., extended the penalty to the heir, theology could defend its law of divine high treason, which damned the children for the sin of the father. But, when law became more mereful, it was more and more difficult to maintain in theology, the world of love and grace, — this horrible doctrine of the inheritance of sin, abandoned by human law. The scholastics, St. Bonaventura, Innocent III., and St. Thomas, found no other way of palliating it than to exempt children frem everlasting fire leaving them in other respects in damnation. Bossuet has very well established (against Sfondrata), that this doctrine is not particular to the Jansenists, as they pretended to believe, but was even that of the Church, of the Fathers

in its barbarity the principle which damned the non-Christian sages, the simple and the ignorant, and children who had died without baptism. They invented for children the palliative of the Limbo, a little somewhat milder hell, where they were always to hover about, weeping, far from their mothers.

F Insufficient remedies; the heart was not satisfied. With the Renaissance burst forth the re-action of love, in opposition to the cruelty of their musty doctrines. It came, in the name of justice, to save the innocents, condemned in the system which had styled itself one of love and grace. But that system, which was based entirely upon the two ideas of the damnation of all by one, and the salvation of all by one, could not renounce the former without shaking the stability of the latter.

Mothers began again to believe in the salvation of their children. Thenceforth they ever say, without inquiring whether they be perfectly orthodox: "They must be angels on high, as they were when

alive on earth."

The heart has prevailed, mercy has prevailed. Humanity is retiring farther and farther from ancient injustice. It is now sailing in an opposite direction to the old world. Whither is it steering? Towards a world (we can well foresee it) that will no more condemn innocence, and where wisdom may truly say in truth, "Let the simple and the little ones come unto me."

(except Gregory of Nazianzus), of the Councils, and of the Popes; indeed, if they exempt children from damnation, they give up Original Sin, and the *inheritance of crime*, which is the basis of the whole system.

CHAPTER VI.

DIGRESSION — INSTINCT OF ANIMALS — PROTESTATION IN THEIR FAVOUR.

However hurried I may be in this review of the simple, the humble children of instinct, my heart stops me, and forces me to say one word about the superlatively simple, the most innocent, perhaps the most unfortunate, I mean, animals.

I just now made the remark that every child was born noble. Naturalists have remarked likewise that the young animal, more intelligent at its birth, seemed then approaching near to the child. As it grows up, it becomes brutish, and sinks into the beast. It seems that its poor soul succumbs under the weight of the body, and submits to the fascination of nature, the magic of the potent Circe. Man then turns away, and will no longer recognise a soul in it. The child alone, by an instinct of the heart, still feels there is a person in that despised being; he speaks to it, and questions it; and the animal, for its part, listens too, and loves the child.

The animal! Dark mystery! An immense world of musings and dumb sorrows! But, in default of language, signs too visible express those sufferings. All nature protests against the barbarity of man, who disavows, debases, and tortures his inferior brother; she accuses him before Him who created them both!

Mark, without prejudice, their gentle musing air, and the attraction which the most advanced among them visibly feel for man; would you not say they are children whose development some evil genius has prevented, and who have not been able to unravel their first infantine dream; perhaps, chastised and humiliated sonls, under the curse of some temporary fatality! A sadenchantment, in which the captive being of an imperfect form is dependent on all those who surround it, like a person asleep. But, because he is as if asleep, he has, by way of compensation, access to a sphere of dreams of which we have no idea. We see the bright side of the world, the animal the dark side; and who knows whether the latter be not the most extensive?*

^{* &}quot;Let us act to-day, if we will, the proud lords of the creation. But let us not forget our education under the discipline of nature. Plants and animals—these were our first preceptors. All these beings that we direct, then

The East came to this belief, that the animal is a soul either enchanted or lulled to sleep; the middle ages returned to it. Religions and systems have not been able, in the least, to stifle this voice of India, nearer than we to the creation, has much better preserved the tradition of universal brotherhood. She has inscribed it in the beginning and at the end of her two great sacred peems, the "Ramayan" and "Mahabharat," those gigantic pyramids before which all our petty Western productions must stand humble and respectful.

When tired of this disputing West, give yourself, I beseech you, the pleasure of returning to your mother, that majestic antiquity, so noble and so tender. Love, humility, grandeur, you will find all united together, and in a sentiment so simple, so detached from every petty feeling of pride, that there is never any need to speak there of humility.

India was well rewarded for her kindness to nature; with her, genius was a gift of pity. The first Indian poet sees two doves on the wing; and whilst he is admiring their grace and amorous flight, one of them falls pierced with an arrow. He weeps; his groans measured, without his dreaming of it, by the pulsations of his heart, assume a rhythmical movement, and poetry is born. Since that time, the melodious doves, two and two, born again in the songs of man, love and fly throughout the world (Ramayan).

Grateful nature has endowed India with another admirable gift,fecundity. Surrounded by her with tenderness and respect, nature has multiplied for her, together with the animal creation, the spring of life by which the earth is renewed. There exhaustion is unknown. So many wars, so many disasters and servitudes, have not been able to exhaust the milk of the sacred cow. Streams of milk are ever flowing for that thrice blessed country, - blessed by her own benevolence, her gentle treatment towards the lower animals.

That affecting union, which at first bound man to the humblest offspring of God, has been dissolved by pride. But not with impunity; the earth has rebelled, - she has refused to nourish in-

human races.

guided us better than we should have done ourselves. They guided our young reason by a surer instinct; those little ones that we now despise then gave us counsel. We profited by the contemplation of those irreproachable children of God: they, calm and pure, seemed, in their silent existence, to be keeping the secrets of heaven. Has the tree, which has seen all times - has the bird, that flies over all places, nothing to teach us? Does not the eagle read in the sun, and the owl in darkness? And have those great oxen themselves, so grave under the dark oak, no thought in their long reveries?" - Origines du Droit, p. lxix.

The world of pride, the Greek and Roman city, had a contempt for nature; it valued only art, and esteemed only itself. That proud antiquity, that would have nothing but what was noble, succeeded but too well in suppressing all the rest. Whatever seemed low or ignoble disappeared from their eyes; animals perished as well as slaves. The Roman empire, rid of both, entered upon the majesty of the desert. The earth, ever spending and no longer replenished, became, among so many monuments which covered it, a garden of marble. Citics still remained, but the country was no more; circuses, triumphal arches, — but no more cottages, no more labourers. Magnificent roads were ever waiting for the traveller, who no longer passed; sumptuous aqueducts continued to transport rivers to silent cities, but there was no one to quench his thirst.

One man alone, before this desolation, had found in his heart a protestation, a lamentation for all that was becoming extinct. One alone, amid the devastation of civil wars, in which men and animals perished at once, found, in the immensity of his pity, tears for the labouring ox that had fertilised ancient Italy. He consecrated a

divine poem to these disappearing races.*

Tender and profound Virgil! I, who have been fed by him, as it were, upon his knees, I am happy that this unique glory belongs to him, — the glory of pity and of excellence of heart. That peasant of mantua, with his virgin timidity and long rustic hair, is, however, without his having known it, the true pontiff and augur, between two worlds, two ages half way on the road of history. An Indian in his tenderness for nature, a Christian in his love for man, he, even this simple man, reconstitutes, in his great heart, the grand universal city, from which nothing having life is excluded,—whereas each wishes none to enter there but his own.

Christianity, in spite of its spirit of meekness, did not renew the ancient union. It preserved a Jewish prejudice against nature; Judea, who knew herself, was afraid to love too much this sister of man; she fled from her with curses. Christianism, obedient to its fears, kept animal nature at an infinite distance from man, and vilified it. The symbolic animals which accompany the evangelists, the cold allegories of the lamb and the dove, did not better the beast. The new benediction did not reach it; salvation did not come for

^{*} In another piece—the most highly finished one perhaps—which he consecrates to his dearest friend the consul, the poet Gallus, he does not hesitate to give him, for brethren and comforters, the most humble children of nature,—the nnocent animals. After having invited all the rural delities to assuage the pans of the love-sick poet, "his sheep also stood around him" (then, by a charming turn, fearful of wounding the pride of Gallus): "Nostri nec posnitet illus; nec to premite pecoris, divine poita."

the smallest, the most humble of the creation. The God died for Man, and not for them. Having no share in salvation, they remain beyond the Christian law, as Pagans, as impure, and too often suspected of conniving with the principle of evil. Has not Christ in the gospel permitted the demons to take possession of the swine?

Never shall we know the terrors in which the middle ages lived for several centuries, ever in presence of the devil! The vision of the invisible evil one - wicked dream, absurd torture! And thence a strange fantastical life which would make us laugh every instant, did we not feel that it was sad even to tears. Who could then doubt of the devil? I have seen him, says the Emperor Charles. I have seen him, says Gregory VII. The bishops who make the popes, the monks who pass all their lives in prayer, declare he is there behind them, that they feel him, that he does not stir. The poor rustic serf, who sees him under the figure of a beast, sculptured in the church porch, is afraid, on his return, to find him among his cattle. The latter assume, in the evening, quite a fantastic aspect, in the flickering light of the hearth; the bull has a strange mark, the goat an equivocal look, — and what must be think of that cat whose hair, as soon as he touches it, throws out sparks in the dark!

It is the child that allays the fears of man. He fears those animals so little that he makes them his companions. He gives leaves to the ox, mounts upon the goat, and boldly handles the black cat. He does still better, he imitates them, counterfeits their voice - and the family smiles. "Why, indeed, should I be afraid of them? I was wrong. I am in a Christian house, with holy water and holy flowers; he would not dare approach. My beasts are God's creatures, innocents, children. Why, even the animals in the fields seem by their looks to know God; they live like hermits. This fine stag, for instance, who bears the cross upon his head, who stalks like a living forest through the woods, seems himself a miracle. The hind is as gentle as my cow, and has not even her horns; the hind, in default of a mother, would have suckled my child." This last sentence, expressed, as every thing then was, in an historical form, ended by being developed into the finest of the legends of the middle ages, - that of Geneviève de Brabant: the family oppressed by man, saved by the animal; the innocent wife saved by the innocent beast of the woods: salvation thus coming from the least, the most humble.

The animals, re-instated, take their places in the rustic family next the child that loves them, as poor relations figure at the lower end of the table in a noble mansion. They are treated as such on grand days, share the joys and sorrows, wear mourning or wedding garments (lately still in Brittany). They say nothing, it is true, but they are docile, and listen patiently; man, like a priest in his own house, preaches to them in the name of the Lord.*

Thus popular genius, more simple and more profound than sacred scholastics, brought about timidly, but efficiently, the re-establishment of nature's rights. The latter was not ungrateful. Man was rewarded; those poor beings, that have nothing, gave treasures. The animal, as soon as it was loved, lasted and multiplied: and the earth became fruitful again, and the world, that seemed at an end, grew rich and powerful again, because it had received, like dew, the benediction of mercy.

The family being thus composed, the next question is to make it enter, if possible, entire into the Church. Now comes the difficulty! They are very willing to receive the animal; but only to sprinkle it with holy water, exorcise it, as it were, and only at the porch. "Simple man! leave thy beast behind; enter alone. The entrance of the Church is the judgment that you see represented upon the doors: the Law sits on the threshold; Saint Michael stands holding the sword and the scales. How can the animal you bring with you be judged, saved, or damned? Has that beast a soul? What is to be done with the souls of brutes? Shall we open a limbo for them, like hat for little children?"

No matter, our man is obstinate; he listens respectfully, but he cares not to understand. He has no wish to be saved alone, and without his family. Why should not his ox and his ass get their salvation as well as St. l'aulin's dog? They have certainly worked as well.

"Well! I will be cunning," says he to himself; "I will choose Christmas-day, when the Church makes her family festival, the day when God is yet too young to be just. Just or not, we shall all pass; I, my wife, my child, and my ass. He too! He was at Bethlehem, and bore our Lord. As a reward, the poor beast ought to have his day. It is not quite sure, moreover, that he is what he seems; he is at bottom malicious and lazy, just like me; if I was not also forced to it, I should not work much."

It was a grand spectacle, and one far more touching than langhable, when the beast of the people was, in spite of the commands of bishops and councils, taken with him into the Church. Nature, condemned and cursed, returned victorious under the most humble form that could gain her pardon. She returned with the saints of Paganism, between the Sibyl and Virgil.† They held out to the animal the sword that stopped him under Balaam; but that sword

[·] See the little Sermon to Bees, in my Origines du Droit.

[†] Preserved a long time at Rouen. Ducange, verbo Festum.

of the ancient law, being blunted, frightened him no more; the law was near its end in that day, and was making room for grace. Humbly but assuredly, he was going straight to the manger. There he listened to the service, and, like a baptized Christian, knelt in devotion. Then they sang to him, and for him, partly in the language of the Church and partly in French, that he might understand this comic yet sublime anthem: -

> A genoux! et dis amen! Assez mange d'herbe et de foin. Amen! encore une fois! Laisse les vieilles choses, et va!

The animal gained little by this reparation.* The Councils shut him out from the Church. The philosophers, who in pride and hard-heartedness perpetuated the theologians, decided that he had no soul.† He suffers in this world—what matter? He must expect no recompence in a superior life. Thus there must be then no God for him; the merciful Father of man is to be for whatever is not human, a cruel tyrant! To create playthings, but sensible ones, machines, but suffering ones, automatons, who should resemble superior creatures only in the faculty of enduring evil! May the earth lie heavy on you, hard-hearted men, who conceived this impious idea; who inflict such a sentence upon so many innocent but suffering creatures!

Our age will have one great glory. It has produced a philosopher with a human heart. He loved the child and the animal. The child, before its birth, had excited interest only as a sketch, a preparation of life; but he loved it in itself, followed it patiently in its petty, obscure life, and discovered in its changes, the faithful reproduction of animal metamorphoses. Thus, in the bosom of woman, in the true sanctuary of nature, the mystery of universal brotherhood has been discovered. Thanks be to God!

^{*} Popular genius did more for its protégé. Without stopping at the opposition of the church, it ereated for the animal a legal position, treated it as a person, made it appear in court; and even in the most serious cases, in criminal trials, it figured as a witness, and sometimes as the prisoner. No doubt but this importance attributed to the animal contributed powerfully to its preservation and perpetuation, and, consequently, to the fecundity of the land, which generally depends on the treatment the animal experiences from man. This is, perhaps, the true cause why the middle ages always recovered after so many frightful devastations.

[†] Bougeaut, the Jesuit, objected that beasts must have a soul, since they were

[‡] So gloriously continued in the persons of his friend and his son: Serres and Isidore Geoffroy Saint Hilaire. I see with pleasure a youth full of future promise enter upon this scientific path, which is the road of life,

This is the true re-instalment of inferior life. The animal, that serf of serfs, finds himself once more related to the lord of the universe.

Let the latter, then, resume, along with a more merciful sentiment, the grand work of the education of animals, which formerly gained him the dominion of the globe*, and which he has abandoned for two thousand years, to the great detriment of the earth. Let the people learn that their prosperity depends on their merciful treatment of this poor inferior people. Let science remember that the animal, more closely related to nature, was her augur and interpreter in antiquity. She will find a voice of God in the instinct of these simple among the simple.

This age of machinery, that wants machines everywhere, ought to perceive, one would think, that if it wishes animals to remain as they are, they are most certainly the foremost of all machines; giving, besides such a quantity of positive power, another infinite and inappreciable power, which proceeds (if they will not say from the soul) from animated life. It would seem that one ought to resume the study and the domestication of animals. See a fine article on Domestication, by M. Isidore Geoffroy Saint Hilaire, in the "Encyclopédie Nouvelle," of Messrs. Leroux and Reynaud.

CHAPTER VII.

THE INSTINCT OF THE SIMPLE — THE MAN OF GENIUS IS SUPERLA-TIVELY THE SIMPLE, THE CHILD, AND THE PEOPLE.

I have read in the life of a great doctor of the Church, that, having returned after death to his monastery, he honoured with his apparition, not the most distinguished among his brethren, but the least so, the most simple one poor in spirit. The latter received from him the favour of dying three days after. He bore upon his visage a truly celestial joy. "One might," says the legendary, "apply to him the words of Virgil:

' Infant, know thy mother by her smile!'"

It is a remarkable fact, that most men of genius have a particular predilection for children and the simple. The latter, on their side, generally timid in public, dumb in presence of wit, feel completely safe with men of genius. That power which overawes everybody, on the contrary encourages them. They feel that from them they will meet with no derision, but with benevolence and protection. Then they find themselves truly in their natural state; their tongue is untied, and it may be seen that these people, called simple because they are ignorant of conventional language, are often but so much the more original, especially very imaginative, and endowed with a singular instinct to seize very distant relations.

They compare and connect very willingly, but divide and analyse but little. Not only is every kind of division troublesome to their minds, but it pains them, and seems to them a dismembering. They like not dissecting life, and every thing seems to them to have life. Objects, whatever they be, seem to them organic beings, which they would scruple to alter in the least. They shrink back the moment it is necessary to disturb by analysis any thing that shows the least appearance of vital harmony. This disposition usually implies natural gentleness and goodness of heart: they are called *good people*.

Not only do they not divide, but as soon as they find any thing divided, or partial, they either neglect it, or mentally rejoin it to the whole whence it is separated; they recompose this whole with a rapidity of imagination which was not to be expected from their natural slowness. They are powerful in putting together in the same

ratio as they are powerless in separating. Or, rather, it seems, on beholding so easy an operation, that it is neither power nor impotency, but a necessary fact, inherent in their existence. In fact, it is in that they exist as simple.

A hand appears in the light. The reasoner concludes that doubtless there is a man in the shade, whose hand alone he sees; from the hand he infers the man. The simple man does not reason, does not infer; on beholding the hand, he says immediately, "I see a man."

And so he does, in fact, with the eyes of the mind.

Here they both agree. But on a thousand occasions, the simple, who, by a part, sees a whole unseen by others, who, by a sign, divines and affirms a yet invisible being, is laughed at, or passes for a madman. To see what appears to the eyes of nobody, is second-sight. To see what seems to be coming or about to be, is prophecy. Two things which cause the wonder of the crowd, and the derision of philosophers, and which are generally a natural gift of simplicity.

This gift, rare among civilised men, is, as we know, very common

among simple nations, be they savages or barbarians.

The simple sympathise with life, and have for a reward this magnificent gift, that the least sign suffices for them to see it and foresee it.

That is their secret relationship with the man of genius. They often attain without effort, by mere simplicity, what he obtains by the power of simplification within him; so that the first of mankind, and they who seem the last, meet very well and understand one another. Their mutual understanding is owing to one thing, their common sympathy for nature, for life, which causes them to delight only in the living unity.

If you study seriously in his life and his works that mystery of nature, called the man of genius, you will generally find it is he who all the while he was acquiring the gifts of the critic, preserved the

gifts of the simple.*

These two men, otherwise opposed, are harmonised in him. At the moment when his inward criticism seems to have urged him to infinite division, his simplicity maintains present unity. It ever preserves for him the sentiment of life, and keeps it indivisible. But though genius has both these powers within it, its love of living harmony, its tender regard for life, are still so strong, that it would sacrifice study and science itself, if the latter could only be obtained

^{*} Genius has, I know, a thousand forms. The one I give here is certainly the most original, the most fruitful, that which the most frequently characterises great inventors. La Fontaine and Corneille, Newton and Lagrange, Ampère and Geoffroy Saint Hilaire, were at the same time the most simple and the most subtle of men.

by means of dissection. Of the two men within him, it would leave him who divides; the simple one would remain, with his unknowing

power of divination and prophecy.

This is a mystery of the heart. If genius, among all the divisions, the fictitious subdivisions of science, ever preserves within a *simple* faculty, that never consents to absolute division, that ever tends towards unity, that fears to destroy it in the minutest existence, it is because the property of genius is the love of life itself, the love that causes it to be preserved, and the love that produces it.

The crowd, who see all that confusedly and from the outside, without being able to account for it, occasionally find this great man a good man and a simpleton. They are astonished at the contrast; but there is no contrast; it is simplicity and goodness, which are the basis of genius, its prime reason; it is by these he participates in the

fecundity of God.

This goodness, which gives the man of genius a regard for minute existences neglected by others, which sometimes arrests him suddenly, that he may not destroy a blade of grass, is the amusement of the crowd. The spirit of simplicity which never allows his mind to be shackled by divisions, which by a part, a sign, makes him see or foresee a whole being, a system that nobody yet divines — this wonderful faculty is precisely that which excites the astonishment, almost the scandal, of the vulgar. It raises him above the world, as it were, sets him above opinion, time, and place; him, who alone ought to leave a vestige of himself.

The trace he will leave is not the work of genius alone; but that very life of simplicity, childhood, goodness, and holiness, to which all ages will come and seek a sort of moral refreshment. This or that discovery of his will become, perhaps, less useful in the progress of the human race; but his life, which in his lifetime seemed his weak side, in which envy found satisfaction, will remain the treasure of the

world, and the eternal festival of the heart.

Assuredly the people are quite right to call this man simple. He is the superlatively simple, the child among children, the people more

than the people themselves.

To explain. The simple man has unintelligent parts, confused and undecided views, wherein he wavers, hunts about, follows several roads at once, and quits the character of a simple man. The simplicity of genius, which is true simplicity, has never any of these oblique views; it applies itself to objects, like a powerful light, that needs no by-path, because it pierces and traverses the whole.

Genius has the gift of childhood, such as the child never has.

This gift, as we have said, is the vague, powerful instinct which reflection soon specifies and narrows, so that the child early becomes

a questioner, a caviller, and brimful of objections. Genius preserves the native instinct in its grandeur, in its strong impulse, together with a divine grace, which unfortunately the child loses,—young and lively hope.

The people, in the highest ideal sense, are difficult to be found among the people. Whether I observe them here or there, they are not the people, but a certain class, some partial form or other of the people, changed, and ephemeral. In their reality, in their highest power, they are solely in the man of genius; in him resides the great soul. All the world is surprised to see the inert masses vibrate at his slightest word, the roar of the ocean hushed at his voice, the popular tide prostrate at his feet. Why wonder? That voice is the people's; mute in themselves, they speak in that man, and God with him.

Then it is we may truly say, "Vox populi, vox Dei."

Is he a God, or is he a man? Is it necessary to seek for mystic names, inspiration, or revelation, for the instinct of genius? It is the tendency of the vulgar; they must make gods for themselves. "Instinct? Nature? Fie!" say they. "Were it but instinct, we should not be carried away by it. It is the inspiration from above; it is the well-beloved of God, a God, a new Messiah!" Rather than admire a man, or admit the superiority of their fellow creature, they will make him inspired by God, or God if necessary; every one says to himself that it required nothing less than a supernatural ray to dazzle him to such a degree. So, they set him beyond nature, observation, and science, him who was true nature, him whom, of all others, science ought to observe; they exclude from humanity him who alone was man. This man of men is by an imprudent adoration sent back to heaven, banished from the land of the living, where Ah! pray leave him, who is the essence of life he had taken root. on earth, still among us! Let him remain man; let him remain the people. Do not separate him from the children, the poor, the simple, where his heart is, to exile him upon an altar. Let him be enveloped in the crowd, whose spirit he is; let him plunge into full, fertile life, live with us, and suffer with us; in participating in our sufferings and weaknesses, he will draw forth that strength which God has concealed within, and which will be his very genius.

CHAPTER VIII.

THE BIRTH OF GENIUS, A TYPE OF THE BIRTH OF MAN.

IF perfection is not here below, that which approaches it the nearest is, according to all appearance, the harmonious and fruitful man who manifests his inward excellence by a superabundance of love and strength; who proves it, not only by transitory actions, but by immortal works, wherein his great soul will remain in company with all mankind. This superabundance of gifts, this fecundity, this durable creation, is apparently the token that we ought there to find the plenitude of nature and the model of art. Social art, the most complicated of all, ought to see well whether this master-piece of God, wherein rich diversity is harmonised in fruitful unity, could not throw some light upon the object of its search.

Permit me, then, to dwell upon the character of genius, to penetrate its inward harmony, and to consider the wise economy and good policy of this great moral city, which stands in the heart of man.

Genins, the inventive and generating power, supposes, as we have said, that the same man is endowed with two powers: that he unites in himself, what may be called, the two sexes of the mind, — the instinct of the simple, and the reflection of the wise. He is, in a manner, man and woman, child and man, barbarous and civilised, people and aristocracy.

This duality, which astonishes, and causes the vulgar to regard it often as a whimsical phenomenon, a monstrosity, is what constitutes in him in the highest degree, the normal and legitimate character of man. To say the truth, he alone is man, and there is none other. The simple man is half a man, and the critic is half a man; they do not produce; still less do those of mediocrity, whom we might call neuter, having neither sex. He who is alone complete, can also alone engender; he is commissioned to carry on the divine creation. All others are sterile, save at those moments when by means of love they reconstitute for themselves a sort of double unity; their natural aptitude, transmitted by generation, remains powerless till they meet the complete man, who alone possesses fecundity.

It is not that the instinctive, the inspiring spark, has been wanting in all those men; but, in them, reflection soon congeals or ob-

scures it. The privilege of genius is, that inspiration acts in it before reflection; its flame burns with a full light. In other men, every thing emerges slowly and in succession: the interval sterilises them. Genius, on the other hand, fills up the interval, joins both ends, and suppresses time—it is a lightning flash of eternity.

Instinct, rapid to this degree, touches upon the act, and becomes the act itself; the idea thus concentrated, quickens and engenders.

Many a one, now vulgar, had also received, in the bud, this fruitful duality of two persons, the simple man and the critic; but his natural malignity early destroyed the harmony: at his first step in science came pride and subtlety; the critic destroyed the simple man. Reflection, vainly proud of her precocious manhood, despised instinct as a feeble child; vain and aristocratic, she mingled as soon as she could in the glittering crowd of sophists, and, wincing under their sneers, denied the humble relationship, which connected her too closely with the people. She has gone beyond them: fearful of their derision, she set about the impious task of deriding her brother. Well! she will remain alone; alone she does not produce a man. That man is impotent.

Genius knows nothing of this sad policy. He has no mind to stifle his inward flame, for fear of the laughter of the world; he does not even hear it. In him reflection is neither bitter nor ironical: she treats kindly the *infuncy* of instinct. This instinctive half needs to be spared by he other: feeble and vague, it is subject to tumultuous emotions; because, being full of aspiration, blinded by love, it rushes forth to meet the light. Reflection knows well that, if she is superior, by already possessing light, she is inferior to instinct, as prolific heat, as a living concentration. The question between them is of one age, rather than of dignity. Every thing begins under the form of instinct. The reflection of to-day was instinct yesterday. Which is worth most? Who can say? The young and the weak has, perhaps, the advantage.

The fecundity of genius, let us repeat it, depends, doubtless, in a great measure, on the goodness, meekness, and simplicity of heart, with which he welcomes the feeble endeavours of instinct. He welcomes them within himself, in his inner world, and quite as much in the external world, in man and in nature. He everywhere sympathises with the simple, and his easy indulgence is incessantly

evoking from infant purgatory new germs of thought.

They fly to him of their own accord. Innumerable things, which had yet no form, which were floating about alone and abandoned, come fearlessly towards him. And he, keen-sighted man, will not inquire whether they are shapeless or coarse; he welcomes them, smiles at them, delights in their vivacity, absolves and encourages

them. From this elemency results this singular advantage to him: every thing comes to enrich, succour, and strengthen him. For all others, the world is a sandy desert, where they seek and do not find.

How could love fail to come into that soul, so full and rich in the living gifts of nature? Some beloved object presents itself. Whence does it come? One cannot say. It is beloved, that suffices. It will grow and live in him, as he also lives in nature, welcoming all that comes, feeding on every thing, increasing and adorning itself, becoming the flower of genius, as genius is the flower of the world.

Sublime type of adoption! that living speck which just now appeared still obscure, hatched under the paternal eye, gradually assumes its functions, its vivacity, and shines with splendour,—it is some grand invention, a work of art, a poem. I admire this fine creation in its result; but how I should have wished to follow it in its birth*, in the tender incubation under which its life, its heat, began!

You, mighty men, in whom God accomplishes these grand things, deign to teach us yourselves; what was the sacred moment, when Invention, when the work of Art, flashed forth for the first time! What were the first words in your soul with that new being! what dialogue took place within you between Old Wisdom and Young Creation! the kind welcome offered! How the former encouraged the latter, still rude and rough, formed it without changing it; and, far from restraining its liberty, used every effort that it might become free, and be truly herself.

Ah! if you revealed that, you would have enlightened not only art, but moral art also, the art of education and policy. If we knew the culture that genius gives to the well-beloved of his thought, how they live together; by what address and gentleness, without injuring its originality, he encourages it to produce itself according to its nature, we should have at once the rule of art, and the model of education and civil initiation.

^{*} How much it is to be regretted that men of genius efface the successive traces of their own creation! They seldom keep the series of the sketches which have prepared its way. You may find something of this, with much trouble, and incomplete, in the progressive series of the pictures of a few great painters, who incessantly painted their thoughts, and fixed every moment of them by immortal works. It is not impossible to follow thus the birth of an idea in Raphael, Titian, Rubens, and Rembrandt. To speak only of the latter, his "Good Samaritan," "Christ at Emmaus," "Lazarus," and lastly, "Christ consoling the People," indicate the successive degrees by which the great artist, touched with the new spectacle of profound modern miseries, hatched and engendered his idea. In the last expression that he gives it, and which is so strong and so popular, the work and the workman have attained an unheard of degree of tenderness.

[†] This is not a simple comparison, like that given by Plato, in book iv. of his Republic. No, it is the thing itself, taken in itself, in its inmost depths, its

Goodness of God! it is there we must behold you! It is in that superior soul in which wisdom and instinct are so well harmonised, that we must seek the type for every social work. The soul of the man of genius, that soul evidently divine, since it creates like God, is the inward City according to which we are to model the outward one, that it may be divine also.

This man is harmonious and productive, when the two persons who are in him, the simple and the reflecting, understand and aideach other.

Well! society will be in the highest degree harmonious and productive, if the educated and reflecting classes, welcoming and adopting the men of instinct and action, receive heat from them, and lend light to them.*

"What a difference!" people will say. "Do you not see that in the soul of a single man, the inward City is ever composed of one and the same? between two persons so nearly related acquaintance is easy. But in the political city, how many opposite discordant elements! what varied resistance! The data are here infinitely more complex; nay, one of the objects compared is almost the opposite of the other: in one I see only peace; in the other, only war."

Would to Heaven the objection was reasonable, that I might accept it! Would to Heaven that discord was only in the outward city, and that in the inward city, in the apparent unity of the individual, there was truly peace! I feel rather quite the contrary. The general battle of the world is far less discordant than the one I bear within me, the struggle of myself with myself, the combat of the homo duplex.

birth and its nature. In proportion as we accustom ourselves to consider the social world in the moral world, we shall see that the latter is the origin, the mother, the womb of the other, or rather that they form but one.

The struggle of the soul with the soul—the progress and the education which result from it—the treaties which its inward powers make among themselves—the love that she has for herself—the marriages and adoptions effected in this narrow and varied enclosure,—will reveal to philosophy the secret of policy, education, and social initiation. Let the artist exalt his work, man the infant of his choice, and the city the still infant classes;—these three things are analogous; at least, by the progress of science and love, they will become so more and more.

This science remains to be created. Philosophy, which for ages has confined itself to the same ideas, has not yet reached it. The mystics, who have so scrutinised the human soul, grew blind in endeavouring to find God there; who no doubt is there, but who may be distinguished much better when he is seen in his image that he deposited there, the divine and human City.

* Extend this to the great society of the human race. Some nations are relatively in the instinctive state, others in the state of reflection. When they come in contact, cultivated nations ought, in the name of humanity, and of their own interest, to make for themselves an art, a language, to come to a friendly understanding with those that have only the barbarous instinct.

This warfare is visible in every man. If there is a truce and pacification in the man of genius, that proceeds from a grand mystery, the inward sacrifices which his opposite powers make to one another. The basis of art, like that of society—do not forget it—is sacrifice.

This struggle is worthily rewarded. Work, which one would think inert and passive, modifies its workman. It morally improves him; thus rewarding the benevolence with which the great artist cherished it, when it was young, weak, and as yet without form. He made it, but it makes him; it makes him in proportion as it increases, very great and very good. If the whole world, with its miseries, necessities, and hostile fatalities, did not oppress him, we should see that there is no man of genius, who, in excellence of heart, is not a here.

All these inward trials, which the world knows not, preserve genius from every paltry feeling of pride. If he repels, in the name of his work, the stupid laughter of the vulgar, it is on account of his work, and not for his own sake. He remains in his inner man heroically meek, ever a child, the people, simple. Whatever be his great achievement, he is on the side of the little ones. He lets the crowd of vain and subtle men go on wandering in the void, rejoicing in mockery, sophisms, and negations. Let them triumph and run, as much as they please, in the ways of the world. He, for his part, remains calm there, whither all the simple ones will come, at the steps of the throne of the Father.

And is it through him that they will come? What support, or what other protector have they? He is the common inheritance of this disinherited people, their glorious indemnification. He is the voice of these mutes, the power of these powerless souls, the tardy accomplishment of all their aspirations. In him, finally, they are glorified, and by him they are saved. He drags, he hurries them all on, in the long chain of classes and kinds into which they are divided. women, children, the ignorant, the poor in intellect, and, with them, our humble fellow-workmen, who have possessed but the pure instinct; and, last of all, the infinite tribes of inferior life, as far as instinct extends.

They all claim relationship with the simple one, at the gate of the City, into which they are all to enter sooner or later. "What do you want here?" Who are you, poor simple ones?"

"The younger brothers of the eldest born of God."

CHAPTER IX.

REVIEW OF THE SECOND PART - INTRODUCTION TO THE THIRD.

I HAVE been far, very far, perhaps, hurried away by the enthusiasm of my heart.

I wished to characterise the popular instinct, and show there the fountain of life in which the educated classes ought, in the present day, to seek to become young again; I wanted to prove to these classes, born yesterday, yet already worn out, that they have need to draw nearer to the people whence they have sprung.

These people, disfigured by their misfortunes, and adulterated by their very progress, I have, in order to find out their genius, been obliged to study especially in their purest element, the people, among children and the simple. There it is that God preserves for us the source of the living instinct, the treasure of eternal youth.

But it has come to pass, that these simple ones, these children whom I summoned into my book to bear witness for the people, have implored for themselves: and I have listened to them; I have vindicated, as I could, the simple from the contempt of the world. I have demanded for the child, why the cruelty of the middle ages was still practised against him.

What! you have rejected, in belief and in life, the cruel fatalism which supposed man to be perverted at his birth for a crime he had not committed; and when the question is about the child, you set out from this idea; you chastise the innocent; you deduce, from an hypothesis which is daily more and more given up, an education of punishments. You stifle, you gag the child of revelation; this Joseph, or this Daniel, who alone would tell you your enigma and your forgotten dream.

If you maintain that the instinct of man is bad, spoilt beforehand; that man is worthy only inasmuch as he is chastised, corrected, and metamorphosed by science or school divinity, you have condemned the people, both the people among children, and the people who are still children, whether they be called savages or barbarians.

This prejudice has been fatal for all the poor sons of instinct. It has made the cultivated classes disdainful and malevolent towards the uncultivated classes. It has inflicted upon children the hell of our education. It has sanctioned against infant nations a thousand

nonsensical fables, which have contributed not a little to encourage our self-called Christians in the extermination of these people.

My book wished, moreover, to shroud these nations, whether savages or barbarians, and shelter the few that remain. Presently, it will be too late; the work of extermination is going on rapidly. In less than half a century, how many nations have I seen disappear! Where are now our allies, the Highlanders of Scotland? An English bailiff has driven away the people of Fingal and Robert Bruce. Where are our other friends, the Indians of North America, to whom our old France had so kindly stretched forth her hand? Alas! I have just seen the last of them, whom they were showing about at fairs. The American-English traders and Puritans, in their cruel unintelligence, have just now driven back, famished, and annihilated those heroic races, who leave for ever an empty place upon the globe, and a regret to mankind.

In the face of these devastations, together with that in the north of India, that of Caucasus, and that of Libanus, may France perceive in time that our interminable war in Africa comes especially from our being ignorant of the genius of those nations! we remain ever at a distance, without doing any thing to dispel the mutual ignorance, and the misunderstandings which it occasions. They avowed the other day that they were fighting against us only because they believed us the enemies of their religion, which is the Unity of God; they were ignorant that France, and almost all Europe, had cast off the yoke of idolatrous belief, which obscured the Unity during the middle ages. Bonaparte told it them at Cairo; who will repeat it now?

The mist will be dispelled one day or other between each shore, and the nations will know each other. Africa, whose races so closely resemble those of our south -- Africa, whom I occasionally recognise in my most distinguished friends of the Pyrenees and of Provence, will do France a great service; she will explain many things in her which are despised and misunderstood. We shall then better comprehend the rough popular vigour of our mountaineers, and the inhabitants of our least adulterated provinces. Many an incident of manners, as I have said, which is found rude and clownish, is, in reality, barbarous, and united our people to those doubtless barbarous. but by no means vulgar, populations.

Barbarians, savages, children, even people (for the most part) have all of them this common misery, that their instinct is misunderstood. and they themselves know not how to make us comprehend it. They are like mutes; they suffer and become extinct in silence. And we are nothing, we scarcely know it. The man of Africa starves upon his devastated Silo, he dies, and without complaint.

Europe works himself to death, ends in an hospital, without any body knowing it. The child, even the rich child, languishes and cannot complain; nobody will listen to him; the middle ages, though ended for us, continue for him in their barbarity.

A strange sight! on one hand, existences full of young and powerful life. But those beings are as if still enchanted; they cannot well make known their thoughts and their sufferings. On the other hand, behold others who have collected together all the instruments that humanity has ever forged to analyse and express thought, languages, classifications, logic, and rhetoric, but life is feeble in them. They would require those mutes, whom God filled so abundantly with his vigour, to give them one single drop. Who would not offer up prayers for that great people, who, from low and obscure regions, aspires and ascends, groping in the dark, without having even a voice to groan? But their silence speaks.

They say that Cæsar, when coasting along the shores of Africa, fell asleep and had a dream; he saw as it were a vast army, weeping and stretching their hands towards him. On awaking, he wrote down upon his tablets—Corinth and Carthage; and he rebuilt those cities.

I am not Cæsar, but how often have I dreamed Cæsar's dream! I saw them weeping, I understood their tears, — "Urbem orant:" They want their city! They ask her to receive and protect them. And I, a poor solitary dreamer, what could I give to that great dumb nation? All I had, — my voice. May it be their first entrance into the city of right, from which they have been hitherto excluded!

I have made those speak in this book, who as yet do not even know whether they have a right to the world. All those who groan or suffer in silence, all that are aspiring and struggling towards life, are my people. They are the people! Let them all come with me.

Why can I not enlarge the city, so that it may be solid? It shakes, it crumbles to pieces, as long as it is incomplete, exclusive, and unjust. Its justice is its solidity. If it wants to be but just, it will not even be just. It must be hely and divine, founded by Him who alone can found.

It will be divine, if, instead of jealously shutting its gates, it rally all who are the children of God, the least, the most humble (woe unto him who will be ashamed of his brethren!). Let all, without distinction of class or classification, weak or strong, simple or wise, bring here their wisdom or their instinct. Those impotent, those incapable miscrabiles personæ, who can do nothing for themselves, can do much for us. They have in them a mystery of unknown power, a hidden fecundity, living fountains in the depths of their nature. The City, in calling them, calls life, which can alone renew it.

Then, may man have here with man, and man with nature, after this long divorce, a happy reconciliation! may every species of pride cease, and may the City of protection extend from heaven to the lowest abvss, vast as the bosom of God!

For my part, I protest, that if there remains any one behind whom it still rejects and does not shelter with its right, I will not

enter, but remain on the threshold.

PART III.

ENFRANCHISEMENT BY LOVE.

OUR NATIVE COUNTRY.

CHAPTER I.

FRIENDSHIP.

It is a grand glory for our old *communes* of France to have been the first to have found the true name of our native land. In their simplicity, full of good sense and profound feeling, they called it friendship.*

Our country is, indeed, the great friendship which contains all the others. I love France, because she is France, and also because she

is the country of those whom I love and have loved.

Our country, the great friendship, in which all our attachments centre, is at first revealed to us by them; then, in her turn, she generalises, extends, and ennobles them. The friend becomes a whole people. Our individual friendships are like the first steps in that great initiation, stations through which the soul passes, and mounts by degrees, to know and love herself in that better, more disinterested, and more exalted soul, which is called Patria.

I say disinterested, because wherever it is strong it causes us to love one another, in spite of the clashing of interests, difference of conditions, and inequality. Poor and rich, great and small, we are all exalted by it above the petty feelings of envy. It is truly la grande amitié, because it renders us heroic. They who are united in it, are firmly united; their attachment will last as long as the country. Nay, it is nowhere more indestructible than in their immortal souls. Though it had ended in the world and in history, and become entombed in the bosom of the globe, it would survive as friendship.

^{*} The native land (la patrie) was then only in the commune. They said the Amitié of Lille, the Amitié of Aire, &c. See Michelet's "Histoire de France," v. 315.

It would seem, from the language of our philosophers, that man is such an unsociable being, that it would require much trouble, and all the efforts of art and meditation, to invent the ingenious machine that should bring man and man together. But, for my part, I perceive, at a mere glance, that even from his very birth he is a sociable being. Before he has his eyes open, he loves society; he weeps as soon as ever he is left alone. How can we be surprised at this? On the day we call his first day, he quits a society, already become very old, and so agreeable! He began by it; and when nine months old, he must forsake it, enter solitude, and seek, groping, whether he can find again a shadow of the dear union he had, but which he has lost.

He loves his nurse and his mother, and but little distinguishes them from himself. But what is his delight, when, for the first time, he sees another, a child of his own age, who is himself, yet not himself! Scarcely will he know any thing like that moment again in the most lively transports of love. His family, his nurse, even his mother, for some time, all give way before the comrade—he

has made him forget every thing.

It is there we must see how little inequality, that stumbling-block of politicians, embarrasses nature. She amuses herself, on the contrary, in all the relations of the heart, to sport with the differences, the inequalities, which would seem likely to create insurmountable obstacles to union. Woman, for instance, loves man just because he is stronger. The child loves his friend, often because he is superior. Inequality pleases them as an opportunity for devotedness, as emulation, as a hope of equality. The dearest wish of love is to make one's self an equal; its fear is to remain superior, to preserve an advantage that the other has not.

It is the singular character of the beautiful friendships of child-hood, that inequality powerfully promotes them. It must exist, to give rise to aspiration, exchange, and reciprocity. Observe those children: what makes their friendship delightful to them is, in the analogy of character and habit, an inequality of mind and culture; the weaker follows the strong, without either servility or envy; he

listens to him with rapture, and follows him with joy.

Friendship, whatever be said to the contrary, is, still more than love, a means of progress. Love is, like friendship, doubtless an initiation; but it cannot create any emulation between those whom it unites; lovers differ in sex and in nature; the least advanced of the two cannot change much, in order to resemble the other; the effort of mutual assimilation stops short very early.

The spirit of rivalry, which awakes so early among little girls, begins late among boys. It requires the school, the college, all the

efforts of the master, to rouse those sad passions. Man, in this respect, is born generous, heroic. He must be taught envy, he does not know it of himself.

Ah! how right he is, and how much he gains by it! Love does not calculate, cannot measure. It does not set about calculating a mathematical and rigorous equality, which is never attained. It prefers much more to go beyond it. It creates, for the most part, in opposition to the inequality of nature, an inequality in a totally opposite direction. Between man and woman, for instance, it causes the stronger to wish to be the servant of the weaker. In the progress of the family, when the child is born, the privilege descends to this new comer. The inequality of nature favoured the stronger, the father; the inequality substituted by love favours the weaker, the weakest, and makes the last first.

Such is the beauty of the natural family. And the beauty of the artificial family is, to favour the elected son, the son of the will, dearer than those of nature. The ideal of the City that it ought to pursue, is the adoption of the weak by the strong — inequality for the advantage of the least.

Aristotle says very well in opposition to Plato: — "The City is composed, not of similar, but of dissimilar men." To which I add: — "Dissimilar, but harmonised by love, made more and more like." Democracy is love in the City, and initiation.

The initiation of patronage, Roman or feudal, was artificial, and the creature of circumstances.* It is to the invariable and natural relations of man that we must return.

What are those relations? Do not search very far for them. Only consider man before he is enslaved by passion, crushed by a harsh education, and soured by rivalry. Take him before love, before envy. What do you find in him? Something that is the most natural of all others, the first (ah! and may it also be the last!)—friendship.

I shall soon be an old man. I have, besides mine own age, the weight of two or three thousand years which history has heaped

^{*} Ancient and feudal patronage will not, must not, return. We feel we are equals. Character, moreover, and originality lost immensely in those relations of strict dependency in which man had ever his eyes fixed on man, became his shadow, his poor copy. The long common table at which the baron sat, near the fire, and which, from the chaplain, the seneschal, and the other vassals, reached as far as the door, where the little kitchen-boy, standing to serve, used to eat, — this table was a school in which imitation descended through all ranks: each studied and copied his neighbour of a superior rank. Their sentiments were not always servile, but their minds were so. This servility of imitation is doubtless one of the causes which retarded the middle ages and kept them so long sterile.

upon me; so many events, passions, and different reminiscences, in which my life and that of the world enter pell-mell. Well! among these great innumerable things, these poignant sufferings, one predominates, triumphs, ever young, fresh, and flourishing, — my first friendship.

It was, I remember it well (much better than my thoughts of yesterday), an immense insatiable desire of communications, confidence, and mutual revelations. Neither talk nor paper could suffice. After the longest walks, we escorted, and re-escorted, each other home. What a joy it was, when day returned, to have so much to say! I started early, in my full strength and liberty, impatient to talk, to resume the conversation, and confide so many things. "What secrets? What mysteries?" Why, some historical fact, perhaps, some verse of Virgil, that I had just learned.

How often did I mistake the hour! At four or five o'clock in the morning I went and knocked, got the door opened, and awoke my friend. How shall I describe, in words, the light and vivid dawn of those mornings in which every thing was brilliant and on the wing? My existence seemed to fly; I have still the impression of it, mingling with the morning and the spring; I felt I lived in the

dawn.

An age to be regretted, a true paradise on earth, knowing neither hatred, contempt, nor baseness, when difference of rank is so wholly unknown, when society is still truly human, truly divine.

All that passes quick. Different interests arise, and opposition, and rivalry. And yet something of it would still remain, it education strove to unite men as much as it does to separate them.

If the two classes of children, the poor and the rich, had only been sitting on the benches of the same school, if, connected by friendship, though separated by careers, they saw each other often, they would do more good between them than all the politicians, all the moral lessons in the world. They would preserve, in their disinterested innocent friendship, the sacred bond of the City. The rich man would know life, inequality, and would sigh at it; all his endeavours would be to share with his poor friend. The poor man would show a great heart, and console him for being rich.

How can we live, without knowing life? Now, it is only known on one condition: to suffer, work, and he poor; or else, to make one's self poor in sympathy and heart, and willingly participate in

toil and suffering.

What should a rich man know, with all the science in the world? The very circumstance of his living an easy life causes him to be ignorant of its great and profound realities. Never investigating deeply, or with energy, he runs, and glides along, as on the ice; he

never penetrates, always remains on the surface; in that rapid external and superficial existence, he will reach the goal to-morrow, and will depart just as ignorant as he came.

What he lacked was, a solid resting place, on which he might lean with his soul, and dive deep into life and knowledge. In direct opposition to the rich man, the poor man is fixed on an obscure spot, without seeing either sky or land. What he lacks, is the power to rise, breathe, and behold the sky. Riveted to this place by fatality, it would be necessary for him to expand, to generalise his existence, and even his sufferings, live away from this spot where he suffers, and since he has an infinite soul, give it infinite expansion. He is wholly without the means; the laws will do but little; it must be friendship. The man of leisure, culture, and reflection, must restore this captive soul to its relations to the world; change it? No, but help it to be itself, and remove the obstacle that prevented it from unfolding its wings.

All that would become easy, if each of the two comprehended that he will find his enfranchisement only in the other. The man of science and culture, in these days, the slave of abstractions and formulas, will recover his liberty only by contact with the man of instinct. His youth and his life, that he expects to renew by foreign travel, are there, near him, in that which is social youth, I mean in the people. The latter, on the other hand, for whom ignorance and solitude are like a prison, will extend his horizon, and find his pure air again, if he accept the communication of science; if, instead of calumniating it through envy, he respect the accumulation of the works of humanity, all the efforts of the men before him.

This assistance, this vigorous and important mutual culture, which they will find in each other, implies, I confess, a genuine magnanimity in both. We summon them to heroism. What appeal more worthy of man? more natural also, as soon as he returns to himself and recovers, by the grace of God?

The heroism of the poor man is to sacrifice envy; it is to be himself sufficiently above his own poverty, not even to wish to inquire whether riches are well or ill acquired. The heroism of the rich is in knowing the rights of the poor man, to love him, and go to him.

"Heroism! Why, is not this the most simple duty?" Doubtless; but it is precisely because it is a duty, that the heart keeps shut. Sad infirmity of our nature; we scarcely love any one, but him to whom we owe nothing, the abandoned, inoffensive being, who holds up no right against us.

The heart must expand on both sides. They have taken democracy by right and duty, by the law,—and they have had but the dead law. Oh, let us take it again by grace!

You say, "What does it signify to us? We will make such such wise laws, so artificially drawn up and arranged, that people will have no need to love." To wish to have wise laws, and to obey

them, you must first love.

"How love? Do you not see the insurmountable barriers that interest raises between us? Amid the overwhelming competition in which we are struggling, can we indeed be simple enough to help our rivals, or lend a hand to-day to those who will be our rivals to-morrow?"

Sad confession! What! for a little money, for some miserable place that you will soon lose, you give up the treasure of man, all that is good and great within him,—friendship, your native country,

the genuine life of the heart?

Alas, miserable man! so near, yet so far from the Revolution! have you then so soon forgotten that the foremost men in all the world, those young generals, in their awful energy, their furious rushing to an immortal death, which they all disputed with one another,—as desperate rivals of that lovely mistress, Victory, who inflames hearts with the keenest love,—that they felt no jealousy? That glorious letter will remain for ever by which the Conqueror of La Vendée shielded, with all his virtue and his popularity, the man who was already to be dreaded*, the Conqueror of Arcola, and became security for him. O noble period! and you, great men, true conquerors, to whom every thing must of necessity yield! you have conquered envy as easily as you subdued the world! Noble souls, wherever you be, give us, for our salvation, a breath of your spirit!

^{*} It is known that Bonaparte had become suspected, while acting as lord and arbiter of Italy, granting or refusing, without consulting any body, armistices which decided peace or war, sending directly funds to the army of the Rhine, without the intervention of the treasury, &c. A report was spread that he was to be arrested in the midst of his army. Hoche, to justify him, wrote to the Minister of Police a letter that was made public. Therein he lays to the charge of the Royalists the reports that were circulated: —"Why is Bonaparte the object of the fury of those gentlemen? Is it because he defeated them in Vendémiaire?—Is it because he disbands the armies of the kings, and furnishes the Republic the means of ending gloriously this war? Ah! brave youth, where is the republican soldier that does not burn to imitate thee? Courage, Bonaparte, lead our victorious armies to Naples, to Vienna; reply to thy personal enemies by humbling kings, by giving a new lustre to our arms, and leave us the care of thy glory."

CHAPTER II.

LOVE AND MARRIAGE.

ONE must have very little feeling for the importance of such a subject, to undertake to treat it in a few pages. I shall content myself with making a single observation, essential in the state of our manuers.

Indifferent as we are to our country and the world, being neither citizens nor philanthropists, we have barely but one thing by which we pretend to escape from egotism: this is the family tie. To be a good father of a family is a merit to be displayed, and often very profitably.

Well! we must confess it: — in the upper classes, the family is dangerously ill. If things went on so, family would be an impossi-

bility.

Men have been accused, and not without reason. I myself have spoken elsewhere of their materialism, of their harshness, and the egregious awkwardness with which they lose the ascendancy of the first days.* However, it must be confessed, the fault is especially with the women, — I mean the mothers. The education that they give, or allow to be given, to their daughters, has made marriage an intolerable burden.

What we see reminds us but too much of the latter ages of the Roman empire. The women having become heiresses, knowing they were rich, and patronising their husbands, rendered the condition of the latter so miserable, that no pecuniary advantage, no legal right could prevail on men to submit to the degrading servitude. They preferred flying to the desert. The Thebaid became inhabited.

The legislator, afraid of depopulation, was obliged to favour and regulate inferior attachments, the only ones accepted by man. It would be, perhaps, the same in these days, if our society, more mercantile than that of the Roman empire, did not speculate upon marriage. Modern man accepts through cupidity, or necessity, the chances which disgusted the Romans. Unsafe speculation! The

^{*} See Michelet's "Priests, Women, and Families." Longman and Co., London, 1845.

young wife knows she brings much; but she has not in the least learned the value of money, and spends still more. If I considered recent events, and the overthrow of fortunes, I should be inclined to

say, "If you want to ruin yourself, marry a rich woman."

I know all the inconveniences in choosing a woman of inferior condition and education. The first is, we isolate ourselves, leave our circle, and lose our position in society. Another is, that we do not marry the woman alone, but her family, whose habits are often coarse. This woman we certainly hope to raise, to make her as ourselves and for ourselves; but it often happens, that with a happy instinct and some docility, she is not capable of being raised. The tardy education that they attempt to give to the vigorous, harder, and less pliant races of the people, has seldom any hold upon them.

These inconveniences being acknowledged, I am not less obliged to return to one far more serious inconvenience, — that of the brilliant marriages of the present day. It consists simply in this, that

life is there an impossibility.

This life consists in commencing every evening, after the day's work, a still more fatiguing task of amusements and pleasures. There is nothing like this in the other countries of Europe, and nothing like this among the people; the Frenchman of the richer classes is the only man in the world who never reposes. This is, perhaps, the principle reason why our enrichis, our bourgeois, a class created but yesterday, is already worn out.

In this working age, in which time is of an incalculable value, serious productive men who want results, cannot accept, as a condition of marriage, so enormous an expenditure of life. The night, thus employed in promenading a woman, prematurely destroys the

morrow.

Man wants at night his home and repose. He returns full of cares; he ought to be able to collect his scattered thoughts, and confide his ideas, his plans, his anxieties, the struggles of the day,—to have a home where he may pour out his heart. He finds a woman who has done nothing, who hastens to put forth her strength, ready decked out, and impatient. What means has he of speaking to her? "Very well, sir; it is late, we shall not be in time. You will tell me that to-morrow."

Let him go, if he will not confide her to the care of some elderly lady, her friend; who, too often a depraved malevolent mischief-maker, will find no pleasure greater than to set the young wife against her tyrant, compromise her, and launch her into the saddest follies. No, he cannot leave her with such a guide. He will conduct her himself; he starts off. With what envy he sees the workman return late home! The latter, it is true, has tired

himself out during the day, but he is about to find repose, a home, a family, and, lastly, slumber, that legitimate happiness which God gives him every evening. His wife expects him; she counts the minutes: the cloth is laid; the mother and child are watching for his coming. If that man be worth any thing, she puts her vanity in him; she admires and reveres him. And how careful she is! I see her, without his perceiving it, keeping the smallest portion of their scanty meal for herself: I see her reserving for the husband, who works harder, the wholesome food that will recruit his strength.

He retires to rest; she puts the children to bed, and then remains up to work till very late at night. Early in the morning, long before he opens his eyes, she is up; every thing is ready,—the warm food he takes, and that which he carries with him. He departs, his heart satisfied, very easy about what he leaves, after

kissing his wife and his sleeping children.

I have said it, and will say it again, — happiness is there. She perceives that she is supported by him, and she is happy; he works so much the better, as he knows he works for her. Such is true marriage. Monotonous happiness, some will say. No; the child gives it variety. If the supreme spark were added, if the workman, with a little security and leisure, had but moments of a higher life, if he took woman as a partner in them, and nourished her with his own spirit ——It would be too much: we should only pray to heaven for an eternity like the life on earth.

Sad victim of cupidity, you might have had that happiness; but you have sacrificed it. The humble girl that you loved, who loved you, but whom you have forsaken—you may well regret her now! Was it wise (I speak not of honour or humanity) to crush the poor creature, and to crush your own heart, in order to esponse slavery? The meney you sought will slip away of itself,—it will not remain in your hands. The children of this union without love, conceived in calculation, will bear their sad origin on their brow; their discordant existence will bear witness to the inward divorce that this marriage contained; they will not have the heart to live.

Was then the difference so great between those two girls? Both, after all, are of the people. The richer one has for her father a workman who has become wealthy. Between the true, unadulterated people, and the bourgeois and spurious classes, there is no gulf fixed.

If our honrgrois wish to recover from their precocious exhaustion, they will fear less to be united to families that are to-day what they themselves were yesterday. Therein is the strength, the beauty of the future. Our young men marry late, already worn out, and generally espouse a sickly young lady; their children die, or remain

sickly. In the second or third generation, our bourgeois will be as puny as our nobles were before the Revolution.*

And not only are bodily endowments failing, but the *moral* faculty is also declining. How are we to expect a series of works, serious business, or any grand invention, from a man who, having sold himself to a marriage for money, is the slave of a woman, of a family, obliged to parade himself out, and cast his time and his life to the four winds? Imagine what must become of a nation in which the governing classes consume themselves in vain words and empty agitation. For life to be fruitful, there must be tranquillity of mind, and the repose of the heart.

A remarkable fact of our time is, that the women of the people (who are by no means coarse, like the men, and who feel the want of delicacy and distinction), listen to men above them with a confidence that they did not at all possess before. They saw the nobility as an insurmountable barrier to love; but riches do not appear to them a separation of classes †; it is reckoned so little when one loves! Touching confidence of the people, who, in their better, most amiable, and most tender part, thus draw nearer to the upper ranks, and bring with them vigour, beauty, and moral grace! Ah! woe to those who deceive them! If they are inaccessible to remorse, they will at least suffer regret, in the reflection that they have lost what is worth all the treasures of the world, heaven and earth, — to be beloved!

* As M, de Maistre tells them so well in his "Considérations sur la Révolution."

† An observation of Pierre Leroux, as judicious as it is ingenious and profound. How many things must be added! What a sad side of our morals! I am especially grieved to see the family, — the mother! — urge the youth to treachery. And was it not from that mother that the young deceived girl was to hope for protection? Ought not a pious woman to have bowels of compassion, a heart of infinite goodness for that poor child, who, after all, (what does it matter before God if the proud world murniurs at it?) is become her own? What regard are women to expect from us, if they do not protect one another? They have a mystery in common, which ought to bind them much stronger than men can be bound, the mystery of maternity, which is that of life and death, that which makes them reach the extreme limits of suffering and enjoyment. The participation in this terrible mystery, which men do not know, makes them all equal, all sisters; inequality exists only among men. It is for the mother or the sister to plead with the son or brother for the forsaken girl, and, if marriage be impossible, to shelter her with their protection. In default of these, she whom the man marries, the young virtuous woman, ought to redress the wrongs, cover all with her goodness, open her arms and her heart to the children of the first love. (See in my history the Death of Louis d'Orleans.)

CHAPTER III.

ASSOCIATION OF THE FISHERMEN OF NORMANDY.

I паче long studied the ancient associations of France. most charming of all, in my opinion, is that of the fishing-nets, on the coasts of Harfleur and Barfleur. Each of these vast nets (of a hundred and twenty brasses, or six hundred and twenty feet long) is divided into several portions, which descend by inheritance to the girls as well as to the boys. The girls, inheriting this right, but not going to fish, nevertheless assist in it by weaving their share of the nets, which they confide to the fishermen. The beautiful and prudent girl of Normandy thus spins her dowry; this net-portion is her fief, which she administers with as much prudence as the wife of William the Conqueror. Being doubly proprietress, by her right and her work, she necessarily must, as such, know the details of the expedition; she appreciates its dangers, interests herself in the choice of the erew, and shares the anxieties of this adventurous life. She often risks upon the bark more than her net. It often happens that he whom she chose at his departure for her fisherman, chooses her for his wife on his return.

A true country of wisdom! that Normandy which, in so many things, has served as a model to France and England, appears to me to have formed there a type of association more worthy than any other of being recommended to the attention of future times.

This association is widely different from those cheesemongers' associations of the Jura*, where they associate after all only for the

^{*} Often quoted by Fourier. I am a man of history and tradition; therefore I have nothing to say to him who boasts of proceeding by the way of absolute eccentricity (écart absolu). This book of the people, founded particularly upon the idea of our country, that is to say, on devotedness and sacrifice, has nothing to do with the doctrine of attraction passionnelle. I nevertheless seize this opportunity to express my admiration for so many ingenious, profound, and sometimes very practical views, my tender admiration for a misinterpreted genius, whose whole life was occupied with the happiness of mankind. I shall one day speak of him as my heart shall dictate. What a singular contrast does his boast of materialism exhibit to his self-denying, disinterested, and spiritual life! This contrast has very recently re-appeared, for the glory of his disciples, Whilst the friends of virtue and religion, their necessary defenders, those born conservatives of public morality, were enlisting clandestinely in the band of those who play a safe game; the disciples of Fourier, who speak only of interest, money, and enjoyments, have trodden interest under foot, and smitten courageously the Baal of the Exchange. The Baal! No, the Moloch, the idol that was devouring men.

risk and the profit. Each brings his milk to the common cheese, and shares proportionally in the sale. This collective economy requires no moral union; it puts egotism at its ease, and is reconcileable with all the hard-heartedness of individualism. It does not seem to me to deserve the charming name of association.

That of the fisherman of Normandy eminently deserves this title; it is moral and social quite as much as economical. What is it at bottom? A young, serious, honest girl, who, out of her work, her nightly task, and her savings, enters into partnership with young men, stakes her fortune on their bark, before she stakes her heart; she has a right to know, to choose, and to love the skilful, lucky fisherman. There is an association truly worthy of that name; far from removing from the natural association of the family, it prepares the tie—and by so doing is profitable to the grand association, that of our native country.

Here my heart fails me, and my pen stands still. I must confess that the country and the family profit but little by it now. Those net-associations will soon exist only in history; they are already succeeded, in many parts of the coast, by that which takes the place of

every thing - the bank and usury.

You noble race of Norman mariners! who were the first to discover America, who founded the colonies of Africa, conquered the two Sicilies, and England! shall I then no longer find you, save in the tapestry of Bayeux? Who is not pierced to the heart, in passing from our cliffs to the Downs, from our languishing coasts to those opposite so teeming with life, from the indolence of Cherbourg* to the burning and terrible activity of Portsmouth? What eare I if Havre is filled with American vessels, with a transit-trade which is made by France, without France, and sometimes against her?

Heavy malediction! A truly severe punishment for our insociability! Our economists declare that there is nothing to be done for free association. Our academies efface the word from their lists of competition prizes. This name is that of a crime, punishable by our penal laws. One single association remains lawful, the increasing intimacy between Saint Cloud and Windsor.

Commerce has formed a few societies, but for war, to absorb the minor trades, and destroy small tradespeople. It has done much harm, and gained but little. The large joint-stock companies, created in this expectation, have had little success. They are not advancing; as soon as a new one is formed, the others suffer and decay. Several

^{*} Maritime indolence; but masons are not wanting there any more than elsewhere. An engineer is engaged with laudable activity in completing the dyke.

have already fallen, and those which subsist have no tendency to increase.

In country places, I see our very ancient agricultural communities of Morvan, Berri, and Picardy, gradually dissolving, and calling on the tribunals to finish the business. They had lasted for ages; several had been prosperous. Those convents of married labourers which united in one bond a score of families, related to each other, under the self-same roof, and under the direction of a chief, whom they elected, possessed however, beyond all doubt, great economical advantages.*

If from these peasants I pass on to the most cultivated minds, I see scarcely any spirit of association in literature. The men the most naturally brought together by their pursuits and mutual esteem and admiration, nevertheless live apart. The relationship of genius itself is of little use in bringing hearts together. I know here four or five men who are certainly the aristocracy of mankind, and who have no peers or judges but themselves. These men, who will live for ever, would, had they been separated by centuries, have bitterly regretted not having known each other. They are living at the same time, in the same city, next door to, but never see, one another.

In one of my pilgrimages to Lyons, I visited some weavers, and, according to my custom, inquired about their evils and the remedy. I asked them especially whether they could not, whatever might be their difference of opinions, associate for certain material economic purposes. One of them, a man full of good sense and of a high moral character, who perceived well how heartily, and with what good intention I was making my inquiry, allowed me to continue it further than I had yet done. "The evil," said he at once, "is the partiality of the Government for manufactures." "And what next?" "Their monopoly, their tyranny, their unreasonableness." "Is that all?" He was silent for a minute or two, and then with a sigh uttered these important words: "There is another evil, sir,—we are unsociable."

Those words pierced me to the heart, and fell upon me like a sentence of death. How many reasons had I to suppose this was just and true! How many times it recurred to me! "What!" said I to myself, "is France, that country renowned beyond all others for the eminently sociable agreeableness of its manners and of its genius, immutably divided, and for ever! If it be so, have we any

[•] But seemingly they were too irksome to the two sentiments which characterise our age, — the love of personal property, and that of the family. Read a very curious pamphlet by M. Dupin, Sen, — Excursion dans la Nièvre, 1840. — See also my "Origines de Droit," on collaboratio, the parsonniers, the chanteau, vivre à un pain et un pot, &c.

chance left of living, and are we not already dead even before death? Is the soul dead within us? Are we worse than our fathers, whose pious associations are incessantly being lauded?* And is love, is brotherhood for ever at an end in this world?"

In such sombre thoughts, resolved, like a dying man, to feel well whether I was dying, I considered seriously not the highest, nor the lowest, but one man, neither good nor bad, a man in whom several classes are represented, who has seen and suffered, and who, certainly in spirit and in heart, bears within himself the thought of the people. That man, who is no other than myself, though living alone and in voluntary seclusion, has nevertheless remained sociable and sympathetic.

There are also many others in the same predicament. An immutable, unalterable fund of sociability sleeps here in the depth of society. It remains wholly treasured up; I perceive it everywhere among the masses when I descend into them, when I listen and observe. But how can we wonder if this instinct of easy sociability, so discouraged of late, has shut itself up and retired? After being deceived by different parties, speculated upon by commercial people, and treated with suspicion by the Government, it no longer either stirs or acts. All the powers of society seem turned against the sociable instinct! To unite stones and disunite men is all they know.

Patronage by no means makes good in this case what is wanting to the spirit of association. The recent appearance of the idea of equality has stifled (for a time) the idea that had preceded it,—that of benevolent protection, adoption, and fraternity. The rich man has sternly said to the poor one: "You claim equality, and the rank of brother? Well, be it so! But, from this moment, you shall get no assistance from me; God imposed upon me the duties of a father; by claiming equality, you yourself have absolved me from them.+

* Necessity alone, with its iron chains, had bound together the ancient barbarous associations (see in my "Origines," the terrible forms of blood, drunk or shed — underground, &c.) — necessity, I say, and the certainty of perishing, if people remained disunited. In monastic associations, friendship is strictly forbidden, as a theft committed against God (see my "Histoire de France," vol. v. p. 12. note). The barbarity of the compagnonage, and its own attempt at reformation (see A. Perdiquier), teach us well enough what were the commercial associations of the middle ages. Brotherhoods, originating in danger and prayer (so natural to man in peril), certainly hated strangers more than they loved themselves. The banner of the holy patrons rallied them, and led them from the procession to the combat. It was much less brotherhood than a defensive league and power, often offensive too, in the hates and jealousy of trades.

† The effort of the world and its salvation will be to recover the harmony of these two ideas. Fraternity and paternity, two words irreconcilable in the

Among this people, we run far less chance of being on the wrong scent than with any other. No social comedy, no outward difference can create an illusion as to their sociability. They have not the subdued manners of the Germans. They are not like the English, ever with their hats off before all who are rich or noble. If you speak to them, and they answer you eivilly and cordially, you may fairly believe that they pay that tribute truly to the person, very little to his position.

The Frenchman has passed through many trials — revolution and warfare. Such a man is most assuredly difficult to guide, and difficult in associating. Why? Precisely because, as an individual, he

has much valour.

You are making men of iron in your war of Africa; a very personal war, which compels man incessantly to rely only upon himself. No doubt you are right in wishing to have and to form them such, on the eve of the crisis which we must expect in Europe. But, at the same time, be not much surprised if those lions, who have but just returned, retain, even in submitting to the curb of the laws, something of a sayage independence.

Those men, I warn you, will take to association only through the influence of the heart, through friendship. Do not think that you will yoke them to a negative society in which the soul will have no place, or that they will live together without loving one another, by economy and natural gentleness of character — as, for instance, the German workmen do at Zurich. The co-operative society of the English, who unite perfectly well for any special affair, though hating and thwarting one another in some affair in which their interests differ, does not suit our Frenchmen. France must have a society of friends; it is her commercial disadvantage, but her social superiority, not to admit of any other. Union is effected here neither by weakness of character and community of habits, nor by an eagerness of huntsmen who herd together like wolves for a prey. The only union possible here is the union of minds.

There is scarcely any form of association that is not excellent, if this condition exist. The main question, with this sympathetic people, is that of persons and moral characters. "Do the members of the association love and suit one another?" That is what must always be inquired in the first place.* Societies of workmen will be

family, are not so in the least in civil society. It finds, as I have already said, the model which harmonizes them, in the moral society which every man bears within him. See the end of the second part.

^{*} In association, the form is doubtless important, but it is only secondary.

formed, and they will last, if they love one another; and sceieties of master-workmen, who, without chiefs, will live as brothers, but there must be great mutual love.

To love is not simply to have feelings of mutual benevolence. The natural attraction of characters and analogous tastes would not suffice. It is necessary to follow one's nature, even heartily; that is to say, to be ever ready for sacrifice, and that devotedness which immolates nature.

What would you do in this world without sacrifice?* It is its very support; without it, the world would presently tumble to pieces. Even with the best instincts, the most upright characters, the most perfect natures (such as are not seen here below) - every thing would perish without this supreme remedy.

"Sacrifice one's self to another!" Strange unheard of motto, which will offend the ears of our philosophers. "Sacrifice one's self to whom? To a man whom we know to be worth less than curselves; and lose, for the advantage of this nonentity, an infinite value!" It is this, in fact, that no one seldom fails to attribute to himself. This is, we do not attempt to disguise it, a real difficulty. People seldom sacrifice themselves for any thing but what they believe to be infinite. For sacrifice, they must have a God, an altar; a God, in whom men recognise and love one another. How then could we sacrifice ourselves? We have lost our Gods!

Was the Logos (Dieu verbe), in the form in which it was con-

To re-establish ancient forms, corporations, commercial tyrannies; resume shackles in order to walk the better; undo the work of the Revolution, and destroy inconsiderately what was demanded for so many ages, - appears to me to be madness. On the other hand, to imagine that the State, that does so little of its own, could perform the functions of universal manufacturer and tradesman - what is this but to trust every thing to the functionary! Is this functionary an angel? When invested with this strange power, will be be less corrupt than the manufacturer or the tradesman? What is certain is, that he will never have their activity. - As to community, three words will suffice. Natural community is a very ancient, barbarous, and unproductive state. Voluntary community is a fleeting transport, an heroic emotion, which marks a new faith, and which soon declines. Forced community, imposed by violence, is a thing impossible at a period when property is equally divided, and nowhere more impossible than in France. To return to the possible forms of association, I think they ought to differ according to the different professions, which, more or less complicated, require more or less unity of direction; and also according to the different countries, and to the diversity of national genius. This essential observation, which I shall some day develope, might be supported by an immense number of facts.

* No period has exhibited such famous examples. In what age were such great armies, so many millions of men, ever seen to suffer and die, without

revolt, meekly, and in silence?

sidered by the middle ages, this necessary tie? Universal history is ready here to answer, No. The middle ages promised union, and gave only war. It was necessary that God should have a second period, and appear upon earth in his incarnation of '89. He then gave to association its form, at once the most vast and most true, that which still alone can unite us, and by us save the world.

O France! glorious mother! you who are not only ours, but who are destined to restore every nation to liberty, teach us to love one another in you!

CHAPTER IV.

OUR COUNTRY. ARE NATIONALITIES ABOUT TO DISAPPEAR?

NATIONAL antipathies have diminished, the law of nations has become more humane, we have entered upon an era of benevolence and brotherhood, if we but compare our own times with the malevolent period of the middle ages. Nations have already become somewhat amalgamated by interests, and mutually copied their fashions and their literature. Are we thence to infer that nationalities are on the decline? Let us inquire carefully.

One thing is most assuredly on the decline in every nation—intestine dissent. Our French provincialities are rapidly disappearing. Scotland and Wales have joined the British unity. Germany is seeking for hers, and fancies herself ready to sacrifice to it a long list of conflicting interests, which have hitherto kept her divided.

This sacrifice of the different intestine nationalities to the great nationality which contains them, beyond all doubt, strengthens the latter. It effaces, perhaps, the striking picturesque particulars, which characterised a people in the eyes of the superficial observer; but it strengthens their genius, and permits them to display it. It is at the moment when France has suppressed in her bosom each conflicting France, that she has given her high and original revelation. She found she was herself, and, whilst proclaiming the future common rights of the world, she distinguished herself from the world more than she had ever done before.

We may say as much of England: with her machinery, her vessels, and her fifteen millions of workmen, she differs at present from all other nations much more than in the time of Elizabeth. Germany, who in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries was groping about to find herself, has at length discovered herself in Goethe, Schelling, and Beethoven; it is only since that period that she has been able to aspire earnestly after unity.

So far are nationalities from disappearing, that I see them every day morally characterising themselves; and, from being what they were, collections of men, becoming individuals. It is the natural progress of life. Every man, at his origin, feels his genius confusedly; he seems, in his early years, to be any man; as he advances, he investigates himself, and goes on characterising himself outwardly

by his acts, his works; he becomes gradually an individual man, leaves class, and deserves a name.

The opinion that nationalities are soon about to disappear, can be the result of but two causes: - First, an ignorance of history, an acquaintance with it only by shallow formulas, like the philosophers who never study it, or else by literary commonplaces, to prattle about it, like the women. They who know it thus, see it in the past like a small obscure point, which they may blot out if they will. Secondly, this is not all; we must also be as ignorant of nature as of history, forget that national characteristics are not at all derived from our caprices, but are profoundly based upon the influence of climate, food, and the natural productions of a country; that, though they may become somewhat modified, they are never effaced. Those who are not thus bound, either by physiology or history, and who constitute humanity without inquiring about either man or nature, may, at their leisure, efface every frontier, fill up rivers, and level mountains. I warn them, however, that the nations will still subsist, unless they intend to eradicate cities, the great centres of civilisation, where nationalities have summed up their genius.

We said towards the end of the second part of this book, that if God has placed anywhere the type of the political City, it was, according to every appearance, in the moral City,—I mean in the soul of man. Well! what does this soul do first? It takes up a fixed position, meditates there, forms for itself a body, a dwelling-place, a train of ideas. And then it can act. In the same manner, the soul of a people ought to make for itself a central point of organism; it should seat itself on one spot, collect itself, meditate, and harmonise itself with some nature or other: the seven hills, for instance, for that little Rome; or, for our France, the Ocean, the Rhine, the

Alps, and the Pyrenees, for those are our seven hills.

It is a power for every life to circumscribe itself, to carve for one's self a share of time and space, to feed on something of our own, in the midst of indifferent and dissolving nature, that would wish ever to confound. This is existence — this is to live!

A mind fixed upon one point will go on fathoming itself. A mind floating in space, dissolves and fades away. Behold the man who shares his love with many: he dies without having known love; let him love but once, and long, and he finds in one passion the infinity of nature and all the progress of the world.*

^{*} The native land (or motherland as the Dorians so appropriately termed it) is the love of loves. She appears to us in our dreams as a young adored mother, or a powerful nurse who suckles us by millions. A poor image! Not only does she nourish us, but she contains us within herself: in cá movemur et sumus.

The Patria, the City, far from being opposed to nature, are for that soul of the people which dwells therein, the single and all-powerful means of realising its nature, giving it, at once, the vital starting-point and the liberty of development. Imagine the Athenian genius, without Athens; it floats, wanders, is lost, and dies unknown. But set in the narrow but happy frame of such a city, built on that exquisite land, where the bee gathered the honey of Sophocles and Plato, the mighty genius of Athens has made as much of an imperceptible city, in two or three centuries, as twelve nations of the middle ages in a thousand years.

The most powerful means employed by God to create and augment distinctive originality, is to maintain the world harmoniously divided into those grand and beautiful systems which we call nations, each of which opening to man a different field of action, is a living education. * The more man advances, the more he enters into the spirit of his country, and the better he contributes to the harmony of the globe; he learns to know his native country, both in its positive and in its relative value, as a note in the grand concert; by it, he participates therein; and in it, he loves the world. One's native country forms the necessary initiation to the country of all mankind.

Union is thus always advancing without any danger of ever attaining unity, since every nation, at every step it takes towards concord †, is more original in itself. If, by an impossibility, diversities were to cease, if unity were established, every nation singing the same note, the concert would be at an end; harmony in confusion would be nothing but an unmeaning sound. The world, monotonous and barbarous, might then perish without even causing

a regret.

^{*} Every thing tends to this education. No object of art, no branch of industry, even of luxury, no form of exalted culture is without action upon the mass, without influence upon the lowest, — the poorest. In this great body of a nation, spiritual circulation goes on, insensibly descending and ascending, to and from the highest and the lowest. One idea enters by the eyes (fashions, shops, museums, &c.), another by conversation, by language, which is the grand $d\acute{e}p\acute{e}t$ of general advancement. All receive the mind of all, without perhaps analysing it; but at all events they receive it.

[†] In proportion as a nation enters upon the possession of its own genius, and reveals and establishes it by works, it needs less and less to oppose it by war to that of other nations. Its originality, every day better secured, shines forth in production more than in opposition. National diversity, which manifested itself violently by war, displays itself still better when each nation lets its grand voice be heard distinctly: all used to shout in the self-same note; now each sings its own part; there is gradually a concert — harmony: the world becomes a lyre. But at what price is this harmony obtained? At the price of diversity.

Nothing will perish, I am sure of it, neither the soul of man, nor of the people: we are in too good hands. On the contrary, we shall go on ever living more, that is to say, strengthening our individuality, and acquiring more powerful and more productive originalities. God preserve us from losing our personal identity in Him! and if no soul perishes, how could those great souls of nations, with their vivid genius, their history rich in martyrs, abounding with heroic sacrifices, and brimful of immortality, ever perish? When one of them is momentarily eclipsed, the whole world is sick in all its nations, and the world of the heart in its fibres which respond to nations. Reader, that suffering fibre, which I see in your heart, is Poland and Italy.*

Nationality, the fatherland, is ever the life of the world: it dead, all would be dead. Ask rather the people; they feel it, and will tell you. Ask science, history, and the experience of mankind. Those two grand voices are in unison. Two voices? No,—two realities,—what is and what was, opposed to empty abstraction.

Upon this point I set my heart and history; I was firm upon that rock, and wanted nobody to confirm my faith. But I have been in crowds, I have questioned the people, both young and old, small and great. I have heard them all give testimony for their native country. That is the living fibre which dies last in them. I have found it in the dead. I have been into those eemeteries called prisons, bagnes, and there I have opened the hearts of men; well, then, in these dead men, where the breast was empty, guess what I found, — France, once more! the last spark by which, perhaps, they might have been resuscitated.

Say not, I beseech you, that it is nothing at all to be born in the country surrounded by the Pyrenees, the Alps, the Rhine, and the Ocean. Take the poorest man, starving in rags, him whom you suppose to be occupied solely with material wants. He will tell you it is an inheritance of itself to participate in this immense glory, this unique legend which constitutes the talk of the world. He well knows that if he were to go to the most remote desert of the globe, under the equator or the poles, he would find Napoleon, our armies, our grand history, to shelter and protect him; that the children would come to him, that the old men would hold their peace and entreat him to speak, and that to hear him only mention those names, they would kiss the hem of his garment.

For our part, whatever happens to us, poor or rich, happy or unhappy, while on this side the grave, we will ever thank God for

 $^{^{\}circ}$ Suffering, and now mute at the College of France, in the voice it still had in our dear and great Mickiewicz.

having given us this great France for our native land. And that, not only on account of the many glorious deeds she has performed, but because in her we find especially at once the representative of the liberties of the world, and the country that links all others together by sympathetic ties, the initiation to universal love. This last feature is so strong in France, that she has often forgotten herself. We must, at present, remind her of herself, and beseech her to love all the nations less than herself.

Doubtless, every great nation represents an idea important to the human race. But, gracious Heaven! how much more true is this of France! Suppose for a moment that she were eclipsed, at an end, the sympathetic bond of the world would be loosened, dissolved, and probably destroyed. Love, that constitutes the life of the world, would be wounded in its most vital part. The earth would enter into the frozen age where other worlds close at hand have already landed.

I had, on this very subject, a horrible dream in broad daylight, which I am forced to relate. I was in Dublin, near a bridge, and walking along the quay, looking at the river, which was gliding along, sluggish and narrow, between wide sandy strands, very much as we see ours at the quai des Orfévres: - I thought I was by the Seine. The very quays were similar, without, however, the rich shops, the monuments, Tuileries, the Louvre; it was almost Paris without Paris. From that bridge were descending a few ill-dressed persons, not, as with us, in blouses, but in old stained coats. They were disputing violently, in a sharp, guttural, and very barbarous tone, with a frightful, ragged, humpbacked man, whom I still see before my eyes: other persons were passing along, miserable and deformed. As I looked at them, a strange idea took possession of me, terrified me, - that all those figures were Frenchmen. It was Paris - it was France - a France grown ugly, brutal, and savage, I experienced, at that moment, how great is the credulity of terror; I made no objection. I said to myself that another 1815 must have happened, but long, long ago; that ages of misery had oppressed my irrevocably doomed country, and that I had returned thither to take my share in that world of suffering. Those ages were crushing me, like a mass of lead; so many centuries in two minutes! I remained riveted to the spot, unable to stir. My fellow-traveller shook me, and then I recollected myself a little; but I could not quite banish from my mind that terrible dream - I was inconsolable; as long as I remained in Ireland, it filled me with an overpowering melancholy, which has even now returned to me, with all its vividness, as I trace these lines.

CHAPTER V.

FRANCE.

The head of one of our economical schools said a few years ago, "What is our native country?"

Their cosmopolite Utopias of material enjoyments seem to me, 1 confess, a prosaic commentary on the poetry of Horace:—"Rome is tumbling down, let us fly to the fortunate islands;" that sad song of abandonment and despondency.

The Christians who come next, with their celestial country and universal brotherhood here below, do not the less give the death-blow to the empire by this beautiful and affecting doctrine. Their brethren of the north soon come and put the rope round their necks.

We are not the sons of a slave, without a country and without gods, as was the great poet we have just quoted; we are not Romans of Tarsus, like the apostle of the Gentiles; we are the Romans of Rome, and the Frenchmen of France. We are the sons of those who, by the efforts of an heroic nationality, have done the work of the world, and founded, for every nation, the gospel of equality. Our fathers did not comprehend brotherhood in the sense of that vague sympathy which induces one to accept and love every thing which amulgamates, degenerates, and confounds. They believed brotherhood was not the blind amalgamation of existences and characters, but much rather the union of hearts. They preserved for themselves, for France, the originality of devotedness, of sacrifice which no one disputed with them; and alone, she watered with her blood the tree that she planted. It was a glorious opportunity for the other nations not to leave her thus alone. They did not imitate France in her devotedness; and do they now want France to imitate them in their egotism, their immoral indifference, and, as she failed in exalting them, now to descend to their level?

Who could see without astonishment the same people that lately raised the beacon of the future towards which the eyes of the world are turned, now walking with downcast look in the road of imitation? What is that road? We know it but too well; many nations have followed it: it is simply the road to suicide and death.

Poor imitators! so you think that is imitation? They take from a neighbouring people this or that which among them is a living thing; they appropriate it to themselves, ill or well, in spite of the

repugnance of a frame that was not made for it: but it is a foreign body that you are engrafting in your flesh; it is an inert lifeless

thing; it is death that you are adopting.

What shall we say, if this thing is not only foreign and different, but even hostile? If you will go and seek for it precisely among those whom nature has given you for adversaries, whom she has diametrically opposed to you? If you ask a renewal of life from that which is the negation of your own life? If France, for instance, proceeding in the direct teeth of her history and her nature, goes and copies what may be called Anti-France—England.

Here there is no question of national animosity, or of blind mal volence. We entertain the esteem that we ought for that great British nation; we have proved it, while studying it, as earnestly as any man of the present day. The result of this study and this very esteem is the conviction that the progress of the world depends on these two nations not losing their respective qualities in an indistinct amalgamation, on these two opposite magnets acting inversely, and these two electricities, positive and negative, never being confounded.

The element which, of all others, was the most heterogeneous for us—the English element—is precisely that to which we have given the preference. We have adopted it, politically, into our constitution, on the faith of the doctrinaires who copied without comprehending it; into our literature, without seeing that the foremost genius that England has had in our days, is he who has the most strongly denied it. Lastly, a thing incredible and ridiculous, we have adopted this same English element in art and in fashion. That stiffness, that awkwardness, which is not external nor accidental, but proceeds from a profound physiological mystery—we copy even that.

I have now before me two novels, written with much talent. Well! in these French novels, who is the ridiculous man? The Frenchman — always the Frenchman. The Englishman is the admirable man—the invisible, yet ever present Providence, who saves every thing. He comes in just in time to repair all the fellies of the other. How? Because he is rich. The Frenchman is poor, and poor in intellect. Rich! Is that, then, the cause of this singular infatuation? The rich man (for the most part the Englishman) is the well-beloved of God. The most liberal, the strongest minds find it difficult to guard themselves from a prepossession in his favour. The women find him handsome, the men would fain believe him to be noble. His sorry nag is taken as a model by the artists.

Rich! Come, confess this is the secret motive of the universal admiration. England is the rich nation; never mind her millions of beggars. For any one who does not investigate mankind, she

presents to the world an unparalleled spectacle, that of the most enormous accumulation of wealth that ever existed. A triumphant agriculture, so much machinery, so many vessels, so many warehouses all choke-full, that Exchange, the mistress of the world—gold flows there like water.

Oh! France has nothing like that; it is a country of poverty. The comparative statement of all that the one possesses and all that the other does not, would really lead us too far. England can with a good grace ask France with a smile, what are, then, after all, the visible results of her activity? — what remains of her labours, of so many commotions, and so many efforts?**

Behold poor France! sitting on the ground, like Job, among her friends, the nations, who come to comfort, interrogate, better her, if

they can, and work out her salvation!

Where are thy vessels, thy machinery?" says England: and Germany, "Where are thy systems? Have yo not at least, like Italy, works of art to show?"

Kind sisters, who come thus to comfort France, permit me to answer you: she is ill, mind you, I see her head drooping - she

will not speak.

If we would heap up all the blood, the gold, the efforts of every kind, that each nation has expended for disinterested matters, that were to be profitable only to the world, France would have a pyramid that would reach to heaven; and yours, O nations! all of you put together — oh! yours! the pile of your sacrifices would reach up to the knee of an infant!

Do not, then, come and say to me, "How pale France is!" She has shed her blood for you. "How poor she is!" For your sake she has given away without reckoning;" † and having no longer any

† I write down here, in weakening it, a thought that struck me during my first journeys across the frontier. Once, especially, as I was entering Switzerland, I fel, we inded to the heart by it. To see our poor peasants of French.

^{*} The visible results of France, the durable results of her work, are nothing in comparison to those not obvious to the eye. The latter were mostly acts, movements, words, and thoughts. Her written literature (which is, however, the first in eny opinion) is far, very far below her oratory, and her brilliant and fruitful conversation. Her manufactures of every description are nothing when compared to her actions. For machines, she had heroes; for systems, men inspired. "But are not these words and acts improductive things?" And that is precisely what places France very high. She has excelled in things of movement and grace, in those which serve no purpose. Above whatever is material, tangible, are found the imponderable, the intangible, the invisible. Then, never class her according to material things, by what is touched and seen. Do not judge her as you would another, by what you notice of outward misery; it is the country of the mind, and consequently the one that afferds the least hold to the material procedure of the world.

thing, she has said, "I have neither gold nor silver, but what I have I give unto you." Then she gave her soul, and it is that on which

you are living.*

"What she has left is what she has given away?" Come, listen to me well, and learn, O nations! what without us you would never have learned: "the more one gives, the more one keeps!" Her spirit may slumber within her, but it is always entire, and ever on the point of waking in its might.

For a very long time I have been France, living day after day with her for two thousand years. We have seen the worst days together; and I have acquired this faith, that this country is the one of invincible hope. God certainly must enlighten it more than any other nation, since she sees in the darkest night, when others can no longer distinguish: during that dreadful darkness which often prevailed in the middle ages and since, nobody perceived the sky; France alone saw it.

Such is France: with her nothing is finished, but always to be recommenced.

When our Gallic peasants drove away the Romans for a moment, and established an empire of the Gauls, they stamped upon their coin the first motto of this country (and the last) — Hope!

Comté so miscrable: and suddenly, after passing a stream, the people of Neufchatel so comfortable, so well clothed, and evidently happy! What are, at bottom, those two principal loads, the debt and the army, that are now crushing France? Two sacrifices that she is making to the world as much as to herself. The debt is the money that she pays it for having given it her principle of salvation, the law of liberty that it copies in calumniating her. And the army of France? Is the defence of the world, the reserve that it keeps for the day when the barbarians will arrive, when Germany ever seeking her unity (which she has been seeking ever since Charlemagne), will be obliged either to put us before her, or to make herself, against liberty, the vanguard of Russia.

* No, it is not the commercial machinism of England, nor the scholastic machinism of Germany, that gives life to the world; but the breath of France, in whatever state she may be, the latent heat of her Revolution that Europe

ever bears within her.

CHAPTER VI.

FRANCE SUPERIOR AS DOGMA, AND AS LEGEND. FRANCE IS A RELIGION.

THE foreigner thinks he has exhausted the subject, when he says, smiling, "France is the infant of Europe."

If you give her this title, which, in the eyes of God, is not the least one, you must confess that it is the infant Solomon sitting in judgment. Who, but France, has preserved the tradition of the law?

Of ecclesiastical, political, and civil law; the chair of Papinienus,

and the stool of Gregory VII.

Rome is nowhere but here. Ever since Saint Louis, to whom has Europe gone to ask for justice? The pope, the emperor, and the kings? Who could disown the theological popedom in Gerson and Bossuet, the philosophical popedom in Descartes and Voltaire, the political and civil popedom in Cujas and Dumoulin, in Rousseau and Montesquieu? Her laws, which are but those of reason itself, force themselves upon her very enemies. England has just given the Code Napoleon to the island of Ceylon.

Rome held the pontificate of the dark ages, the royalty of the ob-

scure; and France has been the pontiff of the ages of light.

This is not an accident of the latter ages, a revolutionary chance. It is the legitimate result of a particular tradition, connected with general tradition, for two thousand years. No people has one like it. In this is continued the grand human movement (so clearly marked out by the languages) from India to Greece and to Rome, and from Rome to us.

Every other history is mutilated, ours alone is complete; take the history of Italy, the last centuries are wanting; take the history of Germany, or of England, the first are missing; take that of France,

with it you know the world.

And in this grand tradition there is not only a connected series, but progress. France has continued the Roman and Christian work. Christianity had promised, and she has performed. Brotherly equality, postponed to the next life, has been taught by her to the world, as the law here below.

This nation has two very potent qualities that I do not find in any other. She has at once the principle and the legend, the idea more comprehensive and more humane, and, at the same time, a more

connected tradition.

This principle, this idea, sunk in the middle ages under the dogma of grace, are, in the language of man, called brotherhood.

It is this tradition which, from Casar to Charlemagne, to Saint Louis, and from Louis XIV. to Napoleon, makes the history of France that of humanity. In her is perpetuated under divers forms, the moral ideal of the world, from Saint Louis to the maid of Orleans, Joan of Arc, and from her to our young generals of the Revolution; the Saint of France, whoever he is, is the Saint of all nations; he is adopted, blessed, and lamented by the human race.

"For every man," said an American philosopher impartially, "the first country is his native land, and the second is France." But how many men like better to live here than in their own country! As soon as ever they can break for a moment the thread that binds them, they come, poor birds of passage, to settle, take refuge, and enjoy here at least a moment's vital heat. They tacitly avow that

this is the universal country.

This nation, considered thus as the asylum of the world, is much more than a nation, it is a living brotherhood. Into whatever weakness she may fall, she contains, at the bottom of her Nature, this living principle, which preserves for her, happen what may, particular chances of restoration.

The day when France, remembering that she was, and must be, the salvation of mankind, will place her children around her, and teach them France, as faith and as religion, she will find herself

living, and firm as the globe.

What I say here is an important matter, of which I have long thought, and which contains, perhaps, the regeneration of our country. It is the only one that has the right thus to teach itself, because it is the one that has the most mixed up its own interest and its own destiny with those of humanity. It is the only one that can do so, because its great national and yet universal legend is the only complete one, and the most connected of all, the one that by its historical concatenation answers the best to the demands of reason.

And this is not fanaticism; it is the concise expression of an earnest opinion founded upon long study. It would be very easy for me to show that the other nations have but special legends which the world has not accepted. These legends, moreover, have often the character of being isolated, individual, without any connection, like points of light separated from one another.* The natural legend

^{*} To speak first of that great nation which seems the richest in levends Germany—those of Sigfrid the invulnerable. Frederic Barbarossa, and Goet with the iron hand, are poetical dreams, which turn life back to the past, the impossible, and to vain regrets. Luther, rejected and spit upon by one half of Germany, has not been able to leave a kgend. Frederic, bardly a German, but a Prussian (which is very different)—a Frenchman, moreover, and a phi-

of France is an immense, uninterrupted stream of light, a true milky way, upon which the world has ever its eves fixed.

Germany and England, in race, language, and instinct, are strangers to the great Romano-Christian and democratical tradition of the world. They have a certain share in it, but without well bringing it into unison with their basis, which is exceptional: they have it obliquely, indirectly, awkwardly—have it, and yet have it not. Observe well those nations; you will find in them, both in their physical and mental endowments, a discordance of life and principle, which France does not present, and which (even without reckoning intrinsic value by stopping at the form and consulting only art) ought ever to prevent the world from seeking there their models and their instruction.

France, on the contrary, is not composed of two principles. In her, the Celtic element has combined with the Roman, and makes but one with it. The Germanic element, about which some make so much noise, is truly imperceptible.

She proceeds from Rome, and she ought to teach Rome, its language, history, and law. In this our education is not at fault. It is at fault, inasmuch as it does not imbue this Roman education with the sentiment of France; it lays much scholastic stress upon Rome, which is the way, and conceals France, which is the goal.

It would be necessary, at the very entrance, to point out this goal to the child, to make him set out from France, which is himself, and bring him back by way of Rome to France, again to himself. Then only would our education be perfect.

The day when this people, returning to themselves, will open their eyes and consider themselves, they will understand that the first institution that can make them live and last, is to give to all (in greater or less extent, according to the time at their command) this harmonious education, which would found the country in the very heart of the child. Other salvation there is none. We have grown old in our vices, and wish not to be cured of them. If God save this glorious but unfortunate country, he will save it by means of infancy.

losopher — has left the trace of strength, but nothing for the heart, nothing as poetry, or national faith.

The historical legends of England, the victory of Edward III., and that of Elizabeth, present rather a glorious fact than a moral model. One type, thanks to Shakspeare, has remained very powerful in the English mind, and influence it but too much, — that of Richard III. It is curious to observe how easily their tradition has broken up; it seems as though three times three different nations had sprung up. The ballads of Robin Hood and others, with which the middle ages fed themselves, finish with Shakspeare; Shakspeare is put to silence by the Bible, by Cromwell, and by Milton, who, also, are elfaced by industrialism and the half-great men of later ages. Where is their complete man on whom a legend might be founded?

CHAPTER VII.

THE FAITH OF THE REVOLUTION — IT HAS NOT KEPT ITS FAITH
TILL THE END, AND HAS NOT TRANSMITTED ITS SPIRIT BY
EDUCATION.

The only government that has busied itself heartily about the education of the people is that of the Revolution. The constituent and legislative Assembly Iaid down the principles with admirable clearness, and with a sentiment truly humane. The Convention, in the middle of her terrible struggle against the world, and against France, whom she saved in spite of herself, among the personal dangers she encountered, being assassinated piecemeal, decimated, and mutilated, never let go her hold, but prosecuted with obstinacy this holy and sacred subject of the people's education; in those stormy nights, when she sat in arms, prolonging each session which might be her last, she nevertheless took the time to call forth every system, and to examine them: "If we decree education," said one of her members, "we shall have lived long enough."

The three projects adopted are full of good sense and greatness of soul. At first they organise the high and the low, the normal and the primary schools. They kindle a bright flame, and transport it instantly into the vast depths of the people. After that, being more at leisure, they fill up the intervening space, the central schools or colleges, where the rich may be educated. Nevertheless, every thing is created uniformly and harmoniously; they knew then that a living

work is not to be made bit by bit.

O ever memorable day! It was two months after the 9 Thermidor. They were beginning to believe in life again. France, issuing from the tomb, suddenly grown more mature by twenty ages, enlightened, yet bloody France, called all her children to receive the sovereign instruction of her great experience, — she said to them: Come and see.*

^{*} And the principal fruit of that experience is, that human blood has a terrible virtue against those who have shed it. It would be too easy for me to prove that France was saved in spite of the reign of Terror. Those terrorists have done us an immense mischief, which still lasts. Go into the lowest cot-

When the rapporteur of the Convention pronounced these simple important words: "Time alone could be the professor of the Republic," whose eyes were not filled with tears? They had all paid dearly the lesson of the time, they had all passed through death, and had not escaped entire!

After those great trials there seemed to be a moment's silence for all human passions; one might have faucied there was no longer any pride, self-interest, or envy. The highest men in the state, and in science, accepted the most humble offices of public instruction.* Lagrange and Laplace taught arithmetic.

Fifteen hundred pupils, men full grown, and several already illustrious, came unhesitatingly to take their seats on the benches of the normal school, to learn to teach. They came as they could, in the depth of winter, at that moment of poverty and famine. Above the ruins of all material things hovered the majesty of the mind, alone and without a shadow. The chair of the great school was occupied in turns by creative geniuses; some, like Berthollet and Morvan, came to found chemistry, to open and penetrate the inner world of

tage of the most distant country of Europe, and you will find the remembrance of this and its malediction. Kings have put to death in cold blood upon their scaffolds, in their Spielbergs, their presides, and their Siberias, &c. &c., a much greater number of men. What does that matter? The victims of the reign of Terror do not the less remain ever bleeding in the minds of nations. ought never to lose an opportunity of protesting against those horrors which were not ours, and are not imputable to us. The enthusiastic vigour of our armies alone saved France. The committee of public safety doubtless seconded that enthusiasm, but precisely by the excellent military administrators they had among themselves, whom Robespierre detested, and would have put to death, had he been able to do without them. Our purest generals found in Robespierre and his friends only malevolence, distrust, and obstacles of every kind. I have not the time now to enter into all this. But on this head I beg that those who reprint the useful compilation of Messrs. Roux and Buchez will suppress their sad paradoxes, the apology of the 2d September and the Saint Bartholomew, the brevet of good Catholics given to the Jacobins, the satire against Charlotte Corday (t. xxiii. p. 337.), and the eulogy of Marat. "Marat distributed his denunciations with upright good sense and pretty sure tact" (p. 345,): - a judicious praise of the man who demanded two hundred thousand heads at once (see the "Publiciste," 14th Dec., 1792). These neo-Catholics, in their fine justification of the reign of Terror, have taken seriously that which Ch. Modier, the paradoxical edition of the "Quotidienne," amused himself to make. I should not have made this observation, if they did not endeavour to spread these absurdities, by cheap newspapers, among the people and the workmen who have no time for inquiry.

* I have before me (Aux Archives) the original list of those who accepted the office of professors in the central schools, which were the colleges of those times: Sieyes, Daunou, Roederer, Hauy, Cabanis, Legendre, Lacroix, Bossut, Saussure, Cuyler, Fontanes, Ginzuene, Laharne, Laromiguiere, &c.

bodies; others, like Laplace and Lagrange, had, by calculation, strengthened the system of the world, and secured the earth upon its basis. Never did spiritual power appear more indisputable. Reason, by obedience, yielded to reason. And how much did the heart participate in it, when among those matchless men, each of whom appears but once in countless ages, they saw a very precious life, the good Haüy, who, when on the eve of perishing, was saved by Geoffroy-Saint-Hilaire!

A great citizen, Carnot, he who organised victory, foresaw Hoche and Bonaparte, and saved France in spite of the reign of Terror, was the real founder of the Polytechnic School. They learned, as they fought, completed three years of lectures in three months. At the end of six, Monge declared that they had not only received science, but that they had advanced it. Being the spectators of the uninterrupted inventions of their masters, they too went on inventing. Imagine the spectacle of a Lagrange, who, in the middle of his lecture, suddenly stopped short, and mused. The auditory waited in silence. He at length awoke, and delivered to them, all glowing as it was, his infant invention, hardly yet emananated from his mind.

Every thing was wanting, except genius. The pupils could not have come, had they not had a remuneration of four sons a-day. They received food, with the food of the mind. One of the masters (Clouet) would have for salary only a nook of ground in the sandy plains of the Sablons, and lived on the vegetables that he cultivated there.

What a fall, after that time! a moral fall, and not less great in the sphere of the mind. Read after the reports made in the Convention, those of Fourcroy and Fontane, and you lapse in a few years from manhood to old age — decrepit old age.*

Is it not painful to see that heroic disinterested transport of enthusiasm decline and fall so soon? That glorious Ecole Normale bears no fruit. We are but little surprised at it, when we see that man was taught so little, the sciences of man renouncing and contradicting themselves, being, as it were, ashamed of themselves. The professor of history, Volney, taught that history is the science of dead facts,—that there is no living history. Garat, the professor of philosophy, said that philosophy is only the study of signs; in other words, that phisosophy is nothing. Signs against signs, the

^{*} One man had the rare courage to protest, under the empire, in favour of the organisation given to instruction by the convention: Lacroix, "Essais sur l'Enseignement, 1805."

mathematics had the advantage, as well as the cognate sciences, such as astronomy. Thus, Revolutionary France, in the great school that was to diffuse its spirit throughout the world, lectured on the fixed stars, and forgot herself.

It is here especially, in this last effort of the revolution to found, that we see it could be only a prophet, and was dying in the desert, without having seen the promised land. How could it have reached its destination? It had been obliged to do every thing, having found nothing ready, and no aid in the system that preceded it. It had entered upon possession of an empty world, and by right of disinheritance. I will show some day, on unequivocal testimony, that it found nothing to destroy. The clergy, the nobility, and royalty were at an end, and it had nothing at all to put in their places. It went round in a vicious circle. Men were wanting to make the revolution; and to create those men she ought to have been already made. There was no assistance to perform the passage from one world to the other! A gulf to be traversed, and no wings to fly across it!

It is painful to witness how very little the guardians of the people, royalty and the clergy, have done to enlighten them in the four last centuries. The church spoke to them in a learned language that they no longer understood. She made them repeat by heart that prodigious metaphysical doctrine, the subtlety of which strikes with amazement the most cultivated minds. The State had done only one thing, and that very indirect; it had brought together the people into camps, and grand armies, where they began to appreciate themselves. The legions of Francis I., and the regiments of Louis XIV., were schools in which, without any instruction at all, they spontaneously formed themselves, imbibed common ideas, and rose by little and little, to the sentiment of their native country.

The only direct instruction was that which the citizens received in the colleges, and which they followed up as advocates and men of letters. It was a verbal study of languages, rhetoric, literature, and the study of the laws, not learned and precise, like that of our ancient juris-consults, but self-termed philosophical and foll of shallow abstractions. Being logicians without metaphysics, civilians without law and history, they had no faith save in signs, forms, figures, phrases. In every thing, substance, life, and the sentiment of life, were wanting in them. When they arrived upon the great theatre where vanities waged war to the death, one could see all the bad qualities that scholastic subtlety can superadd to a bad nature. Those terrible abstractors of quintessence armed themselves with five

or six formulas, which, like so many guillotines, served them to abstract men.*

It was a very terrible thing when that great assembly, which, under Robespierre, had made the reign of Terror by terror itself, raised her head, and saw all the blood she had shed. Faith had not failed her in the presence of the combined world, nor even against France, when, with thirty departments, she restrained and saved every thing. Faith had not failed her, even in her personal danger, when, having no longer even Paris, she was reduced to arm her own members, and saw herself very nearly without any defennder but herself. But, in the presence of blood, before all those dead men who were rising from their tombs, before all that host of released prisoners who came to judge their judges, she felt faint, and began to abandon herself.

She did not take the step which would have put her in possession of the future. She had not the courage to put her hand upon the young rising world. The Revolution, to get possession of that, ought to have had one lesson, and that alone: the Revolution.

To do so, it would have been necessary, not to deny the past, but, on the contrary, to challenge it, seize it again, and make it her own, as she was doing with the present; and to show that she possessed, together with the authority of reason, that of history and all our historical nationality; that the revolution was the tardy, but just and necessary, manifestation of the genius of this people, that it was only France herself having at length discovered her right.

She did nothing of the kind, and the abstract reason, which she invoked alone to aid her, did not support her in presence of the

^{*} The genius of the inquisition and the police, which has astonished so many people in Robespierre and Saint-Just, little surprises those who are acquainted with the middle ages, and who find there so often those dispositions of inquisitors and sanguinary cavillers. This affinity of the two periods has been seized with much penetration by M. Quinet: - "Christianity and the French Revolution," p. 349-351 (1845). Two men of scrupulous equity, and inclined to judge their enemies favourably, Carnot and Daunou, agreed perfectly in their opinion of Robespierre. The latter has often told me that, except the last moment, when necessity and peril made him eloquent, the famous dictator was a man of secondary order. Saint-Just had more talent. They who wish to make us believe them both to have been innocent of the last excesses of the reign of Terror, are refuted by Saint-Just himself. On the 15th of April, 1794 (so short a time before the 9 Thermidor!), he deplores the culpable indulgence they have had till that moment, "In these latter days the relachement des tribunaux had increased to such a degree, &c .- What have the tribunals been doing for two years? Have people spoken of their justice? - Instituted to maintain the Revolution, their indulgence has left crime everywhere free," &c .- " Histoire Parlementaire, t. xxxii., p. 311, 319, 26 germinal an. ii.

terrible realities which were rising up against her. She doubted of herself, deposed, and effaced herself. It was necessary that she should die and enter the sepulchre, in order that her living spirit might spread throughout the world. Ruined by her defender, he pays her homage in the hundred days. Ruined as she was by the Holy Alliance, kings establish their compact against her, on the social dogma that she laid down in '89. The faith, which she had not in herself, prevails over those who have fought against her. The sword, with which they pierced her heart, works miracles and cures. She converts her persecutors, and instructs her enemies. Why did she not instruct her children?

CHAPTER VIII.

NO EDUCATION WITHOUT FAITH.

THE first question of education is this: Have you faith? Do you put faith?

The child must believe.

Let the child believe the things which, when a man, he may be

able to prove to himself by reason.

To make a child a reasoner, a wrangler, or a critic, is an absurdity. To be incessantly stirring up, at our pleasure, all the seeds we have sown—what agriculture! To make a child learned, is absurd. To load his memory with a chaos of useful and useless knowledge—to heap up within him an indigested mass of a thousand ready-made things, not living, but dead, and dead fragments, without his ever having the whole:—this is to assassinate his mind.

Before adding, accumulating, one must exist. We must create and strengthen the living germ of the young being. The child

exists at first by faith.

Faith is the common base of inspiration and action. There is

nothing great without it.

The Athenian had the faith that all human culture had descended from the Acropolis of Athens, and that his Pallas, sprung from the brain of Jupiter, had produced the light of art and science. That faith was realised. That city, with her twenty thousand citizens, has inundated the world with her light; though dead, she enlightens it still.

The Roman had the faith that the living and bleeding head found under his Capitol, promised he should be the head, the judge, the prætor of the world. That faith was realised. If his empire has passed away, his law remains, and continues to regulate nations.

The Christian had the faith that a God-made-man would make a people of brothers, and would sooner or later unite the world in one and the same heart. That has not yet been verified, but it will

be verified by us.

It was not sufficient to say that God was made man; this truth, remaining in such general terms, has not been productive. We must seek how God has manifested himself in the man of every nation, how, in the variety of national genius, the father has accommodated himself to the wants of his children. The unity that 'he ought to give us is not a monotonous unity, but a harmonious unity, in which all the varieties love one another.

Let them love, but let them subsist; let them go on increasing in splendour, the better to enlighten the world; and let man, from his childood, accustom himself to recognise a living God in his native country.

Here, then, arises a serious objection: "How can I put faith, when I have so little myself? Faith in my native country, like my religious faith, has grown weak within me."

If faith and reason were opposite things, having no reasonable means of obtaining faith, we should be obliged, like the mystics, to remain there, sigh and wait. But the faith, worthy of man, is a belief of love, in what is proved by reason. His object is not this or that accidental miracle, but the permanent miracle of nature and history.

In order to put faith in France, to hope in her future, we must re-ascend towards her past, and fathom her natural genius. If you do so, seriously and heartily, you will see the consequence infallibly follow from this study, and these established premises. From the deduction of the past, the future, the mission of France will arise before you; it will appear to you in full light; you will believe, and you will rejoice to believe; faith is nothing else.

How would you be resigned to remain ignorant of France? Your origin is in her; if you know her not, you will know nothing of yourself. She surrounds and presses you on every side; you live

in her, and on her; and you will die with her.

May she live, and you live, by faith! She will return to your heart, if you look at your children, that young world which wishes to live, that is still so good and docile, and demands the life of belief. You have grown old in indifference; but which of you would wish his son to be dead in heart, without a country, and without God? All those children, in whom are the souls of our ancestors, are the old and new country. Let us help it to know itself; and it will give us back the gift of loving.

As the poor man is necessary to the rich man, so is the child necessary to the man. We give him still less than we receive from him.

Young people, you who will soon take our place, I must thank you. Who, more than I, had studied the past of France? Who should know her better, by so many personal trials, which have revealed to me her trials also? Still, I must say, my soul, in solitude, had languished within me, and was either idling, at its leisure among curiosities and trifles, or else soaring towards the ideal, and not treading the ground. The reality escaped me, and our country, which I ever pursued and ever loved, was ever far below; she was my object, my end and aim, an object of science and of study. She has appeared to me living. "In whom?" In you who read me. In you, young man, I saw my country, her eternal youth. How should I not believe in her!

CHAPTER IX.

GOD IN OUR NATIVE COUNTRY. — THE YOUNG COUNTRY OF THE FUTURE. — SACRIFICE. — THE MOTHER REVEALS GOD.

EDUCATION, like every work of art, demands, before every thing else, a simple, strong sketch; no subtlety, no minutiæ, nothing that presents any difficulty, or provokes objection.

By a grand, salutary, sound, and lasting impression, we must in

this child found man, and create the life of the heart.

First, God revealed by the mother, in love and nature. Afterwards, God revealed by the father, in the living country, in her heroic history, in the sentiment of France.

God, and the love of God. Let the mother take him, on St. John's day, when the earth performs her annual miracle, when every herb is in flower, when the plant seems to grow while you behold it,—let her take him into the garden, embrace him, and say to him tenderly, "You love me, you know only me. Well! listen: I am not all. You have another mother. All of us, men, women, children, animals, plants, and whatever has life, we have all a tender mother, who is ever feeding us, invisible but present. Love her, my dear child; let us embrace her with all our hearts."

Let there long be nothing more. No metaphysics that destroy the impression. Let him brood over that sublime and tender mystery, which his whole life will not suffice to clear up. That is a day he will never forget. Throughout all the trials of life, and the intricacies of science, amid all his passions and stormy nights, the gentle sun of St. John's day will ever illumine the deepest recesses of his heart, with the immortal blossom of the purest, best love.

Some other day, later, when man is just beginning to be formed within him, his father takes him; 'tis a great public festival,—immense crowds in Paris. He leads him from Notre Dame to the Louvre, the Tuileries, the triumphal arch. From some roof, or terrace, he shows him the people, the army passing, the bayonets clashing and glittering, and the tricoloured flag. In the moments of expectation especially, before the $f\partial te$, by the fantastic reflections of the illumination, in that awful silence which suddenly takes place in that dark ocean of people, he stoops towards him and says, "There, my son, look, there is France, there is your native country! All this is like one man,— one soul, one heart. They would all die

for one; and each man ought also to live and die for all. Those men passing yonder, who are armed, and now departing, are going away to fight for us. They leave here their father, their aged mother, who will want them. You will do the same; you will never forget that your mother is France."

If I know nature at all, this impression will be lasting. He has seen his country. That God, invisible in his high unity, is visible in his members, and the great works in which the life of the nation is deposited. It is really a living person that this child touches and feels on all sides: he cannot embrace her, but she embraces him, warms him with her great soul diffused throughout the mass, and speaks to him by her monuments. It is a charming sight for the Swiss to be able with one look to contemplate his canton, embrace from the heights of his Alps his beloved country, and bear away her image with him. But it is truly grand for the Frenchman, to have here the glorious and immortal Patrie gathered in one point, with all ages, all places together, and to follow, from the Thermes de César to the Colonne, to the Louvre, to the Champ-de-Mars, from the Arc de Triomphe to the Place de la Concorde, the history of France and the world.

Moreover, for the child, the strong or lasting knowledge of his country, is before all, the school, the grand national school, as it will one day be made. I speak of a truly common school, where children of every class and every condition should come for one or two years, to sit together (before special education begins)*, and where they would learn nothing but France.

We hasten to place our children among children of our own class, whether citizens or people, in schools or colleges; we avoid every mixture, we are quick to separate the poor and the rich at that happy period, when the child would not, of his own accord, have perceived these vain distinctions. We seem to be afraid of their truly knowing the world in which they are to live. By this premature separation, we prepare that hatred which springs from ignorance and envy, that internal warfare, from which we afterwards suffer.

If inequality must subsist among men, how I should wish that childhood might at least be able to follow its instinct for a moment, and live in equality! That these little innocent men of God, devoid of envy, might preserve for us, in the school, the touching ideal of

^{*} The special education of the college or the workshop would come afterwards: the workshop, tempered and regulated by the school (according to the judicious views of M. Faucher, "Travail des Enfans"); the college tempered especially during the first years when the child would learn only as much grammar as he can understand. More exercise and recreation, and less useless writing. Mercy! mercy for little children!

society! And it would be also a school for us; we should go and learn from them the vanity of ranks, the silliness of rival pretensions, and all the true life and happiness in there being neither a first nor a last class.

Our country would appear there young and charming; at once in all her variety, and all her uniformity; a diversity of characters, visages, races,—a rainbow with a hundred colours, all teeming with instruction. Every rank, every fortune, every dress, all together on the same benches; velvet and the blouse, brown bread and dainty food. Let the rich, when still young, learn there what it is to be poor; let him suffer from inequality, be allowed to participate, and strive already to re-establish equality according to his powers; and may he find, seated on those wooden benches, the City of the World, and begin there the City of God!

The poor man, on the other hand, will learn, and recollect perhaps, that if this rich man is rich, it is not his fault; after all, he was born so; and his riches often render him poor in the first of

qualities, poor in will and moral strength.

It would be a fine thing if all the sons of one same people, thus united, at least for a time, could see and know one another before the vices of poverty and riches, before the age of envy and egotism. The child would receive an indelible impression of his country, finding it in the school not only as a study and instruction, but as a living, an infant country, like himself, a better city before the City, a city of equality, where all would be seated at the same spiritual banquet.

And I would not only that he should learn and see his native country, but feel it as a Providence, recognising it as a mother and a nurse by its strengthening milk, its vivifying heat. God forbid that we should send away a boy from the school, or refuse him spiritual food, because he has not that of the body. Oh! the impious avarice that would give millions to masons and to priests, that would be rich only to endow death *, and yet would haggle with these little children, who are the hope, the dear life of France, and her heart of hearts!

I have said so elsewhere. I am not one of those who are always weeping, now with the hearty artisan who earns five francs, now with the poor woman who gains but ten sous. So impartial a pity is not pity. Women must have asylums, free convents, temporary

^{*} And it is death that teaches! The *Ignorantins* force on the children the Jesuits' History of France (Loriquet), in which I read, among other infamous calumnies, that which the emizeant Vauban has himself contradicted: that at Quiberon, Hoche had promised life and liberty to whoever would lay down their arms, t. ii, p. 256.

workshops, and not be starved any longer in convents.* And to little children we must all be fathers, and open our arms to them; the school must be their asylum, a pleasant generous asylum; let them be comfortable there, and go there of their own accord; and let them love that house of France as much as the paternal roof, and even more. If your mother cannot maintain you, if your father ill treat you, if you be naked or hungry, come, my dear son, the doors are all wide open, and France is on the threshold to embrace and welcome you. That great mother will never blush to undergo for your sake all the cares of a nurse; she will make for you with her own heroic hand the soldier's soup, and if she had not wherewith to cover and warm your little frozen limbs, she would even tear off a shred of her old flag!

Comforted, caressed, happy, and free in mind, let him receive upon those benches the food of truth. Let him know, above all, that God has shown him the favour to give him, for his native home, a land that proclaimed and sealed with her blood the law of divine equity and fraternity, and that the God of nations has spoken by France.

First of all, the country, as a dogma and a principle. Next, the country, as a legend: our two redemptions, by the holy Maid of Orleans and by the Revolution; the enthusiasm of '92; the miracle of the young flag; our young generals admired and mourned by the enemy; the purity of Marceau; the magnamity of Hoche; the glory of Arcola and Austerlitz; Cæsar and the second Cæsar, in whom our greatest kings re-appeared still greater. Farther back still, the glory of our sovereign assemblies; the pacific and truly humane genius of '89, when France offered so heartily to all peace and liberty. Lastly, above all, as a last lesson, the immense faculty of devotedness and sacrifice displayed by our fathers, and how France has so often given her life for the world.

Child, let this be thy first Gospel, thy staff of life, the aliment of thy heart. Thou wilt remember it amid the toils and troubles into which necessity is about to cast thee. It will be a powerful cordial, which will come to comfort thee on many occasions. It will charm thy memory in long days of labour, in the *ennui* of the manufactory; thou wilt find it again in the deserts of Africa, as a remedy for thy home-sick heart, in thy wearisome marches and watchings, when standing a forlorn sentinel two steps from the barbarians.

The child will know the world, but he must first know himself,—the best part of himself,—I mean France. The rest he will learn from her. It will be for her to initiate him, and tell him her tra-

^{*} See M. Michelet's "Priests, Women, and Families." Longman and Co. London, 1845.

dition. She will tell him the three revelations she has received; how Rome taught her the Just, Greece the Beautiful, and Judea the Holy. She will connect her last lesson with the first lesson that his mother gave him: the latter taught him God, and his great mother will teach him the dogma of love,—God in Man,—Christianity;—and how love, impossible in the barbarous, malevolent times of the middle ages, was inscribed in the laws, by the Revolution, so that the inward God of man might be manifested.

If I was making a book on education, I would show how general education, suspended by special education (that of the college or the workshop), ought to be resumed under the flag by the young soldier. It is thus the country ought to pay him for the time he gives her. On his return home, she ought to follow him, not as a law only, to govern and punish him, but as a civil providence, — as a religious, moral culture, acting through the medium of assemblies, popular libraries, theatres, and *fêtes* of all kinds, and, above all, musical festivals.

How long will his education last? Just as long as his life.

What is the first part of policy? Education. The second? Education. And the third? Education. I have grown too old in history to believe in laws when they are not prepared, and when men have not been brought up long before to love and desire the law. Fewer laws, I beseech you; but strengthen the principle of laws by education; render them applicable and possible; make men, and all will be well.*

Politics promise us order, peace, and public security. But why all these blessings? To enjoy, to sleep in an egotistical tranquillity, to relieve us from associating with and loving one another? Let it perish, if that be its aim. As for me, I would rather believe that if this order, this grand social harmony, has an end and aim, it is to aid the advancement of liberty, to favour the promotion of all by all. Society ought to be only an initiation from birth to death, an education that embraces our life in this world, and prepares the life to come.

Education, a word so little understood, is not only the culture of the son by the father, but in the same, and occasionally in a greater degree, that of the father by the son. If we can recover from our moral decline, it is by our children, and for them, that we shall make the effort. The worst of all men wishes his son to be good; he who

^{*} In a plan of a constitution, which we owe to Turgot, one of the greatest and best men that ever existed, before the State he founds the commune, and before the commune he founds man by education. That is admirable. Only let it be well understood, that the education given in the commune ought to emanate from the State,—the country. That is not a communal affair.

would make no sacrifice to humanity or to his country, still makes it to his family. If he has not lost, at once, moral sense and his senses, he pities that child who runs the risk of being like him. Search deeply into that soul; all is spoilt and empty; and yet, at the lowest depth, you will almost always find a solid bottom, paternal love.

Well! in the name of our children, let us not, I beseech you, allow this, our country, to perish. Do you want to bequeath a ship-wreck to them, and receive their malediction, — that of the whole future, and that of the world, lost, perhaps, for a thousand years, if

France be undone!

You will save your children, and with them France, and the world, only in one way: — Found taeir faith.

Faith in devotedness, sacrifice, and the grand association, where

all sacrifice themselves for all, - I mean, our native country.

I know very well that this is a difficult lesson, because words are not sufficient for it—there must be examples. The strength, the magnanimity of sacrifice, so common among our fathers, seems lost with us. This is the true cause of our evils, our hatred, and that inward discord which makes this country feeble to death, and which makes it the laughing stock of the world.

If I take aside the best, the most honourable men, and question them a little, I see that each of them, disinterested in appearance, has, at bottom, some trifle in reserve, which he would not sacrifice on any account. Ask him for every thing else. Many a one would give his life for France; but would not give up an amusement, a habit, a vice.

There are, moreover, some pure men among the rich, whatever may be said to the contrary—but, among the proud? Are they also pure? Will they take off their gloves to lend a hand to the poor man, who is crawling along the rough path of fate? And yet, I tell you, sir, if your white cold hand does not touch that strong, warm, and living one, it will perform no works of life.

Our habits, far dearer than our enjoyments, must, however, certainly be sacrificed in a short time. An age of warfare is coming on.

And the heart has its habits, its dear ties, which are now so well mingled in it, with its living fibres, that they are other living fibres. It is hard to pluck them out. I have felt this occasionally in writing this book, in which I have wounded more than one who was dear to me.

First, to those middle ages, in which I have passed my life, and whose touching, though impotent, aspirations I have reproduced in my histories, to them I was obliged to say, avaunt! even to-day, when impure hands are dragging them from their tomb, and placing that stone before us to make us stumble on the path of the future.

Another religion, the humanitary dream of philosophy, which thinks to save the individual by destroying the citizens, denying nations, and abjuring the native land, I have likewise sacrificed. The native country, my native country, can clone save the world.

From the poetic legend to logic, thence to faith to the heart,-

such has been my road.

In that very heart and faith, I found many venerable and antique reminiscences that protested; and friendships, the last constacles, that could not step me before my country in danger. May she accept this sacrifice! All I have in this world—my affections—I offer up to her, and, to give to my country the grand name found by ancient France, I lay them on the altar of universal friendship!

THE END.

68 3. 2102

LONDON:
Printed by A. Spottiswoods,
New-Street-Square.



University of California SOUTHERN REGIONAL LIBRARY FACILITY 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90024-1388 Return this material to the library from which it was borrowed.





Un